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Dendereh.

Introduction.

1. Dendereh is to most persons only the name of a temple; one of the largest, best preserved, and most popular examples of Egyptian architecture, visited and admired by every tourist, a stopping-place of every steamer. The large volumes in which Mariette published the inscriptions, which had been uncovered by his direction, might be thought to exhaust what was to be done for Dendereh. But beside the temple there was a town, which is yet untouched, except by native diggers. And the inhabitants of this town were buried in a large cemetery on the desert behind the town, which had never been touched by scientific work. With the usual reckless wastefulness, dealers were allowed in the last few years to try plundering there; but happily their ventures were not fruitful, as they re-opened already rifled tombs, and did not search for the external sculptures.

A cemetery of a nome capital, as yet unopened in modern times, was therefore a promising site for historical study. The town was known to be ancient, and there was the possibility of its proving to be prehistoric; there were many questions to be solved, and a fair prospect of results. I therefore applied, on behalf of the Egypt Exploration Fund, for permission to excavate at Dendereh, and westward as far as Hu. The first year our time was fully occupied at Dendereh itself, and the second year we extended our work along the desert to Hu.

Our party was happily composed. I had the advantage of the help of Mr. Arthur Mace, who was keenly interested in the work, and most painstaking and thorough in all that he did. In the latter half of our time we were joined by Mr. David MacIver, who came to Egypt mainly for anthropometric work, but had been well fitted for archaeology by working with Mr. Alfred Maudslay on Central American remains, and by familiarity with continental museums. These gentlemen, who were both Oxford men, have continued their work in the following year with good success. We were also joined by Mr. N. de G. Davies, who came mainly to practise on copying, and who did several large pieces of such work with accuracy; beside which he gave much time to clearing the cemetery of Ptolemaic times, and all the steles, labels, and amulets of that age come from his ground; while later on he excavated many of the eastern-most mastabas. My wife was with me all the time, helping in the surveying, cataloguing, and marking of the objects, and also drawing all the tomb plans here published. And at the last, Mr. Chas. Rosher was on the
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ground a week or two before I left, and continued work there afterwards for the American Exploration Society, during which he found some more tombs.

2. The cemetery extends from the back of the temple enclosure, up a gentle slope of desert for about a third of a mile, to a boundary bank which encloses it (see map, pl. xxvii.). Its length is undefined, but covers about two-thirds of a mile. Within that area of about a hundred acres there are six or eight large mastabas of brick still standing as high mounds, eighteen or twenty large mastabas denuded down to the ground, about fifty small mastabas, and many dozens of tomb-pits without remains of building around; all of the IVth to the XIth Dynasty. Toward the west end is a Ptolemaic cemetery with hundreds of burials; and some others of that age as secondary burials in the older mastabas. And all over the site, especially near the back of the temple enclosure, are great numbers of shallow graves of Roman age.

Thus the main periods of activity at Dendereh seem to have been from the VIth to the XIth Dynasty, and the Ptolemaic and early Roman age. Of the prehistoric there is nothing; of the flourishing XIIth Dynasty scarcely a single tomb, and none of importance; of the brilliant XVIIIth and busy XIXth Dynasty only two or three re-used tombs; of the XXVth Dynasty two or three steles; and of the prolific age of Christian and Coptic remains scarcely a trace.

3. We had therefore here a good opportunity of lighting one of the dark periods of Egyptian history, the period of which scarcely any remains were yet known, from the fall of the VIth Dynasty to the rise of the XIIth. In this cemetery we have many noble buildings of the age of the Pepys, a great mass of sculpture leading on from that style until we meet with the names of Antef and Mentuhotep, and then not a single chip of working, nor a single name, of the already well-known ages that followed. For the first time we can trace that dark age through both in sculpture and pottery; and the value of this present work is essentially the clearing up of a period which has been as yet practically unknown.

4. Some mention should be made of the form in which the present results are published. It has been the excellent custom of the Exploration Fund to publish every inscription discovered during its work. It would be a misfortune were this custom to be dropped, as may be seen by the lack of information about discoveries made by the other nationalities working in Egypt, from whom the student is thankful to get some fragments of their results issued from various sources some years after discovery. He who gives quickly gives twice, and he who publishes fully and soon discovers double. Yet, with the best intention, the Fund was faced with a difficulty in the great quantity of inscriptions from Dendereh, of which most were formulae and repetitions; in fact, the sort of material which has lain for a generation past in museum magazines undescribed and uncatalogued. Coming from a locality exactly known, and forming part of a long series, it was of much value for comparison; yet of interest only to original students, and not to the public in general. To spend as much as would have issued the whole of this material in an edition of 2000 copies would have crippled the Fund for further research; and, as probably not fifty people would ever want or use the whole material, it would have been mere waste to issue so large an edition.

The course which has therefore been followed is to publish in the usual edition, issued to all subscribers, all material which can be of general interest; and to publish this in the best form, with photographic plates freely used, so as to show the important subject of style fully and unquestionably. And then to complete the issue of all the minor material in a smaller edition of 250 copies. These additional plates are all marked by letters, such as ii.a, vii.a,
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xii.a, xii.b, xii.c, &c. Hence it is clear when reference is made in the text, whether it be to plates in the volume, or to additional plates. Those who wish for the additional plates can have them on application at a small cost, just to cover the expense of printing. And they can be had either separately, or else bound interleaved with the plates of the volume, as desired. To any ordinary reader the half will be greater than the whole, as comparison can be more readily made between the striking and best examples of each style, when not mixed with inferior fragments. And to the student who desires to be exhaustive, every morsel of inscription is accessible. This course has saved some two hundred pounds in cost, without—it is hoped—sacrificing any of the usefulness of the publication.

The plates in the present volume are 14 photographic, and 24 lithographic. The additional plates, with lettered numbers, are 12 photographic, and 28 lithographic.
CHAPTER I.

TOMBS OF THE OLD KINGDOM.

5. The earliest tombs found in the cemetery form a small group, almost exactly behind the temple, in the centre of the cemetery (see map, pl. xxvii., “Abu-suten,” or more correctly to be read as Suten'en'abu). The arrangement of the group can be followed in the plan on pl. xxviii., “Group of mastabas of III. or IV. Dyn.” These tombs have, it will be observed, the old square wells leading to the sepulchre, such as belong to the IVth Dynasty; later the wells lengthen out north to south, to allow of long sarcophagi being lowered; and later still, toward the Middle Kingdom, they are lengthened from east to west. Each mastaba has one or two panelled imitation doorways, commonly called “false doors,” on the east face; the whole of these tomb plans are here drawn with west side upwards. The well in each tomb leads down to a short passage turning southward—

to left hand—so as to reach the sepulchral chamber, which was placed behind the stone false door, where the offerings were made for the deceased. In these, and all the plans here, the solid black is brickwork, the broken shade is gravel filling, and the chambers and wells are left white.

The earliest tomb is either the top one, that of Suten'en'abu, or the right-hand one. Probably Suten'en'abu is the earlier, as it is of a simpler type; the right-hand one having an enclosed chamber before the stone false door, which is divided from the enclosure by a front wall.

Starting therefore with the mastaba of Suten'en'abu (top one on pl. xxviii.), we see that it is formed by massive brick walls, filled in with gravel, forming a block of about 65 x 28 feet. There are two wells cut in the rock, which is of limestone, covered with ten to twenty feet of coarse rolled gravel from the Hammamat valley. The larger well is lined by a brick wall down the south side. Neither of the chambers below contained anything, except masses of bones of cows from late burials of the sacred cattle of Hathor. On the east face of the mastaba is a small false door of brick at the north end, which is usually the wife’s doorway; and a large false door of stone at the south end, which was for Suten'en'abu himself. The plan of the stonework is shown by line shading. It was plain, with finely worked surfaces, bearing some traces of outline drawing, showing that there was an intention of sculpturing it. For this see view at base of pl. ii. At the back, filling the door space, was a figure of Suten'en'abu, and an elaborate carving of a doorway below it, shown at the top of pl. ii. Lastly, in front of the east face a wall has been built to enclose and protect it, with a doorway in front, a doorway at each end, and a narrowing of the space, which formed a small chamber just before the stone false door. The front doorway has been narrowed by a block on the south side, which is here left with a white space between it and the earlier side. This system of building a front wall to enclose the eastern panelled face of the mastaba is common here on all scales. Such a wall we usually termed the “fender,” as it fended off visitors from approaching the tomb. Only one piece of pottery remained in this tomb, a large ring-stand, pl. xvi. 1.
Looking now at this sculpture of Seten-en'abu (pl. ii.), we see that it is undoubtedly of very early style. Hitherto we have had no figure sculpture of the IVth Dynasty except from Memphis, so that the style of Upper Egypt is unknown. But here we see such resemblance to the earliest Memphite sculptures known that we can hardly date it to any other period. The elaborately carved hieroglyphs, awkwardly sized and spaced, remind us of the panels of Hesy, or the tomb of Sokarkhabau. The elaboration of the false door pattern, with its band of diagonal work, is like the earliest tombs in the Louvre. The stiffness and clumsy pose of the figure is like that of the earliest mastabas; and the general air of un-mannered largeness and boldness belong to the oldest works from Saqqara. It is therefore akin to the work generally attributed to the IIIrd Dynasty (or even to the IIId Dynasty by some) rather than to the age of Khufu. This precious example of the art of Upper Egypt is now in the British Museum.

Next after this mastaba there seems to have been built the northern one, to the right hand, joining on to the end of the fender wall. Next, the one in front of Suten-en'abu, below it on the plan, which was shifted to one side, in order to leave a passage-way between it and the previous mastaba. Next, the smaller mastaba southward, to the left hand (No. 470), the face of which is in line with that last named. A flight of steps leads down before it to a small chamber under it; but this may more probably belong to an older tomb, over which No. 470 was built. Then No. 327 was built in front of this; and probably No. 472 was about the same age.

The northern mastaba contained no sculpture, although it is in good condition, and is still preserved some six feet high at the chamber. Only a slab, with a hollow for offerings, was in the chamber. The surfaces are all well finished, with fine smooth plastering. In the middle mastaba, before Suten-en'abu, nothing was found; both the front and the walls proving quite barren.

The chamber below No. 470 contained two of the usual very thick offering-cups, known in the early IVth Dynasty, as at Medum, see pl. xvi. 14, 15, and a small vase, xvi. 29. The pit 327, outside the small mastaba before No. 470, contained a contracted burial; and from other burials since discovered, it seems likely that this was that of a low-caste servant of the man who was buried in the small mastaba to which it belongs. The smallest square mastaba, No. 472, belongs also to this age, as shown by the pottery, pl. xvi. 15, 21, 26.

Before leaving this group we should notice a very interesting bowl which is photographed in pl. xxii., marked as "III. Dyn." It lay on the ground between the middle mastaba and No. 470, a narrow space only two feet wide, which was filled with clean, blown sand. Such a space must have been filled up within a year or two of the building, by the sand-laden winds; and it appeared never to have been disturbed. Hence this bowl is certainly as old as the mastabas, which seem to belong to the end of the IIIrd or early IVth Dynasty. It is much like the pieces found by M. de Morgan in a mastaba of the same age (Recherches sur les Origines, i., pl. xi.). It differs entirely from usual Egyptian pottery, and is evidently imitated from basket-work. Its source is probably Libyan, being akin to the prehistoric black incised pottery, and also to the incised bowls of the invaders of the XIIth Dynasty.

6. The next important tomb is the mastaba of Prince Mena, nearly a quarter of a mile west of Suten'en'abu (see map, pl. xxvii.; plan, pl. xxviii.). This is far more elaborate in plan than the earlier mastabas just described; and we learn from the inscriptions that it is as late as Pepy II. The stone false door is here in a chamber, which is entered from the east front. The large stone stele was found fallen forward in the chamber from its niche in the brickwork.
It is kept in Cairo, and photographed here in pl. i. Down each side was a narrow band of inscription, one given here at the base of pl. ii.A, and over the large stele was a round drum or roller, shown at the top of pl. ii. The eastern front had twelve small false doors along it: three south of the chamber door, and nine north of that, fenced by a fender wall in front, thus forming a corridor. Each of these false doors had a roller or drum over it, two of which are shown in the middle of pl. ii.A, with the inscription "Prince Mena." And over each drum was a large panel of sculptured stone in each doorway with a figure of Mena; of these five were recovered, three given in pl. ii., and two in pl. ii.A. These stones had all fallen down when the brickwork had decayed, and were found lying face down in the dust which filled the corridor. Above all these twelve false doors the mastaba had a stone cornice, the blocks of which had likewise fallen into the corridor. The inscription on these blocks is shown, rearranged by Mr. Griffith, in the upper half of pl. ii.A. Beside these sculptures there was found in the corridor a slab of a man with his wife Nebt-atef, with incised inscription, and therefore not from a portal panel. Probably this showed Mena with his wife, and was let into the wall. Also in the corridor was an incised inscription, reading both ways from a middle line, probably from the inscription over the entrance door, shown next below the small drums, pl. ii.A. Another block from a long incised inscription (shown at the left base of pl. ii.A.) was found outside the north end of Mena's mastaba, and may belong either to that or to Meru. This completes the external stonework.

Of the form of the mastaba, an unusual feature is the open court at the north—right hand—end, the walls of which have a slope of about 1 in 5. It was entered from a western arched door, and had a bench running along the north and east sides, 23 to 24 inches wide and 30 to 34 inches high. From this court a flight of steps led up to the roof of the mastaba, of which ten still remained, and a continuation of the space which would have held sixteen more steps; as eight steps rise 58 inches, the twenty-six steps would rise 188 inches. This suggests that the mastaba was about 16 feet high, which seems very suitable to its length of 83 feet and width of 52 feet. The bulk of the mastaba was divided by cross walls, and filled in with gravel. The northern courtyard was also entirely filled with clean gravel, and seems therefore to have been intentionally filled up, and not merely choked with débris like the corridor.

Two wells, with their length from north to south, descended to two chambers on the south of them. One is here called the "well of offerings," as several large jars were found in it, but no sign of burial. The other is marked "sepulchre," as a short passage led to a chamber lined with stone slabs, which might rather be called a built sarcophagus. The sides were all painted, and are copied on pl. iii. by Mr. Davies, who spent some weeks mainly in recovering the design from the remaining traces, much having perished by efflorescence. The north, or entrance end, of the chamber was closed by two slabs, painted as doors (top right hand, pl. iii.), with the sacred eyes, one on each side. Around are the titles of Mena, who was director of the temples of the pyramids of Meryra (Pepy I.) and of Merenra. Along each side is a painting of a doorway highly decorated, a list of offerings, and drawings of offerings; and at the south end are other drawings of offerings, mostly perished. The long list of 8 × 17 offerings is repeated on a larger scale in pl. iv. Most of the stones were too much sealed and injured to be worth removal; but the two door slabs were brought away, and are now at Chicago. For the small copper objects found here, see the account of the tomb of Meru, sect. 7.

The access provided to the top of the mastaba by a staircase is curious. Similar access remains
in the other large mastabas of Adu I. and Merra, and probably in that of Adu III. On the top of the rounded weathered mound of Adu I. there were, when I first went up it, many pieces of offering vessels of the Old Kingdom; these had been left there since the last offerings were made over five thousand years ago, disregarded by man, and too heavy to be removed by wind and weather. It seems then that offerings were made on the tops of mastabas, like the custom at Memphis in the same age. Perhaps there was access to the well of offerings to renew the food and drink there. Yet any way, this access to the top was not left unchecked later on, but was definitely closed by filling up the courtyard with gravel, and so completing the solid block of the mastaba.

7. Adjoining the mastaba of Mena on the north was a slightly smaller and less elaborate mastaba of Meru. The name was only found by occurring on a roller drum from a doorway. The plan is in externals much the same as that of Mena, with nine doorways north of the entrance, and probably three to the south. The principal interest was the group of funeral models in copper, and the beads, found lying on the floor of the sepulchre (see pl. xxii.), together with a vase (xvi. 31). These models are, apparently, the implements for the funeral ceremonies; the hotep altar on a framework, four vases to stand upon it, a hoe, and an axe, and a large fan-shaped cutting-tool at the top of the group. The head of the body had been to the north, but only the legs and a few vertebrae remained. The hotep and vases were together in the N.E. corner, with a small jar like xvi. 31; at the N.W. was a large jar of the type xvi. 30; the small jar xvi. 31 was near the knees; the axe on the shins; and the hoe and fan cutter together at the feet. As the body had been plundered, this may not have been the original distribution. A similar cutting-tool, axe, and hoe, together with a long piece of jointed wire, were found just outside the N.E. corner of the sarcophagus of Mena, under a pan inverted on the sand. Scattered with the tools of Meru were glazed beads, white (decomposed green?), and brown-black, imitating flies; these, with the end piece of a collar, are strung together in this group, pl. xxii.

Immediately in front of Meru is another mastaba of Zauta-Resa, from which some tablets and door drums are shown in pl. vii. His wife’s inscription (which continued on a weathered chip) was “his wife, his beloved, the royal relative, priestess of Hator Lady of Denderah, Mer-reta.” The form of the mastaba is evidently a copy of that of Meru.

At the north of Zauta-Resa another mastaba joined it, also of a Zauta. This was found by Mr. Rosher after I left. All of these mastabas are denuded to only about a foot high, and are covered with sand. In general I use here letters to distinguish between persons of the same name when their order is uncertain; but when the relative order is known, Roman numerals are used, as Adu I., II., III., IV.

In front of Zauta-Resa is a group of three joined mastabas, only one of which has a name, Zauta (B); see base of pl. xxviii. The mastaba is of the same type as Zauta-Resa; only a mirror was found in the tomb (pl. xx. 3); but a little tomb has been built into it later, the smaller well behind the chamber being only 20 inches deep, with brickwork doming over it, and a little false door niche being built just behind it. From a scarab found in this tomb it is probably of the XIIth Dynasty. To the north of this mastaba is part of the foundation of an unfinished tomb. The bases of the walls are laid out, but it has never been completed, as the long sloping trench cut in the rock to contain the built passage had no brickwork in it, but only rubbish. This trench is shown in outline on the plan, sloping down from the north to about fifteen feet deep at the south. A wall was built across it, to convert the south
end into a well leading to the chamber, which opens from the south end. This was all plundered; but a small side chamber on the west of the trench (dotted in the plan) contained an untouched burial of a woman, with the group of stone vases and mirror photographed at the top of pl. xxii., marked VI. Dyn. At the upper left hand is a carved shell of translucent diorite, an alabaster vase in the middle, and a porphyry vase on the right. Below the diorite shell is a small bowl of diorite, a copper mirror in the middle, and a hard limestone cup with spout on the right. At the base are five small vases of alabaster and hard limestone. The form of the mirror is compared with others on pl. xx., marked "Group xxi. 2." These vases being well dated to the VIth Dynasty, serve as fixed points for comparing others.

Another early tomb is a square pit with traces of mastaba walls, far out by the telegraph poles; the plan is given on pl. xxviii., marked Tomb 524. From this pit come the large mirror in pl. xx., and an alabaster vase to the left of it. The burial was normal, at full length head north; the mirror by the head, the vase at the feet.

8. The mastaba of Adu I. is the largest and most elaborate in the cemetery. The plan and section are given on pl. xxix.; the entrance door in pl. ii.; the view of the entrance passage in frontispiece; the fresco on pl. v.; the cornice and a slab in pl. vi. The form differs from that of Mena and others in having a long sloping tunnel of entrance from the north, copied from the early mastaba and pyramid type. The east face has four portals south of the entrance, and nine to the north. In the first chamber is a fresco of Adu and his family fishing; from this we learn that he was director of the pyramid temples of Pepy I. and Pepy II. Two other chambers connect with this on the north, but contained nothing, except the tablet of Sekhethotep, pl. vi. On the south is the chamber of the great stela. Across the chamber lies a large flooring slab, with a little tank sunk in the floor for offerings, on the north of it. Above this slab stood the stele in a recess, but unhappily it had all been broken up for stone, probably in Roman times, and only a fragment served to show what fine work it had been. Another chamber opens out west of this, containing a shallow well, 40 inches deep, for offerings. Beyond this a block of brickwork had been built in, closing the connection with two other chambers. The further chamber, the S.W. one in the whole mastaba, had, however, been accessible by a stairway from the roof. In this chamber, or court, was a pit, 127 × 39 inches; this opened into a chamber on the east of it, which contained a sunk recess in the floor for a coffin. Here were found the top and base of a fine head-rest of diorite; also the limestone slab, with two model cups of obsidian, and the neck of a model vase of alabaster, shown on pl. xxi. 3. Such slabs with model vases and implements are already known in collections, but have not yet been dated, as this one is to the close of the VIth Dynasty.

The mass of the mastaba is formed of chambers, connected by narrow doorways for convenience during building, but all filled up with gravel filling to form a solid mass. A stairway led up to the top of this, as shown by steps from the side of the tunnel; and from the top other steps led down to the court at the S.W., which contained a subsidiary burial of a relative or chief man of Adu. Apparently a narrow passage had existed on each side of the tunnel, since filled up with brickwork, the joints being shown here by white lines left in the black mass. This narrow passage on the east had led into a long construction chamber; on the west it had led to two small construction chambers, one of which had a pit in the floor; nothing, however, was found in this pit.

We now turn to the sepulchre. The appearance of the mouth of the arched tunnel is shown
at the foot of pl. ii., still partly crossed by the outer wall of the mastaba. This tunnel begins at the foot of the steps (see pl. xxix.) in a sloping face; and the outer wall and filling of cross passage were solid across it. Inside the tunnel was another cross wall, just before it opened into the pit, and the whole tunnel was filled with earth and hundreds of offering jars, shown on pl. xvi. 8. The tunnel was boldly arched with four rings of brickwork, laid on the slope in the greater part, and turning level where it joins the pit. The appearance of it from the pit is shown in the frontispiece, which is from a fully-measured sketch, as it was impossible to photograph in so narrow a space. This is the oldest arching yet dated, and shows that in brickwork the Egyptian would freely and boldly use arching in early times, as we already know that he did in the XIIth and and XIXth Dynasties. From the pit the tunnel goes on in the same line downward through the marl, which underlies the gravel, until it reaches the subterranean chamber. A small side chamber, on each side of the passage, contained broken pottery.

The sepulchral chamber was cruciform, lined around with sculptured slabs, roughly carved with offerings, and a long band of hieroglyphs, shown in pl. v. a. In the long stem of the cross the floor was formed by the massive lid of the sunken sarcophagus. This was found undisturbed in its place; and on clearing down to the north end of the sarcophagus—the only accessible part—that was found complete. It seemed as if the burial must yet remain untouched. We eagerly broke the lid, and looked in, only to find that some plunderer, who knew the exact plan of the place, had tunnelled from the outside straight to the sarcophagus, and had broken into it through its side under the floor, and extracted everything from it. I got into the hole, now under water, and felt over everything in the sarcophagus; brickbats and large flints showed only too plainly that the entrance had been forced through the foundation of the mastaba. The chamber is drawn in the section roughly curved in the upper part, as that is its present condition. The very coarse gravels and marl which formed the roof and sides have entirely caved down, and forced most of the lining forward, so that the chamber was filled with débris. We extracted all the sculptured lining, about 200 slabs, and handed them over to the Cairo Museum to be reconstituted; the continuity of the whole is shown by the inscription around it, in pl. v. a.

9. Next beyond this is another mastaba, nearly as large, and apparently later in development. This is of Adu II. The plan is on pl. xxix.; the cornice and some slabs of inscription on pl. vi. ; the statue and two steles on pl. vii.; and the pottery on pl. xvi. 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 19, 20, 23, 24. The changes from the previous plan are mainly in providing a large open court at the south end for the relatives, in making the tunnel much steeper so as to get to the full depth before reaching the well, and simplifying the internal construction by not making any brick walls except where needed to be seen.

The front has twelve false doors to the south of the entrance, and eighteen to the north, thirty in all, the greatest number on any tomb found in the cemetery. The entrance on the east was by a narrow passage, leading to a chamber, which seems to have contained a large stele in a niche, now empty; and a smaller niche of brickwork to the north end of the face, which was doubtless for the wife. We see from the two tablets found in this chamber (in pl. vii.) that Adu II. had a wife named Ana; the second well in the plan was therefore for the wife, and in the chamber below it we found a female skull of high class.

The entrance to the sepulchre was similar to that of Adu I. ; but the tunnel is much steeper, so that it is only by notches on the floor that it can be descended without slipping. The roof is
of brick arches, laid on a much flatter bed. In the lower part it was very difficult to distinguish the brickwork, as the ground was so damp that the pressure of the well and tunnel had united the bricks into a soft brown earth, similar to that which filled the passages. Passing the well, the chamber was reached. This was cruciform, like that of Adu I.; the walls lined with brick, and brick doming had formed the roof of the side recesses. Nearly all of this had fallen in, with much of the gravel above it, but we removed the débris and cleared the floor, without, however, finding any trace of a sarcophagus or of the burial. In the well was found the seated figure of Adu, shown in pl. vii. 1. Portions of the cornice inscription from the east front were found fallen below, and are shown in pl. vi. Also three slabs, and one corner-piece inscribed with titles of Adu, which are probably from an inscription of the doorway.

The minor burials about the mastaba are unusual. Just before the east face is a long pit, leading to a chamber under the wall, which is marked with shading in the solid black. This pit was untouched, and had a brick filling closing the entrance to the chamber. In the chamber was a coffin, 72 × 25 inches, plain box form, with traces of inscription on the white coating; inside it a female skeleton at full length, head north; under the head a stone block head-rest. Outside the feet of the coffin were two wooden statuettes, nearly consumed by white ants, and some small blue glazed beads with them. Along the east side of the chamber were four large jars, see pl. xvi. 20; and a pile of four bowls standing on two bricks, see xvi. 4. Two little pots were at the side of the coffin, see xvi. 2.

At the end of the stele chamber it will be seen that there is a brick blocking, which closed a doorway leading to the south court. In this court were nine separate burials. Only one was untouched, that reached by the southern stairway. In this was a box coffin, body full length, head north, normal, hands at sides, and by the head a red bowl, as xvi. 4. Outside the head of the coffin was a jar, as xvi. 20. On the body was a small ivory button near the throat, apparently the fastening of a cloak. It was engraved with a figure of a man, almost reduced to a geometrical pattern; it will be published afterwards in connection with other buttons for comparison, as it serves to date a whole class of such things. The other pits were mostly plundered; one contained three jars, see xvi. 19, and one small vase, fig. 23, with the legs of a female, judging by their slightness; head north. Another small vase, fig. 24, was in one of these tombs. On the centre of the floor of the court, probably thrown out of a tomb, were the two vases and the censer, xvi. 5, 6, 7, with a flint knife shown in pl. xx. The northern stairway led to a chamber with several jars and bowls of the same type as before; only a leg of an ox, and a few human bones, were left.

To the north of Adu II. were two other mastabas. One small one, marked Detiat (pl. xxx.), should read Degat; fragments from it are given at base of pl. vi.: and the drum with name is repeated in the group of various small blocks, pl. vii. A. The larger mastaba was partly traced, the rest having been destroyed: it belonged to Merru. The trench in the rock for the entrance had been emptied, and re-used for late Ptolemaic or Roman burials. A large sandstone coffin, lying on the stairway, had a rudely painted red inscription with blundered address to “the great god lord of Abydos, . . . Osiris prince of gods in the midst, Osiris P'ast urt. . . .”

10. The series of Adu mastabas continues with another behind Adu II., which is probably Adu III., shown in plan and section on pl. xxx. Here further development has taken place; the tunnel is as steep as in Adu II., but the difficulty due to the sliding thrust of the roof at so steep
an angle is met by making the roof horizontal, and so forming a vaulted chamber with sloping floor for a tunnel. The courtyard for minor burials is given up, but such burials are thrust into the mastaba mass at irregular points.

The east face is covered with portals, divided by the entrance into nine to the south and thirteen to the north. The chamber has a niche for the great stele, now entirely lost, and another niche near the south end, with a low altar built in it for offerings. Some fragments of cornice were found from the east face, inferior in work to those of the predecessors (top, pl. xiii.) and one bit of a stele (pl. xiii.) gives the name Adu, as the second, or nefer, name of the owner.

The entrance to the sepulchre was with a slight slope downward at first, passing by a stairway ascending on the west—omitted by accident in the plan, pl. xxx. Then entering into the tunnel chamber by a low door, it went down a rough stairway, while the roof remained level over it, until the chamber was nearly fifteen feet high at the south end, where another low door led to the well. Thence a slight descent further led to the sepulchre; this was so greatly fallen in, that little of the original form could be traced. There was a wide passage, nearly as wide as the well; then a narrowing on the west; and then a turn westward, which had apparently been the chamber. The floor was piled so deep with fallen gravels, that it could not with certainty be found under the water which covered it.

The minor burials were in the S.W. part of the mastaba. The largest tomb was a stairway of rough steps leading to a small chamber in the gravel. At the head of the stairway was a pit for burial, apparently separate, with a wall between it and the stairs. The square pit most to the east was about seven feet deep; in it was a great quantity of pottery broken up, mostly bowls, such as in xvi. 3; and with these much linen cloth, and two large stone vases, one of porphyry, the other of alabaster. Both of these are now in the Cairo Museum, one kept in the division, the other appropriated out of our share. The other pits contained nothing.

This family may perhaps be completed by the tomb of Adu IV., which lay to the west of Adu III. The plan is at the end of pl. xxxv.; and the only fragment of inscription which dates it is from a door lintel, at the upper part of pl. xiii.; this was found in the long chamber. This tomb has probably been greatly altered, the two wells lying with length E. to W. seem almost to be of the XIth Dynasty, and we cannot certainly date the square well and the other wells to the west. In the southern of the long wells was a burial of the XXVth Dynasty, with the fine stele of Mutardus, the singer of the temple of Hathor, shown in pl. xxv.

11. The only other important mastaba of the VIth Dynasty is that of Pepy-seshem-snefer, surnamed Senna. The plan is on pl. xxx.; the steles and cornice on vii. and vii. A; the pottery on xvi., figs. 33 to 37. The form is usual, except that the entrance is in the middle, which is rare, and thus there is an equal number of portals—eight—on each side of the entrance. The perfect condition of the slabs of sculpture (vii., vii. A) is due to their never having been built into the portals, for which they were intended; these square slabs were all found standing on edge, stacked against the wall of the chamber. Beside these uniform panels there is a piece of a relief panel (vii.); part of an incised stele, showing a man whose name is lost, with another named Pepy-mennu-nefer Senbat (vii., xiii.); a panel of a woman, Sentefsa (?), (vii. A); and a large quantity of the cornice, rather carefully but feebly sculptured (vii. A).

Other tombs which probably belong to the VIth Dynasty should be noticed here. Zauta A. is a large mastaba west of Adu I., and perhaps as early as that. The plan (pl. xxx.) is difficult to trace, as it is denuded away to below the sills of the doorways, and even no foundation is
left in some parts. It seems to have had long chambers on the east; three shallow wells containing some broken offering bowls, as in Adu III.; and a sloping rock-cut passage leading to a small rounded chamber. Just inside the entrance, in the N.-W. corner, were stacked twelve pans of red pottery, more brown-red than usual in this age, thin, and highly burnished (xvi. 10).

On the other side of Adu I. are traces of mastabas, see No. 784, pl. xxx. The trench is a large sloping cutting, like those for the great mastabas; and a thick wall on the east looks as if a mastaba had been begun. But over the chamber is a tiny mastaba with two false doors, which looks as if the tomb had been thus finished prematurely.
CHAPTER II.

THE UNDATED TOMBS OF VIIth–XIth DYNASTIES.

12. Hitherto we have been following the guidance of the absolutely dated inscriptions naming the kings of the VIth Dynasty, and only noting other tombs when their position linked them with those already dated.

Now we enter on the difficult subject of this chapter, the dark age between the VIth and the XIIth Dynasty. And if in this we seem to dwell too much on small matters, it is because we have only small matters of style and sequence to help us through this period, and therefore they become as valuable as more decisive evidence is in better known ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dyn.</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Style of Work</th>
<th>Form of Tomb</th>
<th>Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III.-IV.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Mastaba, square pit.</td>
<td>Suten'en'abu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII.-VIII.?</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Corrupt VIth Dyn.</td>
<td>Mastaba, N.-S. pit.</td>
<td>Hotepsa, Una, Beba, Uhaa,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pthahmera, Shensetha, Merra,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beb, Sennezu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI.?</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Widespread, coarse</td>
<td>Larger mastabas, trench</td>
<td>Merer, Shensetha, Kathena,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simple inscriptions.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bauhotepa, Beba, Hat-hotep,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Puffy faces.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nefertkau, Menhotepa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI.</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Double lines.</td>
<td>Large mastabas, or E.-W. pits.</td>
<td>Hennu, Bet, Beba, Redukau,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Finer work.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Antef, Antefaqaer, Mentuhotep, Klnumerdu.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is unsatisfactory to have class E, with such names as Antef and Mentuhotep, separated by F and G from class H, in which the names of the XIth Dynasty rule again. It would be far happier if E could be placed between G and H. But there are such difficulties in style that I hesitate to do so. The classes F, G, and H, are so homogeneous, and so clearly lead into each other, that it seems impossible to place such tombs of class E as Antef, Antefqaer, and the tablet of Antef and Ay, between F and H; while, on the other hand, those three tombs are closely connected with classes C and D. It seems, therefore, that we must grant that the lowest degradation of the Old Kingdom style was not reached until the names of the XIth Dynasty had fairly started; and that the revival in style did not take place until the rise of power in the XIth Dynasty. If we then assign classes E, F, to the first century of the XIth Dynasty, when the names Antef and Mentuhotep were known, but no revival had yet arisen; class G to the rise of power and unity under the XIth Dynasty; and class H to the flourishing age of refined work under Antef V. and Sankhkara, we seem to obtain the most satisfactory arrangement. The comparative dearth of inscriptions in the VIIth to Xth Dynasties is not unlikely, in view of the scarcity of any records of that age.


Hotepsa. This panel (pl. x.) is so closely in the style of Senna, that it must be of the same age, or very shortly after. It was found in a N.-S. pit, 511.

Una. This fragment of cornice (xi.c) is like that of the next tomb.

Four Name Mastaba, 770. Shensetha, En-abu-suten, Beba, Imhotepa (pl. xxx.). The carvings of this are given on pls. xi., xi.a., Beba and Hentsen; xi., Abu-suten; xiii., Imhotepa; and other fragments of cornice, a piece of inscription, and a piece of panel naming Sebekem..., all from this tomb, are on pl. xiii., below Imhotepa. The general style is good, but a little laboured; it is larger and bolder than Senna, and can hardly be placed as late as the end of the VIth Dynasty. Perhaps later is a fragment with the names of Beb and Ankhsen (xiv., top), also from this tomb No. 770. As a guide we may note here the various styles of cornices, in their order of connection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Plate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Menk</td>
<td>Fine work;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Adu I</td>
<td>Nearly as fine;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Adu II</td>
<td>Smaller;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Senna</td>
<td>Much smaller;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Ptalmera A</td>
<td>Small, wiry;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Shensetha P</td>
<td>Larger, clumsy;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Merra</td>
<td>Larger still, clumsier;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Sennuzsu</td>
<td>Wider and clumsier signs;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Mera</td>
<td>Coarsest, widest, and clumsiest; borders; (by Beba)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Antef</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Mentuhotep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This appears to be a sound series; following a deterioration in size down to Senna, and then as style became poorer the size of the signs increasing until the worst work, Mera, is the largest in size. The good width, and absence of border lines, of Beba and Hentsen seem to
point to that being about the age of Adu I. or II. The mastaba is very peculiar in having four chambers about equal, and four or five names occurring in its sculptures: doubtless they are all of one family, but such compound tombs are rarely found. The sepulchre was reached by a long sloping passage or tunnel, of which the trench remains; but the chamber was filled with burials of about the Persian age.

UHAA. This panel (pl. x.) has the dress treated as that of Senna. The style is like that of Ptahmera A., but from the position it is probably older than Shensetha P. and Ptahmera. The plan (xxxii.) is like that of Merra, and agrees to this age.

Ptahmera A. The sculpture is shown in x., x.a, and the cornice in x.a, where the fragments are placed in order, as found fallen along the foot of the east front. The style is coarser than anything seen so far; the relief work is clumsy, and the signs attract by their bold and mechanical cutting to make up for their bad forms. The only fragment of scenes in the whole cemetery is the piece with a goatherd, donkeys, and ploughing, pl. x. The plan of the mastaba is in xxxii.

Shensetha P. This mastaba is, from its position, later than Ptahmera, as it advances forward, and so eclipses the other. There is only the cornice from this tomb, pl. xi.a, and a fragment naming the daughter .......erdutsa, Behaunt, and Khetperu is copied at the top of pl. xiv. Another tomb of the same name has, however, been mixed with this in the plate, the small double altar on the right belonging to Shensetha T. The mastaba plan is on pl. xxxii.

Beba C. This tomb seems to be later in position than the previous. The work shows a new departure in a greater elaboration, particularly in the hair (see Beba III., pls. xi. and xi.b); but the style of the signs is much like that of Ptahmera. The plan (xxxii.) is like that of the preceding tombs. The name of the son Azaua is copied at the top of pl. xiv.

Shensetha and Beba-ur. This is probably by the same artist as the preceding carving (see pl. xi.). It is an interesting attempt to revive art by care and detail, much like the Constantine revival, but just as powerless as that to really turn the tide.

14. Merra. This is the most important of the mastabas after the VIth Dynasty. The plan (pl. xxxi.) shows a later stage than that of Adu II. and III. The east front is much like those earlier mastabas, the number of portals is the same as in Adu I., four and nine. But the structure shows the horizontal roofed tunnel, which was brought in by Adu III.; and a further application of this by doming over a large well. The entrance leads to a small open court, from which a stairway winds round to the roof, apparently imitated from Merra. On the west this court leads to two chambers, by a low arched door; but these seem to be merely construction chambers filled in with gravel, and it is probable that others exist in the rest of the mass. The real entrance to the sepulchre begins with a well, which probably gives access to the horizontal roofed tunnel passage, but the bottom was not cleared to search for the door. There is a narrow slit window which lights the tunnel from the well. The tunnel ends by a great cross wall in which a series of relieving arches, one below another, span the upper part, and at the bottom is a doorway leading to the second well, which is small and square. This in the upper part is all one with the third well or “domed well,” which is separated from the second well by a wall with arched doorway. The “domed well” was covered by a dome of brickwork; this was partly broken when found, and had to be removed in order to clear the well safely. The doming was made by placing a brick across the corner, then two over that with a rise in the middle, four over that again, and thus gradually bringing forward the
corners so that a ring of bricks could run round the well. The section of the dome showed the bricks slightly slanting inward, but mainly standing by resting on those below. There is some amount of thrust necessarily in closing over the space; but as far as possible the principle of stepping forward was used. This of Merra is the oldest dome known, as Adu I. made the oldest arched tunnel known. It was made simply to save material, the principle of leaving large hollows having been eagerly grasped for the sake of economy. Through the domed well was the entrance to the sarcophagus chamber, cut in the gravel; there standing to the left hand, or east side, with just space to pass along the side of it, was the massive stone coffin, with plain lid, all rough-faced and uninscribed. In the chamber was some pottery, as the tall ring-stand, xvi. 28, large bowl, xvii. 41, and some dozens of jars as xvii. 40 and 42. There was no trace of the interment.

Of sculpture there is an unusual quantity from this mastaba. The long inscription in relief (pl. viii.) was over the eastern entrance. One slab is lost from the beginning; then three slabs remain, though broken; the fifth is lost; of the sixth there is the lower half; the seventh, with the daughter Duduerdutsa, is complete; and the eighth and last, with the master to whom all is addressed, is also almost perfect. The whole inscription when complete must have been about 14 feet in length, and contained about 60 feet run of inscription. As the breadth of the recess of the doorway is 217 inches, these slabs, covering 164 inches, would well go into that space. Possibly there were slabs with cattle, also before the figure of Merra, as the block with a bull led by two men (pl. viii.) was found near the door. Of the portals on each side many of the panels and drums were found lying fallen below. Of these panels we have five in relief and three incised (pls. viii., viii.b). There are also two pieces of panels with the family in relief, and a band of titles in relief (viii.b). The eight drums from the portals at the base of pl. viii.b are in the order in which they were set up; the first of the left-hand column being the southernmost, and the last of the right hand the northernmost. Besides these naming Merra a ruder inscription of a *sumer ua* Hotepa (pl. xiii.) was found on another drum in the second portal south of the entrance. The cornice was marked on every piece as found lying at the foot of the east face, and the pieces are placed here in their order, beginning with the right-hand column at the right end of the front.

Inside the chamber the great stone portal was found standing in position. It is given in pl. viii.a; the work is evidently by the same hand as the panels, and it shows the style of great portals after the VIth Dynasty,—rather erratic in the order and position of the inscriptions, but still keeping up the old style very fairly. The family of Merra is shown by several pieces. His wife Seheta is shown in viii.; another wife of the same rank,—also royal companion and priestess of Hathor,—was named Beba, see viii.b. There were two sons, as we see on a fragment in viii.b; on the same is a daughter, Beba, and another daughter, Dudu'er-dutsa, on viii. Also another lady, Theta, who was a royal companion, has a stele made for her by a high official, probably Merra (see base of viii.b). The breakages of the slabs, and the disappearance of others, are due to quarrying here in Roman times. But for the length of the inscriptions, the quantity of sculpture, and the interesting period to which this can be assigned, this is perhaps the finest tomb in the cemetery.

15. *Sen'nez'ru*. We find close to Merra, a little behind it, another tomb, which though smaller yielded much sculpture. It seems to be very shortly after Merra, as it is rather poorer in work, but of much the same style. It might even be contemporary, as Merra was *ha*
prince, which Sennezesu never claims to be. The plan of the mastaba is simple, with only four portals and one chamber, see xxxi. top. The large stone portal, larger than that of Merra, is shown on pl. ix. It is much worse in arrangement than Merra’s, and of poorer work. Three blocks fairly complete were found, and fragments of several others; some from panels over portals, others from over the entrance, ix., x., x.a. Also some blocks with a long inscription of some interest, x. And several pieces of a cornice, like that of Merra, but rather coarser, were found in the corridor. From these we have the name of the wife In-uta (ix., x.); the sons Merra, Sebeknekhtha, Sennezesu (ix.) and Khua (xiii.); and the daughters Hotepa and and Beba (x.). We notice here the beginning of the irregular, closely-packed inscriptions which characterise the next stage D.; see particularly the lower line of the long inscription on pl. x.

Unfortunately the stone was poor, for though it kept its edges well, yet it so readily broke up into fragments that it was impossible even to lift it whole in many cases. Hence the shattered state of many of these pieces.

16. Beb. This great mastaba next to Merra is the latest of the princely tombs of Denderah. It had unhappily been used for dwellings in Roman times at the southern end, and no trace of the stonework was left in either the corridor or the stele chamber. Were it not for the inscribed sarcophagus the name of the owner could not have been recovered. The plan (pl. xxxi.) proves clearly its late date. The level roofed tunnel shows that it is of the later period, that of Adu III. and Merra; and the suppression of the well after the tunnel places it at the opposite extreme from Adu I. and II., in which that well is the only one. The series of formation runs thus:—

| Adu I. | Passage, slope roof tunnel, large well, slope passage, chamber. |
| Adu II. | " steeper " " " doorway " |
| Adu III. | " level roof " " level passage " |
| Merra. | Well, " " " doorway " |
| Beb. | " " no well " |

This differs from the other mastabas in being built almost entirely of black brick with hardly any gravel fillings. The joints which run through the building are marked by white lines in the plan and section. This mastaba had been largely attacked by dealers, who had cut it about and removed parts of the structure; they had reached the tunnel, but found it so full of rubbish that they did not try to open the chamber. Of the east face we need say nothing, as it is sufficiently clear from the plan. The southern—left hand—end was so destroyed in Roman times, and denuded since, that we did not trace it out, and it should be shown with broken outlines in the plan, and not as a straight edge; it really extended farther to the south.

The entrance in the north end was blocked with brick; the thick outer wall had been built first, with a steep batter on the inner face; then brickwork had been built inside it, apparently filling a small court like that of Merra. Later, the filling of the passage having been partly removed, the filling of the court overhangs the passage. From this court a doorway led into the only well, from which another door opened into the tunnel. The floor of this well and tunnel was not cleared, as all the stuff from the inside had to be carried up to the top of the well, so that every ton of rubbish was a serious matter. After two or three weeks of clearing we reached the chamber, and there found the inscribed sarcophagus. The most important part is shown in pl. xxxvii.,
and the rest of the minute inscription which covers it is in the additional plates, xxxvii. A to K. The chamber was so damp that the limestone had been largely dissolved all through the grain of it; hence it was in a putty-like state in which it could be moulded by the fingers, and it could not be lifted without the hands sinking into the stone. If dried quickly it would have split and crumbled hopelessly; so each block as it was brought up was at once covered with three or four inches of sand at the back of our huts, and left to dry slowly through that coating. After two or three weeks it was safe to uncover it: the evaporation through the sand having been slower than the spread of the moisture in the stone, it had thus kept equally moist throughout, and when bare again the surface was uninjured, though very porous and soft. My wife and I then spent much of our time for some weeks lying on the ground copying all the thousands of signs. They had been carelessly written in rather cursive hieroglyphs, and then entrusted to varying skill for the cutting. Some parts are fairly done, others are so rough as to be unintelligible. A raw hand seems to have been put on it, whose only idea was to destroy the ink writing by some shape of a hole, regardless of the intended sign. When this hash became too disastrous a better hand took it up, and we have again a legible inscription. Corrupt as the text is, and grossly as it has been engraved, it is yet a first-class monument, giving chapters of the Book of the Dead hitherto only known two thousand years later; also a description of sixteen roads of the soul, and a long list of titles of Hathor, the great goddess of the city. It is by far the most important monument found here, and was of course kept at the Cairo Museum.

17. The minor inscriptions belonging to this period C need little notice. The inscription of Merru and Qebdat from the north of Adu II., and that of Rehuia A., are given in the group at the base of pl. vii.A. The stone portal of Rehuia B. is probably of this age, on xi.c. And the panel of Merer at the base of xii. seems to be so much like a coarser version of Ptahmery that we ought perhaps to class it in C.

Of the plans of mastabas which seem to belong to this age there are, besides those already described, the following:—Pl. xxxii. The mastaba by Beba T.; the real tomb of Beba T., which belongs to the next period D, being the small mastaba with double portal built into the chamber of the older mastaba. The mastaba re-used in the XVIIIth Dynasty: this is complex in form, perhaps being two tombs conjoined: below it is a great rambling cavern formed by the falling in of sepulchral chambers; this is marked in dotted outline. The large mastaba re-used in the XXXth Dynasty: the entrance is by the pit with steps, which leads to the dotted outline cavern; in that were two stone sarcophagi, marked here in full outline, each containing a body with fine amulets of the Persian period, and another body with amulets lying bare on the top of each lid. The mastabas of Merra C. and Hotepa: that of Merra C. had a lintel of a Beba in the chamber, and the doorway to the chamber has been cut away and a very small later mastaba with portal built in the space. The colonnade court is built in front of a mastaba of good type, somewhat like the courts before some of the mastabas of Saqqara, such as that of Thy: nothing was found in it, and the back of the mastaba was wrecked for Ptolemaic cave tombs.

Pl. xxxiv. Of the C period we may class the following:—No. 780 is a great mastaba, which from its simplicity in front might perhaps belong to the B period of the VIth Dynasty or earlier: the tunnel slope has been cut with a stairway sunk between two ramps; on it were two badly painted Ptolemaic steles, and several bodies were in the chambers. No. 337, Sebekhotepa was named in the eastern chamber; the western mastaba had no name. Nos. 781, 782, 271 have no further details. No. 331
had a slab of which the name is lost, copied on the right side of pl. xiii. No. 329 belonged to a man Hotepa.

18. Class D. IXth—Xth (?) Dynasties. The characteristics of this age are the crowded state of the inscriptions, the sepulchral pit being turned with its length E.-W., and frequently a northern door to the chamber of offering, which is cut off entirely from the corridor and fender on the east face. There are no large and important tombs of this age, and the general poverty and ignorance is obvious. The following are the inscriptions that we may class together here:—Hotepa and Adua, xi.B; the lintel inscriptions of a Shensetha and Hotepa (xi.B); the part of a figure in the same group is much later, of the fine work of the XIth Dynasty: the lintel of Kathena in the group at the base of vii.A. The stele of an unknown man and his wife Beba, top of pl. xi., shows the beginning of placing a small figure of a servant offering a cup to the master, and the account of the cattle in the inscription. The finely cut slab of Nekhtu (base of xi.), with his wife Hapu, three sons all called Sebeckhotep, one Antef and one Beba-ä, a daughter Ansa, and a servant Ada, has also a list of cattle and property: it is by far the best example of this period of work, and the first instance of the name Antef.

The mastabas that we may assign to this age are all small and with pit E.-W.; and often a mere pit E.-W. is used without any building over it, in which case the stele is put in the pit at the mouth of the chamber. Probably to this period may belong the tombs on pl. xxxii., Shensetha G., Merra D., Hornekhta, Nekhta (the outside of which is merely uncertain, and not recessed), Zauta E., Pekhy-beb (see the small altar on right hand, pl. xv.). On pl. xxxiv., No. 326 yielded three fragments, copied at the base of pl. xiii., Shensetha T., 335, Nefuu (see right side xiii.), Henny, Anhur-nekht, Shensetha H., and the mastaba by Bauhotepa, whose pit behind it is later. And on pl. xxxv. the mastabas of Hennua, Kathena, 775, 776, Zauta D., 777, 778, 779 and 313. These all have the pit E.-W., and therefore must come after class C; the difference in size between these and the smaller mastabas of pl. xxxiv. may well be one of class and not of period; and the larger mastabas link on so to the Antef mastaba (xxxv.) of the next class that there is no need to assign them to an earlier date. The classification by the position of the well is more reliable than distinctions that may be merely those of wealth.

19. Class E. Early XIth (?) Dynasty. We here meet with widespread coarse inscriptions, and some larger mastabas. The most important tomb is that of Antef-a, pl. xii., pl. xxxv. This is on the old style of the VIth Dynasty, with a row of internal chambers like Adu I. (xxix.), the four-name mastaba (xxx.), and Shenseta P. (xxxii.). But it is separated from those by the pit being E.-W.; and the same long line of chambers is certainly also of the XIth Dynasty, as in the tomb of Mentuhotep (xxxiii.). The long line of false doors also agrees with that tomb, and with that of Antefaqer A. (xxxiii.). We must therefore conclude that the form of the earlier mastabas continued to be followed down to the revival in the XIth Dynasty. The style of the carving is very rude and degraded, but yet full of the ideas of the VIth Dynasty. Compare the collar and the striping of the waist-cloth (xii. 1) with that of Men or Senna; see the work of the relief inscription (xii. 2-5), beside that of Merra (viii.) or Ptah-mera (x.a), especially in the elaboration of feathers and hair on the signs. The intermediate link is seen in the relief work of "x, wife of Beba" (xi.), where the long cattle inscription marks it as of class D, while the relief of the children is almost as coarse as that of Antef-a (xii. 5). The breadth of the door recess is 157 inches, or the half 78 inches; the length of the slabs running toward left hand on pl. xii. is 27 inches from the mid-
line, 9 inches block at the end of the group, and 30 inches scene of children, or 66 inches 1 lost parts, agreeing well to the half width of 78 inches. The cornice is shown on pl. xi.c, with the cornice of Mera, which is probably of the same age. The whole of the mastaba is denuded down to about a foot high, and the blocks of carving have thus lain with hardly any sand over them; they are therefore extremely rotted, and flake up so much that many of them could not be lifted. Many rude pots were found in the chamber, of the form xvii. 128.

20. Having shown the best example of this class, we now turn to minor pieces which link together more closely to the previous class. At the end of class D we noted "x and wife Beba" (xi.); to this follows Beba G. (lower part of xi.b), which shows the beginning of the style of simple rude inscriptions; and like this is "x and Beba" top xi.c, and "Antef and Ay" (xi.c); while still later is Beba T. and son Sebeknekhta, at base of x.a, with name Mentuhotep on a piece of lintel at the right hand (and see base xv.); and following that Demza and Hepu (base xi.c, inscr. see xv.). With these probably go "x with son Pepy" (base of xiii.), and Antefaer (xi.c), compare the amulekh sign with that of Beba T.; and the style of this tomb shows as rude a reminiscence as the tomb of Antefa does of the VIth Dynasty style. The mastaba plan, Antefaer A., xxxiii., shows the early type with a long trench for a tunnel running down from the north. A burial of Ptolemaic age here was remarkable for having a dog in a small stone coffin at the north end of the main coffin. To early XIth Dynasty we may probably attribute, on pl. xxxiii., No. 772, E. of Beb; and very probably the smallest and most degenerate mastabas on xxxiv., Nos. 324, 326, 314, 353, 352.

21. Class F. XIth Dynasty. This differs from the previous work in the extreme rudeness and simplicity of it, and the absence of all mastabas, the burials being only in pits, with the stele laid at the door of the chamber in the pit. We see this style beginning in Beba T. (x.a) and Denza (x.c). But a sort of elemental rudeness, without much link to the feeling of the Old Kingdom, as it were beginning again de novo, is seen in this work, as in Nemy (next to Beba and Hathotep, xi.), Nubheq (top xi.c), Sentekhneba (xi.c), Sentekha (base xi.b), the three slabs from Hotepa (xi.b), and Henna (xi.).

Observe how the sign of two hills (du) begins to draw in at the sides in Beba G. (xi.b), and Antef and Ay (xi.c) in class E; while in class F this sloping sided figure is the rule—see Sentekhneba, Sentekha, and Hotepa.

22. Class G. XIth Dynasty. Here a distinct revival is seen, the beginning of the fine work of the XIth and XIIth Dynasties. The style is laboured and detailed, the forms clumsy but carefully finished, the faces full and even puffy. The inscriptions still very short and simple, but more carefully done. The burial still in mere pit chambers without any mastaba. The intermediate example is that of Bauhotepa (xi.b), where the du sign is very sloping, and the row of sons is closely like that of Hotepa in the line above. Very similar is Beba and Hathotep (xi.); with which go also the outlined figure (base of xi.) and the nameless figure x (base xi.b). Following these comes Nefert-kau (xi.), in which the signs have begun to pass from the earlier and ruder stage toward that of the later XIth Dynasty.

23. Class H. End of XIth Dynasty. This is marked off by the really good work which was evolved from the previous class, and by the use of broad bands or double lines to divide the inscriptions. The earliest perhaps is Hennu and Bet (xi.), which is much like the earlier slab of Beba and Hathotep next over it, and in which the signs are similar to those of Nefert-kau close by it. This was found in the entrance to the Mentuhotep mastaba, probably connected with it. Of the same style is the inscription of Beba B. (vii.a). Also the
Antefaquer II. lintel, and the large stele of Antef and wife Anebu (xii.), link on to this style, but are more advanced. While in Khnumerdu (xv.) we see a fully developed manner like the great steles of the XIIth Dynasty. This large stele, five feet high, was however not placed in the offering chamber, like those of the VIth Dynasty, but lay in the trench well, at some distance from the chamber (see the piece of plan xxxiii.); thus showing its descent from the steles of classes F and G, which were buried in the pits of the tombs. All of these have the broad bands or double lines, as on the decree of Antef V. at Koptos.

Probably of the same age is the piece of Redukau (pl. x.), judging by the figure of the servant giving drink; and the drum with name Beba from the gallery of Antefaquer II. (xii.) must go along with these. The name of King Mentuhotep (xii.) on a rather rude fragment seems to belong to this rise of finer work; it was from a mastaba to the east of Merra (see map). And the brilliant carved fragments also with a cartouche of Mentuhotep (base, right, xii.), must be but very little removed from the fine low reliefs of Amenemhat I. at Koptos. In this tomb was the pair of seated figures of Mentuhotep and wife shown in pl. xxi., see section 30. The plan of this Mentuhotep tomb is given on pl. xxxiii., and it shows that the early style of mastaba lasted on to the close of the XIth Dynasty. Of the same age probably is the mastaba of Atsa, immediately joining this to the south, the plan of which is over it (773) on pl. xxxiii.

The gallery of Antefaquer II. is unique in this cemetery, probably imitated from the rock-cut tombs of Thebes (see base xxxiii.). There is a long court in front of it, gradually deepening its way into a rise of hard gravel. The southern side is thus high enough to give space for a colonnade of four pillars and two pilasters. By the recess at the west end of the colonnade was the slab of Antef and wife; by the next recess, in the south face, was the lintel of Antefaquer II.; and by the passage in the middle of the colonnade was the drum of Beba, all shown together in pl. xii. This passage led to a small chamber with a pillar on either hand, in the west side of which are three pits. Beyond that it continued, narrowed at doorway, turned to the east and sloped down into a chamber. The whole of this we cleared out, but did not find any objects left in the tombs. The only discovery here, beside the tablets named above, was that of the late bronze vessels, evidently stolen and hidden in the sand of the corridor, near the roof. These will be described further on.

Adjoining this colonnade on the east is a peculiar chamber (see map and pl. xxxiii.), which we named the Hotep altar, as it contained a mass of brickwork of the form of an altar, which almost filled it; in short, it is a large altar fenced around by walls close to it. As we could not find any tomb or tomb-pit in connection with it, it seems possible that it was intended for offerings to the family of the colonnade tomb, after the colonnade and court had been filled up for better protection.

24. We have now passed through all the stages between the fine severe work of the IIIrd Dynasty and the revival of a somewhat neat and cold style in the close of the XIth Dynasty; and we can for the first time trace a continuous chain of examples, each of which is linked to the rest, all through the dark ages. We have seen that there was continuous degradation from the VIth to the XIth Dynasty; and the first conscious change toward a revival was a deliberate simplicity in class F, belonging to the earlier half of the XIth Dynasty. It was therefore the foundation of fresh power and organization under the Antefs which gave the spirit of a true revival. We see it growing in class G, and full-grown in class H, leading readily into the very fine work of Amenemhat I. We learn thus that new power and prosperity
precedes new art, as it likewise did in the
XVIIIth Dynasty, which opened on the almost
unaltered style of the XIIth. That such a
change should take place entirely within one
short dynasty is in accord with the great
difference in work between the coffins of the
earlier and later Antefs.

Before leaving the early tombs we should
name a peculiar burial. Some way to the west
of the Antefa mastaba was a heap of flints
piled loosely together, perhaps twenty feet
across and five feet high. We cut through it,
but found nothing down to the undisturbed
soil. A cross cut showed nothing; but seeing a
hollow just at one edge of our cutting I traced
it, and found a long grave quite out of the
centre. In it had been a coffin, with the body
of a young woman full length, head west, face
north, hands at sides. Outside the coffin, at the
head, were two vases of XIIth Dynasty, one
white, one red. On the feet was a small mirror
(pl. xx.), under the chin a kohl-pot, on the left
wrist a string of small garnet and silver beads,
and a thread of silver beads linking on a small
scarab in a silver mounting. A spiral of silver
and two beads were at the left ear. The
scarabs, and a little jasper shell, are shown in
pl. xx. The interest of this grave is that it shows
how burials are to be looked for under flint
tumuli, and at what age such were made. The
great flint tumuli at Naqada, which I fruitlessly
cut through, may probably yet conceal some such
burial, which could hardly be found without
removing the whole mass.
CHAPTER III.

THE POTTERY.

25. The pottery found in the tombs is classified roughly in three heads: that of the Old Kingdom (pl. xvi.), that between the Old and Middle Kingdom (pl. xvii.), and that of the Middle Kingdom (pl. xviii.). In order to save references the whole is continuously numbered through from 1 to 195, at the top right of each figure. Where a name is well known for a tomb it is placed below the pot; otherwise the number of the tomb is placed below at the right hand, and sometimes references to several tombs.

The plates are arranged mainly by the forms within each plate. Since arranging the plates letters A to H of the successive classes of tombs have been assigned from the references that we have already discussed in the last chapter. Anyone wishing to study these plates in detail is recommended to add in red pencil the following class letters on the drawings. Class A, figures 1, 14, 15, 21, 26, 29. Class B, figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 27, 30, 31, 32 to 37. Class C, figures 28, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 51, 76, 77, 83, 84, 102, 114, 187. Class D, figures 97, 179, 187. Class E, figures 48, 49, 50, 83, 105, 119, 120 to 126, 128, 134, 166, 167, 170, 172. Class F, figures 45, 49, 50, 54, 71, 144. Class G, figures 61, 83, 84, 98. Class H, figures 85, 87, 97, 98, 189. The other drawings may be many of them safely classed by their connection with the above-named; but these are all assigned by the style of the sculpture or form of the tomb.

26. The pottery of the IVth Dynasty is of very coarse brown ware (14, 15) are very usual at Medum, early IVth, and last till Deshasheh, late Vth Dynasty. The jars 21, 26 are closely like the type Medum xxx. 11; and fig. 29 is as Medum xxxi. 27. This is satisfactory, as showing how the same types were made nearly two hundred miles apart at the same time. The un-Egyptian form in pl. xxi. 1 is already described in sect. 4.

Of the Vth Dynasty we have the same spread of types in fig. 25, which is identical with the Deshasheh type 15.

In the VIth Dynasty we see the development of the long rough offering jar. In the early IVth at Medum it is pointed or irregularly tubular (Medum, xxxi. 15, 19); in the Vth at Deshasheh it has a rounded brim (22); in the VIth at Dendereh the brim has grown into an upright lip, see (8).

The ring-stands are of trumpet-shaped curves here in the IVth (see 1); less curved in the Vth at Deshasheh (7); more tubular in the VIth here (37), and VIIth-VIIIth (46); and vary from the curved to the quite tubular in the early XIth (48, 49, 50, 121). The type 153 is quite undated by the tomb, and is perhaps of the Old Kingdom. Low flat ring-stands appear in the early XIth Dynasty (120, 125). The tall pierced stands seem to be quite a different class. At Medum they are in pottery (Medum, xxx. 21), imitated in stone (xxix. 7). Here they appear with holes around the base in the VIIth Dynasty (fig. 28), and more elaborate in an undated one (38). Another of about the same time has only four rough holes (51). These pottery stands seem to be copied from
stands made of crossing reeds bound together, and plastered with mud, thus leaving the triangular holes which were here copied.

Of the bowls there is little to notice. The curves seem to be identical in the IVth Dynasty at Medum (M., xxxi. 4), in the Vth at Deshasheh (D., xxxiii. 1), and in the VIth here (4). The quality is also much alike, a rather soft brown pottery faced with red haematite. The more pointed bowls are likewise found at Medum (xxx. 4, 12), Deshasheh (xxxiii. 18), and here (3). The Zauta type (10) has also its precedent at Medum (xxx. 36).

The conical cups, such as 16 and 32, were found at Medum (xxx. 28), but may be there of the same age as these. The large well-rounded jars, 18, 19, 20, seem to have begun in the VIth Dynasty, the only earlier one being clumsily made (Deshasheh, xxxiii. 20). This type with the wider curve upward gave way in the VIIth to the drop form, with wide curve down, as 40: and this passed on to the XIIth Dynasty in types 61 and 189. The large jars 180-183 and 194-5 are probably all of XIIth-XIIth Dynasty. The drop form in smaller jars is also a change due to the VIIth Dynasty (42, 43, 44).

The upright vases with flat bottoms do not seem to be known in the IVth Dynasty; in the Vth are clumsy forms (Deshasheh, xxxiii. 12, 13); but in the VIth they are fully developed, as in 5, 7, 11, 22, and wider in 12, 13. They became very rude by the early XIIth, as in 124.

The date of the rise of the whitey-drab pottery (marked W on pl. xviii.) and scrabbled lines often made with a comb, is here shown to be earlier than the XIIth Dynasty, with which they are generally associated. The whitey-drab appears in the classes C and D (187), or about the VIIth to Xth Dynasties; and the scrabble combing appears at E (134), or the earliest XIIth Dynasty. And the entire absence of any names of the XIIth Dynasty in the cemetery shows that the considerable variety of these types of pottery on pl. xviii. must be attributed to the XIIth Dynasty. The little badly-formed flasks of drab pottery are here seen to belong to classes D (179) and E (166, 167, 170, 172), or the Xth and XIIth Dynasties.

Of the XVIIIth Dynasty a small quantity of pottery was found in tombs which were re-used in that age; all of it was of familiar types, except the bowl shown in xxv. 1. This has a tall peg of pottery in the middle, with three oxen walking round it; and a similar peg of pottery on the edge, where also remain some other figures of oxen. The animals may be connected with the Hathor worship, and the style of the bowl reminds us of the vases of Tahutmes III. with oxen, from the foundation deposits of Koptos. Several marks were found, mostly on pottery of the XIIth Dynasty, and cut in after baking as owner's marks. These are copied on pl. xx.a. Very similar cuts are made in the present day, as evidences of ownership, on the large water-jars of the fellahun.
CHAPTER IV.

FUNEREAL FURNITURE.

27. We have already noticed, in describing the tombs of Mena and Meru, the groups of copper models, of which the better is shown at the top of pl. xxii. This group was found scattered on the floor of the tomb. In the middle is a hotep altar, raised on legs connected by a cross rail, and four cups which were placed one in the other; above these are a model axe and adze, and a large curved blade which might be a full-sized razor. A similar blade, with elaborate open work of wire in it, was found the following year at Abadiyeh, also of the VIth Dynasty. The beads around the group are dark and light, and have been probably black and green, before rotting in the damp; the crossing ones between the threads are in the form of flies.

28. Of beads a great quantity was found, but the dating of them is difficult, as they were hardly ever associated with any inscriptions. Only five examples of beads dated by the other remains are before us. The beads of Meru are short plain tubes, originally black and green, between the flies in the photograph; these are VIth Dynasty. Of class D, about IXth-Xth Dynasty, is a string from tomb 316 of tubular and disc beads of dull blue-green glaze, the tubes are about .6 inch long, .15 diameter. Of class E is a string from the double mastaba, 778 (pl. xxxv.), of blue glazed globular beads, barrel-shaped of carnelian and amethyst, and a small snake head. Of class F are two beads from a pit in the same group with that of Nemy, one barrel-shaped blue-green glaze, the other a clear carnelian disc with rounded edge. Of class H are the beads from the Antefaquer gallery tomb, shown on pl. xxii.; a long string of clear carnelian barrel-shaped and disc beads. This is but little result from so many hundred tombs; but beads come commonly into use about the end of the XIth Dynasty, while stone sculpture is most usual in the VIIth-VIIIth Dynasties, and is scarce in proportion to the tombs in the XIth Dynasty.

Of the XIIth Dynasty but one tomb was found dated, of which the contents are at the base of pl. xx. There we find that commonly belongs to the XIIth Dynasty; globular carnelian and amethyst, barrel amethyst, round garnet, barrel and long glazed, and blue glazed with black spiral. The absence of globular carnelian and amethyst, of garnet, and of spiral patterns on the beads that we date before the XIIfth, and also generally in the mass of beads from the cemetery, strongly points to these having been introduced in the XIIth Dynasty.

29. Mirrors were not often found; all the examples are outlined on pl. xx. There are three of the VIth Dynasty, one of the XIth, and one of the XIIth. The only apparent difference is that the tang diminishes in the later times; the outline seems invariable.

The alabaster vases are not very common, all that were found being three outlined on pl. xx., the group found together of the VIth Dynasty, pl. xxi., and the group of the Antefaquer tomb, pl. xxii.

It will be seen that none of the forms of the VIth Dynasty, on pl. xxi., recur in the drawn
forms pl. xxi., except the large alabaster, 524, which is known to belong to the Vith Dynasty. This shows that there is a great break between the stone vases of the VIth Dynasty and the majority here, which are of the IXth. The only vases from N.-S. pits, presumably before the VIIith Dynasty, are those from tombs 524, 242, 274, and 32. Those from E.-W. pits, presumably after the IXth Dynasty, are Nos. 495, 473, 515, 508 and 480. Of the late IXth Dynasty are the three of Antefaqer, pl. xxii. And those which are probably of the XIIth Dynasty are Nos. 488, 481 and 700. We now have better material than ever before for dating the forms of the alabaster vases. But we shall not draw conclusions here, as a large number, and many dated examples, have been found at Hu, and will appear in the volume on Diospolis.

We may notice, however, that the thin slips of slate do not occur before Antefaqer at the end of the IXth Dynasty (xxii.), and the only other examples are along with blue marble kohl pots which seem to belong solely to the XIIth Dynasty. The only blue marble kohl pot which we can date here is in the solitary tomb with a XIIth Dynasty name, No. 700 (base of xx.). In that tomb also is the solitary example of a paint slab and rubber. It seems, therefore, that paint slabs, slate slips and blue marble may all be dated definitely to the XIIth Dynasty.

30. The rude trays of offerings of pottery, pl. xix., have not been precisely dated before. Most of these were found in indistinguishable pit tombs without any sculpture. Some few are, however, dated. The earliest is 15, of class D, or about the IXth-Xth Dynasty. The next is No. 3, that of Mera, which is of class E, or the earliest XIth Dynasty. The next is No. 13, which is of Hotepa, class F, or middle XIth Dynasty. It seems, therefore, that they began as simple tanks just before the XIth Dynasty, and the models of food were added later. The complex forms with shelters, staircases, and upper storeys, probably belong to the XIth Dynasty. Unfortunately the most elaborate have lately been forged very successfully, so that no fine specimens can be trusted unless found on good authority.

31. Very few statuettes were found in the tombs. The seated figure of Adu II. (pl. vii.), and the two rotted wooden figures in a minor burial in front of Adu II., have already been noted. These were the only figures belonging to the Old Kingdom; and through we searched carefully for serdab chambers, such as those of the Saqqara mastabas, not a single instance could be found; nor in those tombs nearly denuded away were any chips of statues ever seen about the surface. The figure of Adu II. was in the filling of the main well, about halfway up. We were therefore forced to the conclusion that statuary was very rare in Upper Egypt, and the serdab system unknown, in the Old Kingdom; hence the army of ka statues found in the tombs of Saqqara and Deshasheh seem to be peculiar to Middle Egypt.

The best figures were those of Mentuhotep and Nefermesut, misnamed Mestu (pl. xxii., the painted side inscription pl. xv. base). The man’s head is lost, but that of his wife is of excellent work; slightly more formal and liney than that of the Old Kingdom, but yet admirable in the power of expression. The surfaces are finely smoothed and coloured. It was found in the N.-E. corner of the inner chamber. From the very fine work of the sculpture of this tomb, with the name of King Mentuhotep (last group, pl. xii.), this belongs to the close of the XIth Dynasty.

Another figure is that of Atsa (pl. xxi.), found in the mastaba next south of Mentuhotep. This was in its original hiding, and shows that no serdab was built in the plan. It was placed in a niche in the N.-W. corner of the sepulchral chamber cut in the clay deposit, and plastered over, so that it was only suspected by accident. Three copper axes of the type on pl. xx. were
in the chamber. This tomb, from its position, must also be about the end of the XIth Dynasty. Besides these statuettes were two figures, one a woman seated alone, the other a woman nursing a child. These are shown on pl. xxi. Two quaint figures of mourners were found in a tomb, one tearing the hair, the other weeping. They are made from jars turned on the wheel, and then pinched into features, and arms added (see the base of pl. xxi.).
CHAPTER V.

THE CATACOMBS OF SACRED ANIMALS.

32. Next in time, we come to the catacombs for the sacred animals, which were begun in the XVIIIth Dynasty, and were added to until Roman times. When we first settled at Denderah I observed a great bank of coarse gravel close to our huts, rising some ten feet higher than the plain. We began by cutting trenches through this; but reached nothing, until, after passing the gravel bank, the men found the brick roofs of tunnels. Gradually we discovered and cleared these, in every tunnel moving out some yards length of rubbish at once, and so baring the floor in sections. Where there were burnt bones on the floor they were left to be cleared by my friends or myself, so that nothing should be overlooked. It was a considerable piece of work, the length of the passages being about 1900 feet, or over a third of a mile, and about 6000 or 7000 tons of stuff to be moved. It was thoroughly done, and the floors sounded all over for any buried deposits.

The oldest part appears to be a long row of chambers side by side, entered from a narrow passage running past the doors, marked XVIII. Dyn. on the plan, pl. xxxvi. These and all the other chambers were constructed by digging out a mass of gravel, building a brick vault in the hollow, and then replacing stuff over it: the great bank of gravel that we first found being the surplus due to the cavity of the chambers. In one of the chambers in the middle of the row there were some scraps of carved ivory under the burnt bones; among them two sistrum handles, on one of which could be read the inscription of a priestess of Hathor named Bukau (pl. xxiii.a). From the work this is probably of the XVIIIth Dynasty. More certain, however, were the pieces of blue glazed ware, shown on pl. xxi.ii. These were found broken up and cast aside, amid the burnt bones, and are clearly pieces of old temple furniture of Tahutmes III. and Amenhotep II., the same kings who made so much blue glazed furniture for Nubt, including the great was sceptre. They were nearly all in the west end of the narrow passage, and a few in the west chambers. The great ankh (7) is exactly like those from Nubt. The pieces of wands (2, 3) show the bend as on the dancers' wands figured in Deshoshek, pl. xii. There were several pieces of bowls and vases, mostly defaced by the fire, but some showing patterns as in figs. 6, 14. The hollow balls painted in stripes, figs. 8, 9, are common in this period; there were fragments of dozens of such, and it seems that they were used in decoration, possibly representing pendant fruit like the violet glazed bunches of grapes for attaching to the rafters. The entirely novel objects are the papyrus stems fitting into cross bases, figs. 10, 11, 12. Some fragments of such may have been found before, but their nature and design has not been apparent. Their use in a temple is not obvious; but as the Hathor cow is often represented walking in the midst of marsh plants, it is possible that a grove of these papyri was placed beneath and around a statue of the sacred cow in the temple. The band of glazed ware (19) shows traces of hieroglyphs, although the whole surface is burnt. Lastly, the little figures of Taurt (15, 17), and Hathor (16), and the beads (18), are just what were common in the XIIth Dynasty, and lasted
on in the early XVIIIth Dynasty, until the changes produced by the wars of Tahutmes III. The clearing of the temple of Deir el Bahri has taught us this continuance of style. All of this glazed ware has been badly burnt, so that not much of the blue survives; most of the surface is reduced to metallic copper by the action of the muffled fire. Some fragments of ivory were also found, of which the best is the king's head for inlaying, at base of pl. xxii.

What was the cause of this burning? At first we thought it might have been intentional, but there is no reason to suppose so. Rather, it seems, the chambers had been filled with animal mummies, wrapped in cloth with resins to preserve them; such mummies had been also stacked in the narrow passage until it was filled, and mingled with them were pieces of broken furniture from the temple. Then by some accident the mass caught fire; so fiercely did it burn, that the whole inside of the passage and chamber is vitrified, and the slag has run down the walls. This reduced the bulk to a layer of merely calcined bones and the indestructible glazed ware, a few inches deep on the floor. The tunnels were then clear; and in Roman times they were entered, and pieces of Roman pottery left behind. Also a large quantity of broken glass cylinders of that age were thrown away in the innermost end of the passage, marked on the plan: these will be described in the next chapter. Such seems to have been the history of the earliest catacombs here; and we cannot suppose such fragile glazed ware to have been in use for more than one or two generations, so that its ejection from the temple would be very likely under the new magnificence of Amenhotep III., which abounded in glazed ware. The use of these catacombs belongs then to the earlier half of the XVIIIth Dynasty. There is no evidence as to when the burning took place, except that it was before Roman times.

33. The extension of the catacombs by a new passage from N. to S. at right angles to the previous, seems to have been early; probably before the burial of these glazed objects. It will be seen that after the N.-S. passage was begun, and its chambers, an additional chamber has been added to the north of the old E.-W. passage. The old passage cannot then have been choked by burials; though perhaps the end of it already was, as the doorway is made as near the east as possible. So it appears as if by the time of Tahutmes III. the extension to the north was begun. There are no proofs of its age, except that the first half of it was finished, up to the first doorway, before the XXVIth Dynasty; as bronze situlae (xxiv. 10, 11, 12, 13) were found buried in a trench in the floor along the east side, as marked on the plan. Probably then these tunnels may be due to the XXIInd or XXXIrd Dynasty.

Beyond that a change of direction took place, and six more chambers were added up to a new doorway, while yet further four more chambers were added to a final doorway at the north end. These may belong to the XXVIth-XXXth Dynasties. A slight cornice to a doorway here agrees well to this late date. The fire did not extend beyond the second or third chamber on the west side of the N.-S. passage, and did not touch the chambers of hawks on the east side. Many of the tunnels were empty of all remains. Some contained bird mummies, hawks, ibises, and various smaller birds. In the burnt mass were bones of gazelles, cats, ichneumons, birds, and snakes. But in no part of the whole catacombs were there any burials of kine; and it seems as if the sacred cows were always buried singly in the open cemetery, except where a great mass of bones occurred in the pit of the tomb of Abu-suten. The whole of the selected specimens of the animal mummies and bones have been handed to the Natural History Museum at South Kensington, where they are being elaborately studied in connection with Dr. Anderson's work on the Egyptian fauna.
34. The old catacombs having been carried on as far as the ground was suitable, a new block was laid out in later times, probably Ptolemaic. These were to the south of the old ones, with a passage entered by steps from the north. The axis of the passage was just in the line of the older passage. The space was all excavated deep enough to hold the chambers, and the surplus gravel was thrown out on the west. It will be seen that all the walls are double, and not only the passage walls as in the older catacombs. This means that every chamber was independently built, without any connection with the others; whereas in the older part only the passage was an independent tunnel, with doorways which opened through the chamber ends, and the chamber sides served to carry two arches each.

Very little was found in these late catacombs, most of which were filled with blown sand. The earliest group was that of "bronzes and hawks" in the first west chamber. Here many embalmed hawks were buried, some with gilt stucco heads, but all were destroyed by burning. Over one was a hollow bronze case (xxiv. 19); and with it were the bronze Horus (18), Ra seated (20), and Hawk (21). These belonged to the regular use of the catacombs, and from the bronzes we may fairly date these buildings to the Ptolemaic time. In the end of the same chamber was a jar of mosaic glass, which we shall notice in the next chapter.

At the end of the passage were a few pieces of Roman bronze work, including the pan top from a tripod table. The two long chambers to the east were full of dogs, some dried whole (see base, pl. xxv.), some loose bones. This abundant burial of dogs in Roman times may perhaps give the age of a burial of dogs' bones in the offering chamber of mastaba 779, shown at the base of pl. xxxv.
CHAPTER VI.

THE LATER BURIALS.

35. Very few tombs of any importance were found of later date than the XIth Dynasty, though the number of burials of the Ptolemaic and Roman ages is prodigious. In a pit in the small mastaba of Adu IV. (pl. xxxv.) was a burial of a singer of the temple of Hathor, named Mutardus, daughter of Nes’hor’akah’ti-mer and Ruru, whose tablet (pl. xxv.) was made by her daughter Re’ankh’rent’es. She is shown holding the sistrum and adoring Horakhti; a proof that Horus was worshipped here in connection with Isis by the time of the XXVth Dynasty.

Two other steles were found of rather later date, see pl. xxv. One is of Horsiax adoring Osiris, Isis and Horus; the other of Pedu’hor-sam’taui adoring Atmu (?), Osiris, Horus and Ptah.

The next burials of importance were in a re-used mastaba (pl. xxxii.). The stairway of large steps ended at a blank face of gravel, and a narrow doorway opened on the east into a chamber. In this lay two limestone sarcophagi intact. On each of the bodies was a fine set of amulets, the scarab with wings and four genii, elaborately worked in blue paste. Upon the flat top of each sarcophagus was another body with amulets, but not so fine as those in the inside. The period is probably the XXXth Dynasty. Of about the same time is a rudely-cut sarcophagus in sandstone, with inscriptions along one side (pl. xxiii. A), which we sawed away. They refer to Nesi-Hor going in Duat under the protection of Shu and Tefnut, Anpu, Isis, Horus, Selk, and minor deities.

36. The great mass of Ptolemaic tombs were to the east, north and west of the catacombs. They were scattered without any system, as closely as might be, often breaking through below, over ground which had already been occupied with some long pit tombs of the early ages.

The entrance to each tomb is by a very narrow and steep stairway, descending about five feet, just large enough to squeeze into. Coarse pottery of offerings is found in these stair pits. In the east and west groups large peg-bottomed amphorae, one or more, are found in the pits, showing that this system came down to Roman times. At the bottom of the pit a little doorway in the end leads into a small square chamber, only about four feet high, the floor of which is below the door-sill, the roof level with the door lintel. This doorway was occasionally blocked by a stone slab, but usually built up with brick.

The chamber inside was about five or six feet square and about four feet high. Opposite the door, and usually also on each side, the upper half of the wall was cut into a recess, about five or six feet front to back. And on these platforms the mummies were stacked together, close side by side, with their heads at the edge along the chamber side. Thus each chamber contained a large number of bodies, sometimes only one or two, usually about a dozen, or even as many as thirty, laid one on the other. Sandstone steles (pl. xxv. A) were placed in the tomb; when in position they stood against the chamber wall beneath the mummy recess, just
below the head of the mummy to which each belonged.

The mummies when best prepared were in wrappings of coarse cloth, thickly swathed around into large unwieldy masses. On the heads were masks of painted or gilt stucco, and cartonnage pectorals and foot cases on the body. Or sometimes a continuous cartonnage or painted cloth covered the whole. On the outside of the wrappings were attached the amulets of blue glazed pottery (pl. xxvi.) or of stamped wax, in a more or less regular distribution: even when they had not been disturbed or fallen out of place they did not seem to have been accurately placed. The bodies with large numbers of amulets had also name labels of limestone (pl. xxvi.A, b), usually written on with ink, tied on to the throat; the labels when of wood had nearly all perished. Some of the richer class had shrouds of bead-work in patterns, showing the scarab and wings, the four genii, &c. These were but coarsely done and of poor colours. Such examples as were in good condition Mr. Davies preserved by covering the outside with a coat of melted bees-wax, as I had done long ago at Ilahun.

The poorer mummies were swathed and coated with bitumen; the head had been removed, and was reattached by a palm stick through the spine. Sometimes eyes of glass or coloured paste were set on.

37. The amulets (pl. xxvi.) are mostly made of a coarse blue glazed ware, moulded, and sometimes painted with detail in black. As this is the most complete series known of this age all the varieties are here published in photograph. They may be classified as follows:

- Osiris standing, mummified.
- Isis winged, standing.
- Nebhat, " "
- Isis " kneeling.
- Nebhat " "
- Isis seated.
- Osiris, Isis and Nebhat seated, triad plaque.
- Min.
- Bes.
- Taut.
- The four genii, each separately.
- " " " together, one plaque.
- Anubis and Ptah, plaque.
- Anubis laying out deceased on bier.
- Deceased on bier.
- Uza eye.
- Uza eye, winged, on legs.
- Heart.
- Breast.
- Two fingers.
- Ba bird.
- Kneeling man holding palm branches.
- Ape.
- Lion, human-headed, walking.
- Lion couchant.
- Cat.
- Bull couchant.
- Sheep.
- Ram’s head.
- Jackal seated.
- Jackal on tomb, two forms.
- Ichneumon.
- Vulture.
- Hawk crowned.
- " sideways.
- " facing.
- " akhom.
- " " with sistrum.
- " " with hawk on stand.
- Ibis.
- Uraeus.
- Uraeus with folded body.
- Uraei and sun.
- Scarab.
- Scarab with wings, straight.
- " " " curved.
Uaz, papyrus plant.
Reed, a.
Frame of garlands for the breast.

Deep collar, hawk's head ends.
Pectoral, Osiris and hawk.
Star.
Carpenter's square.
" plummet stand.
" stand with plummet.
Head-rest.
Double seal.
Ankh.
Girdle tie.
Dad.
Dad crowned.

This gives sixty-five varieties, beside two or three small forms which are so rough as to be unintelligible.

38. The position of these amulets is variable, and they seem to have been put on with only a rough regard to symmetry. Some, however, are tolerably constant in position. The mourning Isis and Nebhat are on the shoulders; below them the four genii, two on either side. An uzu eye is also on each shoulder. The jackal is generally on the hips; the hawk on the shoulders or hips. The winged scarab is usually on the breast bone. The star is twice on the neck, once on the navel. The dad is usually on the navel. Of course these amulets are by no means always present in a group, but these are the general positions when they do occur. The whole question of the position of amulets needs to be studied together; but, unhappily, there is scarcely any information on it except what I have observed at Nebesheh and Hawara. Broadly, the positions mostly remain the same in each of these groups. The carpenter's square and plummet, for instance, occur each but once at Denderah, but in the same position—on the left breast—in which they are found at both Nebesheh and Hawara. The dad is almost always on the stomach at each place. It is much to be wished that of the thousands of these small amulets discovered by plunderers a few hundreds might be reserved for careful and exact record.

An interesting formula occurs on the cartonage of two bodies (base pl. xv.), which seems to be new to us. It runs, "A royal offering, and bread, for the Osirian Pahequ, son of Pashemhor; he went to Osiris at the 19th year," or similarly for Pashemhor, son of Pedu'hor-sam'tau, "he went to Osiris at the 44th year." This phrase of going to Osiris seems as if it might have passed into early Christian phraseology.

Of the sandstone steles (pl. xxv.a) and the labels (pls. xxvi.A, B) the inscriptions are all that need notice, and these are dealt with by Mr. Griffith in his chapter.

A few late tombstones are given at the end of pl. xxv. The upper one seems to have a line of some unknown writing sideways on it, possibly in some Syrian alphabet. Below is a stone of one Titianos, and an inscription partly Coptic.

A few miles back in the desert we noticed a great number of stone heaps. These proved to cover shallow late Roman burials without any objects.
CHAPTER VII.

BRONZES, GLASS, &c.

39. Two groups of bronzes were discovered, apparently of the same date, and therefore perhaps hidden for the same cause. As the date of manufacture comes down to the XIXth Dynasty, they may have been rightfully hidden in the troubles of the end of the XIXth or of those of the XXIst Dynasty. But as the hiding places were rather far from the temples, to one of which these doubtless belonged, it seems more likely that they are the proceeds of a robbery.

The first group found was in the chamber of a small mastaba, No. 340, in the S.-E. corner of the cemetery (see map, pl. xxvii.); it consisted of ten objects (pl. xxiv.), as follow:—

Fig. 1. Cooking pot with swing handle, blackened outside.
2. Long-necked vase, fluted body.
4. Large pan with two handles.
5. Bowl with loop handle.
6. Dish.
10. Libation situla, dedicated to the ram of Amen.
15. Adze.
16, 17. Axes.

The second group was in the sand filling of the colonnade in front of the gallery tomb of Antefaqer (pl. xxxiii.); it consisted of three objects (pl. xxxiv.), as follow:—

4. Libation vase.
6. Similar, with dedication by Ramessu II.
9. Incense burner.

The main interest of these is in the fluted vases (2, 3), which have not been found before, although they are well known on the monuments of the XVIIIth Dynasty. No. 2 has a chased garland round the neck, of the style of Amenhotep III. and onward. No. 3 has a handle soldered on at the top, but never attached below. The soldering in these is autogenous soldering, or melting on some of the same metal; it is well seen in the bottom of the dish, fig. 8, which has broken through and been mended. The inscription on fig. 6 is the two cartouches and titles of Ramessu II. “beloved of Amen-Ra, lord of the thrones of both lands, lord of heaven.” That on fig. 10 shows the head of the ram, with a table of offerings before it and a line of dedication, rather difficult to read; as it was unexpectedly kept at the Cairo Museum, I am sorry not to be able to give a copy.

40. Other bronzes found are the situlae, figs. 11 to 14, found with two little worn alabastra in a trench in the floor of the animal catacombs. The large one with figures has two lines of inscription, hard to read, as such things usually are (see top pl. xxxiii.A); it is the dedication “To Amen-Ra, lord of the thrones of both lands, (may he) give life, strength, health, uplifting, and a good old age to Tharokes, (his) mother, the lady of the house, Hathor.” The age of these is probably the XXIIIrd-XXVth Dynasty. Tharokes is a foreign name, possibly of a Karian soldier, Tharkos, or some such name.

The group of Roman bronzes from the catacombs has been described in section 33.

Of these bronzes much more than half the value was kept in Cairo: the vase No. 2, large dish 5, inscribed libation vase 6, incense burner 9, inscribed situla 10, and adze 15.

41. Two discoveries of glass, apparently of Roman age, were made in the animal catacombs.
In the first were the pieces of about forty cylinders of glass, each about one inch across and an inch and a half long, with square holes through the axis. Their colours were brilliant, imitating lazuli, jasper, and turquoise. They had probably been broken in order to remove them from the square metal rods on which they had been fixed, perhaps for the stems of candelabra.

The history of their destruction had been that they were scrupulously preserved even when broken from the rod; most minute chips were kept together; then a cloth containing the fragments was shaken out in the farthest end of the narrow passage of the catacombs (marked glass cylinders, pl. xxxvi). That they were not broken up there is shown by the small chips lying mostly close together, as if shaken from a cloth; if broken in the passage the chips would have flown wide.

42. The other discovery, similar in its nature, was a jar of about the age of Constantine, standing in a corner of the later catacomb, marked “Mosaic glass” in pl. xxxvi. This was filled with pieces of glass inlay and mosaic, mostly squares of about an inch and a half. All had been set on a backing, and many had certainly been retained by metal strips which had been soldered on a metal back plate. It seems probable, from the similarity of the patterns to those of the Ptolemaic cartonnages representing deep collars, that all of these had been part of a great collar adorning the statue of Isis, or from a sacred bark. The pieces had been stripped away evidently in order to re-use the metal backing, as many of the soldered metal strips were cast aside with the glass. This is just like the breaking up of the cylinders, in order to remove the metal rods. This heartless utilitarian smashing of disused material is in curious contrast to the careful hiding away of the waste stuff as sacred property in the catacombs. That dedicated property should be so much respected as late as Constantine, and trouble taken to bury it reverently, is not what might have been expected.

As this glass has been divided between different museums (half to Cairo, the rest to the British Museum, Boston, Philadelphia and Chicago), it may be well to give a catalogue of it here.

\textit{Inlaid glass}, with a setting of bronze strip around each piece of inlay.

Blue square; rosette in it white on yellow 4, on red 3; red on white 2, on yellow 3; yellow on white 2, on red 2, on green 2.

White square; rosette red on blue 6; blue on red 5; yellow on blue 3, on red 2; blue on yellow 2.

Yellow square; blue on red 3, on white 2; green on blue 1; white on blue 1.

Green square; red on white 2.

Red square; blue on yellow 6; white on blue 2; yellow on blue 1.

Blue octagon; rosette in it white on yellow 6, on red 2; yellow on red 2, on green 1; green on red 1.

White octagon; blue on red 2; yellow on blue 3, on green 1; blue on yellow 6.

Green octagon; yellow on blue 1, on white 2; white on yellow 2, on red 3.

Red octagon; yellow on blue 1, on green 1; white on yellow 2.

\textit{Squares with raised boss in centre}: blue on white 3; red on blue 1; green on red 1.

\textit{Disks in octagons}: green in red 1; red in green 1; white in blue 1; blue in white 2.

\textit{Striped squares}, red, white, and blue stripes, 16.

\textit{Fused glass mosaics}:

\textit{U}za eye; on red ground 2.

Ankh; in green 2, in blue 2.

Flowers; yellow on white 1, white on blue 1.

Lotus flower; red, blue centre 8; white, red and blue centre 8; red calyx, striped 5; orange calyx, striped 3.

Papyrus; orange calyx 3; white calyx 5.
Triangles: for zig-zag pattern borders: red 34, white 63, green-blue 51, dark blue 9.

Drop pendants: green-blue 9; dark blue 16; red 7. White settings for these 29.

Besides these there was a great quantity of plain strips for borders. The whole covered about six or eight square feet, and so must have come from a large object. There were 158 patterned pieces (or parts of such), and 218 plain pieces, beside strips. With these were some scraps of inlay of wings of fused mosaic; and similar pieces from hawk figures, and two glass heads of hawks, were found in a small jar also hidden in the catacombs. We have not attempted to illustrate these, as to do so suitably would need costly coloured plates.

43. Three finds of coins were made. One lot of 242 tinned bronze folles of the Diocletian age was buried in rouleaux between the bricks of the floor of a Roman house at the back of the temple. The numbers of each emperor were—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emperor</th>
<th>Coins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diocletianus</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licinius</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeria</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximin, Caes.</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximian, Caes.</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantius, Caes.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantinus, Aug.</td>
<td>1 ALE·ANT·AQS·B·NTE·RP·SIS·SP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proportion of the various places of mintage is Alexandria 171, Kyzicus (and Karthage?) 17, Antioch 15, Thessalonika 13, Nicomedia 9, Rome 5, Treves 5, Siscia 4, Aquileia 3.

Two other lots of later coins were found in small jars. They were all washed and classified as far as could be while I was at Denderah. There were of—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coin</th>
<th>Legible</th>
<th>Barbaric</th>
<th>Illegible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carthage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantine I, deified</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantine II.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constans</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantius II.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantine family (illegible)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helena</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnus Maximus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MINT MARKS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emperor</th>
<th>Coins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diocletianus</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licinius</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeria</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximin, Caes.</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximian, Caes.</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantius, Caes.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constantinus, Aug.</td>
<td>1 ALE·ANT·MKV·NTA, B·A·SMNB·</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALE·MKV·MKVA·NTB·SMNA

ALE·ANT·AQS·AS·KA·MKV·NKV·PT·SIS· |

SMKA·SMNA·SMTS·T·TT· |

ALE·KB·ST·T·TR· |

ALE· |

ALE· |

ALE· |

Jovian         | 1 1   |

Valentinian I. | 28 102|

Valens         | 119   |

Gratian        | 1 20  |

Valentinian II.| 25 152|

Theodosius I.  | 24 257|

Flaccilla       | 1 5   |

Honorius       | 7 19  |

Arcadius       | 28 217|

Theodosius II. | 9     |

Valentinian III.| 1 1   |

Legible        | 137 1100|

Barbaric       | 62    |

Illegible      | 172 978|

Total          | 371 2078|
44. These samples of coinage may be assumed to be a tolerable average of what was in circulation when they were buried. They suggest some interesting inquiries, to which we may give some answers, taking them in connection with four other deposits of the fifth century A.D. found at Hawara, and published in "Hawara," p. 13.

The proportion of coins of any past reign is the resultant of two variable quantities, the rate of coinage in that reign, and the waste of the coinage ever since it was struck. Now that waste will probably go on at about the same rate under similar conditions of civilization, and may be assumed to be at an average during the century or so which we have to deal with. That the waste was considerable is clear from the almost entire disappearance of the copious coinage of the Constantine family within a century and a half.

To treat the statistics that we have of finds of coins they must be placed on a uniform basis. This is best done by reducing or increasing the numbers of each reign so as to produce for each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>Actual Numbers</th>
<th>Per 1000</th>
<th>Per Year</th>
<th>Years before Burial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constantine family</td>
<td>337—351</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4:7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julian</td>
<td>360—363</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jovian, 7 mos.</td>
<td>363—364</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentinian I. and Valens</td>
<td>364—376</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>17:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratian</td>
<td>376—381</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentinian II.</td>
<td>383—392</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>20:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodosius I.</td>
<td>379—395</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>11:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorius</td>
<td>395—423</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1:8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadius</td>
<td>395—408</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>15:9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodosius II.</td>
<td>408—450</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3:7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentinian III.</td>
<td>425—455</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7:0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It need hardly be remarked that separate emperors of East and West must have the rates per year added together for the rate of coinage of the whole empire, to be comparable with the coinage of sole emperors. Thus Honorius and Arcadius are together 17:7 per annum. As many coins of Arcadius went west as those of Honorius came eastward, in all probability.
The fifth step is to combine these results from different finds so as to discover the rate of waste. If, for instance, we have of Valentinian II. 20 coins per annum of the reign surviving after 38 years, as above, and in another find 5 coins per annum surviving after 92 years, we have definite information as to the waste of coinage.

From comparing the rate of waste in the six finds above named, there appears to be a loss of a tenth of the coinage in every five years. The rate from different instances varies somewhat, a tenth being lost in 2:9, 4:9, 4:9, 5:3, 5:7 and 10:0 years. Taking a loss of a tenth in each five years, it implies that 1 in 48 coins was lost every year. If we suppose four dozen of these little minimi to have been usually carried about in the pocket of each man, and that he lost one a year, it will certainly seem but a moderate allowance for accident and carelessness. It will be convenient for reference to state what the scale of waste is at the rate of a tenth in five years; the waste of any quantity in any number of years can readily be taken from this table. Of 100 coins struck there will be left at the end of—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Coins</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Coins</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Coins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beyond this limit there begins to enter another consideration, of stray coins being rediscovered and reused. For all practical purposes such a table suffices. To show the use of it we may say that in a find of coins (proportioned throughout to a total of 1000, so as to be comparable with other finds), if there be 5 per annum of a reign whose middle was 33 years before, that will mean that there were 10 per annum struck for every 1000 coins then in use, inasmuch as 50 coins is the residue surviving of 100 after 33 years. Or, instead of proportioning, we can use any part of the table; as for instance in this case, we can look for 5 coins in the table, which is at 142 years, and then at 33 years before that (i.e. at 109 years) we find 10 coins. Thus for the given rate of waste which seems to hold good among minimi in the Vth century A.D.—namely a tenth every five years—this table shows what the waste of any number is in any number of years up to 150.

Now from this it is clear that, given the number of coins of any reign per annum of the reign, and the years elapsed, we can say pretty
certainly how many they were at any earlier time, and therefore at the time when they were struck. Thus we can say what addition to the currency each emperor made per year of his reign. From the material we have named already we can thus deduce that for a thousand coins in circulation at the time of the following reigns—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coined Yearly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constantine family</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julian</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jovian</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentinian I.</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratian</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valentinian II.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodosius I.</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honorius</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcadius</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodosius II.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcian</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo I.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeno</td>
<td>over 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus it is possible to work out the actual rate of coinage during each reign; and though these numbers may easily vary a little owing to the accidents of the deposits, yet they show very clearly how the activity of the mint was started afresh by Jovian, Theodosius, and Marcian, and ran gradually downhill after each fresh start. These results only apply of course to part of the Eastern Empire; in the west the proportions of the coins of eastern and western emperors is naturally different.

We have here a new source of interest in the exact records of finds of coins, and a fresh light on the economic study of the empire.
CHAPTER VIII.

THE INSCRIPTIONS.

By F. Ll. Griffith, M.A., F.S.A.

45. The inscriptions from the cemetery of Denderah fall into two distinct groups of quite different dates—the great collection of mastaba inscriptions from the VIth Dynasty to the XIth (pls. i.-xv.), and the inscriptions of the Ptolemaic and Roman period (pls. xxiii.-xxvi.3); it is a singular fact that hardly any are to be placed outside these groups. The first group represents a fine amount of material, most of which has come down to us in an extremely fragmentary state. Professor Petrie and his assistants gathered up every fragment of inscribed stone they could find. From many tombs only a few chips were recovered, yet sometimes even these have preserved names of the owner or of some of his family. After careful examination of all the fragments some had to be set aside as useless; but all that is of interest is shown in the plates. Though names and titles and funerary formulae constitute the bulk, the stela of Chnemerdu, scraps of biographical inscriptions and cartouches of Mentuhotep show that historical matter of importance was not wanting among the monuments of the cemetery. If these had come down to us intact, undoubtedly they would have thrown a comparatively clear light on the dark period between the Old and Middle Kingdoms. Even as it is a long and interesting series of documents is now added to the scanty collections of material for this time; and the strange absence of monuments of any other Pharaonic period itself raises questions of great importance.

46. In this chapter on the inscriptions the aim in view is not so much to bring out their historical value as to translate examples of them literally or give summaries of their meaning. Before dealing with the contents of the plates in detail, it will be well to explain, as far as may be, the funerary formulae which so constantly recur in Egyptian tombs.

Though this is not the place to enter into a discussion of the ideas of the Egyptians as to the future of their dead, a very brief statement may be permitted of what seem to have been the main considerations kept in view by the priests with regard to burial.

Obviously there was in the first place the corpse itself to be considered. This the Egyptians called chat. In course of time they contrived to preserve it in a very complete state, deranging only the internal organs and the brain, and embalming some portions separately. But in earlier days the best mode of treatment they could devise was either to let the flesh decay naturally in the ground, or else to quicken the operation, and bury only the well-cleaned framework of bones upon which the body had been built, and on which it might again be reconstituted by the help of spells. For this remnant of the material body an outfit of clothing, unguents, &c., was provided, such as had been needed in the life-time. This equipment may be called the permanent outfit, and the burial with its accompaniments of coffin and permanent outfit was termed by the
Egyptians *qrest*, a word which may possibly in origin mean something like "the treatment of the skeleton," as W. Max Müller has pointed out.

Secondly, there was the immaterial life, consisting of sundry activities, perhaps in early times designated as the *kas*, the "workers," which set the body in motion, the chief of these being the true *ka*, or life and "energy," and the *ba*, or "soul" and will. The life was of far greater importance than the corpse, which was only as it were a habitation occasionally required for the life, and which might be replaced by a statue or other counterfeit representations. Without the life the body lay inert; nevertheless the life apart from the body required daily nourishment, and could enjoy the luxuries of existence. No doubt the Egyptians reasoned that the "life" was not material, and therefore its feeding would not be material: the *ka* of the man would feed on the provisions or their counterfeit presentiments, and yet not consume them.

The bringing of offerings on feast days was duly arranged for, but the matter was also placed beyond the reach of human shortcomings by the dogma that the gods themselves provided supplies. The ever recurring expression *pert-kheru* is probably to be translated "coming forth of a voice" or "summons," denoting the divine call to the dead to partake of sacrifices; the offerings themselves also were often called *pert-kheru*. The Egyptians were not, perhaps, ever persuaded of the truth of any one set of doctrines, and they were willing to take any measures that might help to attain a success which must have seemed at times somewhat problematic. Later their beliefs and practices became exceedingly complex and contradictory. We seem to discern that in the early days their views were simpler, but evidently they thought their preparations for launching the dead into futurity of little avail if spells were not pronounced, of which the gist is the assumption that all is right. State a thing to be— in proper form—and by the supernatural force of the statement it actually is. Such appears to be a leading principle of magic in all countries.

47. In the Old Kingdom the normal type of the common magic formula to be pronounced for the dead is: "Favour accorded by the king and Anubis, a good *qrest* (or burial equipment) and long endurance to (name and titles)," or "Favour accorded by the king and Anubis, the coming forth of a suminous, bread and beer, oxen and fowl, &c., to (name and titles)." The formula reads like a joint decree of the king of Egypt and the god of burial. That the god of burial should be invoked was natural; it was also fitting to invoke the favour of the king in whose dominion the deceased had lived his life, and in which lay both his tomb and the property which endowed it. In some extremely early cases (*Mastabas, 74-7* (?), and *Medium, pl. xiii.*) the decree is in the sole name of Anubis; sometimes in the IVth Dynasty it is in that of the king alone; but generally it is their joint decree, the king always taking the precedence, and the formula was actually entitled by the Egyptians, "Favour accorded by the king." Perhaps he was looked upon as the donor or sanctioner of the material offerings which the god enabled the dead to profit by, or, as Prof. Petrie thinks, the king himself as high priest was supposed to make the offering for the deceased (*Mar., Abydos, ii., pl. 48*). It is hardly necessary to say that the king is designated merely by his official title, and is never any specific Pharaoh. At a somewhat later date another decree, parallel to and following the one in the names of the king and Anubis, was inscribed in the names of the king and of Osiris, king of the dead, into whose realm the deceased passed. Subsequently we find invoked Geb, the god of the earth, in whose bosom the tomb was excavated; then—as presiding over the locality in which the man had lived, died and was buried—the local god of the nome.
Eventually the gods decreeing favour to the dead became very numerous, and were often summed up as “all the gods.” In the XIIth Dynasty the formula on the tomb-stones changed considerably. Its type then is, “Favour accorded by the king and Osiris, &c.; may he (Osiris) give pert-kheru to the ka of N.”; but of this the mastaba inscriptions from Dendereh, which are all of the Old Kingdom form, furnish no examples, though it is not without a parallel in the Pyramid texts of the VIth Dynasty.

48. Pl. I. This plate must be studied in connection with the other inscriptions from the tomb of Mena reproduced on pls. ii., iii. The earliest inscription, however, in the whole series from Dendereh, is shown on pl. ii., and is that of Seten-n-abu. As is clear from the later spelling of the name on pls. viii. and xi.a, Seten-n-abu, not Abu-seten, is the correct reading. As meaning “king of hearts,” this name may be compared with the common Khenti-kau, “first of kas.” Seten-n-abu was rekh seten, heneneter Hethet, “royal acquaintance and priest of Hathor”; any other titles he may have held are lost, but no doubt he was the chief man of his day at Dendereh.

We now reach one of the most important series of inscriptions, those from the tomb of Mena, who lived in the reign of Pepy II. of the VIth Dynasty, and probably also under the preceding kings, Pepy I. and Merenra: he was certainly sheikh of the pyramid cities of all three kings. From one of the slabs (pl. ii.) we see that he was also called Men-ankh Pepy, a name compounded with that of the pyramid of Pepy II., and probably received in old age: for a similar compound compare the name Mennefer Pepy on pls. vii., xiii. Mena is one of the very few Egyptians known named after Menes, the founder of the first historical dynasty of Egypt. The occurrence of this name at Dendereh is not without weight in connection with the theory that the king whose tomb was lately found at Naqada is Menes himself. As at Kahun, not far from the two pyramids of Seneferu, the name Seneferu was common during the XIIth Dynasty, so it may well have been that the name Mena was used during the VIth Dynasty at Dendereh, owing to the proximity of Menes’ tomb. Naqada is but twenty miles south in the adjoining nome; Abydos, the supposed capital of the first two dynasties, is fifty miles west, and separated from Dendereh by the VIIth nome of Upper Egypt. More examples of the name should be looked for north and south of Naqada, and around the entrances of the Quâr road from the Red Sea.

The stela (pl. i.) shows a folding door with bolts, decorated with two sacred eyes, indicating protection or watchfulness; on the lintel are inscribed the titles and name of Mena, implying that he is the owner of the house. There are four representations of the figure of Mena approaching this door, each of them accompanied by an inscription giving his name and titles; above is the announcement, “Favour given by the king and Anubis, pert-kheru to the ha-prince, &c., Mena, who is deserving well of Osiris.” The underlying idea seems to be that the house contains a banquet for Mena. A similar inscription runs along the cornice of the stela, and below it is a picture of Mena sitting at his banquet in a chamber or court of the house. He wears a leopard-skin, and before him is a table spread apparently with palm-branches or reed-stems; behind and below it are numerous trays of offerings. In the earliest representations of this scene the table is covered with halved or quartered loaves of bread, but these became more and more conventionalized, until towards the end of the Old Kingdom the meaning was lost and the shapes became unintelligible; so they continued to be drawn throughout the Middle Kingdom, after which the conventional half loaf form was for a time resumed. At Dendereh constantly, and
occasionally elsewhere at the end of the Old Kingdom and during the Saite revival, the objects are clearly intended for reeds or palm-branches, and bear out Borchardt's explanation of them as such (J. Z., 1893, p. 1). It is of course extremely probable that leaves were actually used as a pleasant table-cover on which to place the food: halved leaves were a more barbarous and utilitarian substratum. The inscription immediately above this scene reads: "Favour accorded by the king and Osiris; a thousand of bread, a thousand of beer, a thousand of oxen, of oryx, of re-goose, terp-goose, of pintailed duck, of widgeon, of pigeons, a thousand of cloth and of thread, a thousand of all good vegetables and of all good things to the ha-prince, Mena."

Mena is entitled ha, chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, governor of a fortified town, confidential friend of the king and sekhem of the bat. With the exception of the last title, which is new, these are the ordinary titles of great people of the time. The reading sekhem instead of kherp seems proved by the variant on the lintel (pl. ii.); otherwise we might have read kherp mebat, "constructor," or "organizer of a mebat." bat, determined by the figure of a boat, is a new word; it may designate the sacred bark of Hathor at Dendereh, but as this bears a quite different name in the Ptolemaic Edfu list (Brugsch, Diet. Géog., 1862), we must wait for further instances before translating the title.

Pl. II. The five rectangular blocks with raised inscriptions shown in pls. ii., iii, are the remaining specimens from a number of slabs engraved with the ordinary formulae, which were placed over recesses in the exterior wall of the mastaba. The first inscription reads: "Favour that the king accordeth and Anubis, a summons, food and drink to the chancellor, the confidential friend of the king, desiring before Hathor lady of Dendereh, Men-ankh Pepy, whose good name is Mena." The second reads: "Favour that the king and Osiris accord, his good burial in the goodly West"—titles and name. (Two of the stone lintels from the tops of the recesses, inscribed simply "the ha, Mena," are shown on pl. ii., A.) The fragmentary block with incised inscription, showing a man and his wife, was found on the east side of the tomb of Mena. The inscription in front of the man was evidently of a type well represented from other tombs at Dendereh; over the woman is inscribed, "his wife whom he loves, the royal favourite Nebt-at-ef."

Pl. II A. Restored line of inscription on the stone cornice:

"[Favour granted by the king, and Osiris lord of Busiris] and] Khent-Amen-Atu, lord of Abydos in all their places, [funeral offerings to the ha, Mena: he saith, I judged brothers] to their reconciling, I heard the word [of him whose throat was con-
tracted (with fear?)], I cured] the wandering of the oppressed, I saved the weak from the hand of him that was stronger than he, I held forth justice to the just, of [the desire of long life] upon earth. I was noble ................ I am one to whom a summons and food shall issue (pert-kheru) in Dendereh amongst the devoted: the lector, [the superintendent of] Pharaoh's [garden, the sekheem] of the bat ........., the superintendent of the Black Land, lord of the Red Land, lord ..........., priest choosing the guard (?) of this temple, devoted [to] Hathor, [mistress of Den-
dereh, Mena.]"

For the two forms of Osiris, as god respec-
tively of Busiris and Abydos, see P.S.B.A., November, 1899.

The passage referring to pert-kheru is of great importance if it refers to privileges enjoyed by Mena during his life-time, i.e. if the pert-kheru were supplies of provisions from the temple or palace; perhaps, however, it is safer to under-
stand it as referring in the future tense to post-
mortem prerogatives.

On the same plate there is shown a defaced but important fragment of a stone on which two inscriptions had been symmetrically en-
graved side by side, each reading from the
DENDEREH.

centre outwards. On pl. xxvi.c will be found my transcription from the original as checked by an earlier copy of Professor Petrie's, and also by the photograph. The inscription on the left:—

"Favour granted by the king and Osiris .................
[pert-kheru]
in the Uag festival and the Hermopolite festival ............
the ha, Mena. He saith, 'O ye ................
............ as ye love [the king ............]
say ye, thousands of bread and beer, thousands ..........
[to the superintendent]
of all 'earth-hair' of the Nome, the devoted [Mena
................."

The inscription on the right:—

"Favour granted by the king and Anubis, [a good burial]
in the Western Hill .................
as one truly devoted .................
the lector, the superintendent of Pharaoh's garden ..... 
of southern barley, spelt, oxen .................
of Hathor, mistress of Dendereh ............."

The title "superintendent of all earth-hair (shen ta) of the nome" occurs again in the inscription shown on the right-hand top corner of pl. iii. shen ta, whatever it may be, is a substance commonly prescribed in the medical papyri; but it is by no means certain that the shen ta of the title is the same thing. In these texts sepat, "Nome," is constantly used without qualification for the nome of Dendereh.

The inscription on the rectangular block shown on the lower left-hand corner of the plate runs: "Favour granted by the king, and Anubis upon his hill, and Ami-Ut lord of the Sacred Land, the coming of a summons, food to ............ Mena. O ye who live and are upon earth, who love life and hate death, as ye ............ as ye desire to be followers of the god of your city on earth, [so say ye thousands of] all good things to the chancellor, &c., &c., Mena."

Pl. III. The inscriptions, &c., represented on this plate were painted on the walls of the doorless burial chamber of Mena: the roof was merely painted to imitate granite. The body no doubt lay in one or more coffins with its head to the north. The north wall consisted of two equal slabs, grained to imitate wood, which represented folding doors, on either leaf of which was painted a sacred eye. Compare the false door in pl. i. On each slab a short horizontal line and two vertical columns of inscriptions record the name and dignities of Mena. On the unmutilated slab we read that he was ha, chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, confidential friend (of the king), lector, sekhem bat, governor of Men-nefer (Memphis), the pyramid city of Mery Ra (Pepy I), and of Kha-nefer, the pyramid city of Merenra, superintendent of [all] fowling and hunting (mer uha nu neb) of the nome, superintendent of all "earth-hair" (vegetation, trees?—see above) of the nome, also that he was "deserving before Osiris, lord of Dadu, in all his places." The mutilated inscription on the other half of the wall doubtless testified that he was deserving before Anubis; here, as on the long walls of the chamber, it will be seen that the inscriptions on the east refer to Osiris, and those on the west to Anubis.

On the east wall the horizontal inscription runs: "Favour that the king grants and Osiris, lord of Busiris, chief of Western people, lord of Abydos; pert-kheru to him in the Uag festival, the Hermopolite festival, the festival of the beginning of the year, the festival of New Year's day ..... every good festival, according to what belongs to the day each day, the ha prince, the governor of a fortress, the confidential councillor (of the king), the well deserving, Mena." Below this inscription at the north end of the wall is the grand false door; then, corresponding to the section devoted to sacred oils on the west wall, is a tabulated list of offerings forming the daily menus of the deceased; lastly, there are pictured, in five rows, piles of food, sometimes decorated with flowers—bread, flesh, fowl, wine,
beer, vegetables, fruit, placed on stands or mats, or in fine dishes and bowls.

The west wall is divided into compartments corresponding to those on the east wall, and above them the horizontal inscription reads: “Favour granted by the king and by Anubis, who is on his hill, and by Ami-Ut, the lord of the Sacred Land; that he be buried well in the West, the Western Mountain, in his chamber of the necropolis—the chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, the governor, the confidential friend (of the king), deserving before Hathor, mistress of Denderah, Mena.” Below this inscription at the north end of the wall is the grand false door, from which bolt and eyes are nearly obliterated. The middle division is concerned with ten (?) sacred o"ils (or rather seven, tabulated in ten compartments) used in embalment and various ceremonies, two vertical columns of inscription announcing: “Giving all pure oils...... [to Mena].” Beneath the names and pictures of the oils in their several well-sealed vessels are short speeches addressed to Mena by the embalmers, such as recur with variants in the Pyramid texts (Neferkara, ll. 308-317), and seem to be almost meaningless plays on the names of the oils. The southern end of the wall, below the horizontal inscription, is divided into five rows of offerings in boxes and on stands with names and numbers, connected with the toilet of the dead. The first two rows show boxes of linen; the first is of hatiu-linen, and of this there are enumerated: 100,000 pieces or lengths of the six-thread quality, 110,000 pieces five-thread quality, 110,000 four-thread quality, 100,000 two-thread quality, 120,000 one-thread quality. Next comes an inventory of the contents of the box holding the [?]eqt linen, so with the box of “fine royal,” that of “fine royal dau,” that of “leading,” and that of “large”—six chests of linen in all. Of each kind of linen, except the dau, different degrees of fineness appear to be indicated by the number of threads in a given space of the cloth; but it is curious that though six-, five-, four-, two-, and one-thread cloth are mentioned, there is no three-thread quality. Row 3 shows one cof"er containing balls of incense 21,000, another containing the same number of vases of seth oil, and another with 10,110 (?) jars of hekm oil. In the fourth row are necklaces, pendan-"ts, bracelets, &c., of gold and choice stones, “gold, carnelian, lapis-lazuli,” &c.; and in the fifth row is a couch or stand laden with jars, head-"rests (of “alabaster”), cloth, &c. The rest of this row is effaced.

The south wall, at the foot of the coffin, seems to have been painted with much the same kinds of stores as the west wall; but most of this has disappeared.

Pl. IV. The tabulated list of offerings from the east wall of Mena’s sarcophagus chamber (see pl. iii.) on a larger scale. Throughout the Old and Middle Kingdoms this list varies scarcely at all from tomb to tomb; it included everything required for the daily personal needs of an Egyptian noble—unguents, eye-paint, &c., as well as food.

49. Pl. V. A wall of the upper chamber"f of Adu I., copied by Mr. Davies, with restora-"tions made from Professor Petrie’s earlier copy. It gives the titles of Adu as chancellor, privy councillor, lector, and governor of Men-ankh, the pyramid city of Nefer-ka-ra (Pepy II.), and of Men-nefer, the pyramid city of Pepy I. Adu is here represented “harpooning fishes,” accom-"panied by “his son whom he loves, the governor of a fortress, chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, privy councillor, the lector Zau (?)” by another “son whom he loves, the lector, the scribe of amu-boats, Adu,” by a daughter, “royal relative, priestess of Hathor ......,” and by “his wife whom he loves, the unique royal ornament (?), deserving before the king, Beba.”

Pl. V.a. The inscriptions from the sepulchral chamber of Adu I. The walls were painted
with offerings, somewhat in the style of the closed chamber in the tomb of Mena; the room itself, however, was T-shaped, not merely oblong. See plan in corner of plate. The entrance is at A, E. A band of pictured offerings, with an inscription below, runs round the transepts half-way up the walls. From A-D the inscription reads: “Bringing choice meats, the pick of the table, to the chancellor, &c., [Adu, well-deserving before Osiris]. (B-C) May he be followed by his noble kas ...... may he pass along the sacred ways of Ament (C-D) on which the rewarded are met; he joins the earth, he crosses the sky, the Western Mountain gives her hands to him, in peace, in peace, before the great god as one rewarded.” E-H: “Bringing choice meats, all good vegetables, and all good things to him who is rewarded before the great god, (F-G) lord of Ament, the chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, the governor of a fortress, the privy councillor, lector, great prince of the Nome, who is over the secrets of every secret communication brought (G-H) to the Nome, rewarded by Osiris, lord of Busiris, rewarded by the great god, Adu.” The coffin was placed, head to the north, in the long limb of the cross H-D, on the three walls of which a line of inscriptions ran under the cornice, the offerings being represented below. On the east wall (H-I) was a false door with “Favour of the king and Anubis, pert-kheru, &c.,” and beyond it an inscription proceeded: “Favour of the king and of Anubis on his hill and of Ami-Ut, lord of the Sacred Land; he hath a good burial in his chamber of kher-neter, the Western Mountain; a very good rank (or old age?) as rewarded by the great god lord of Ament, the ha superintendent of the South Country [in very truth] (n ḫn mn, cf. inscription on I-K and the common expression n ḫn mn), the chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, privy councillor, lector, great chief of the Nome, Adu.” Below this inscription were tabulated offerings, &c., as

on pl. iii. On the west wall (D-K) the inscription is in much the same words as on H-I, the grant being made to Adu by favour of the king and “Anubis in the Divine Hall” of “burial and good old age (??); he joins the earth and crosses the sky, the West gives him her two hands in peace, in peace (i.e. with welcome) before the great god.” Above the granaries on K-I, at the foot of the coffin, nothing but the name and titles of Adu were inscribed.

In these inscriptions the cemettes hieroglyph is always drawn as decapitated, though the head is not omitted, and the hieroglyphs representing human figures are drawn without the lower limbs.

Pl. VI. Cornice fragments from the same tomb, that of Adu I. “[I satisfied the poor?] with bread and beer,” and—connecting the second and fourth fragments from the top right-hand corner of plate—“never was [the like] done [by] others to whom had fallen this office (aat) of chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt.”

Stela of Sekhet-hetep (?): Favour granted by the king and Anubis, pert-kheru for the “royal acquaintance, priestess of Hathor, lady of Dendereh, well-deserving before Osiris, lord of Busiris, well-deserving before the great god ...... Sekhet-hetep (?).”

Cornice fragments from the tomb of Adu II.: “Favour accorded by the king and Hathor, lady of Dendereh,” &c. ...... “[I judged brothers] to their reconciliation, I loved what was good before the great god, I heard the word of him whose throat was contracted, I cured the fault of the weak (?) with the intent ...... [those who saw] my workmanship therein (in my tomb ?), they praised god ......” The fragments of stelae below the cornice fragments are also from the tomb of Adu II.; the first fragment should be joined to the third from the left. In translation they are of no interest, but they contain curious forms and variants.

The three fragments at the bottom of the
plate, found near the tomb of Adu II., show nothing remarkable except the spelling of the name Degat on the central one. Degat rather than “Qebdat” is the reading. The same fragment is clearer on pl. vii.A, “Various.” On the left-hand fragment is, “I was beloved of my father, praised of my mother, I was beloved of [my brethren].” &c.

50. Pls. VII., VII.A. Below further fragments from the tomb of Adu II., on pl. vii., are others from the tomb of Pepy-Seshem-nefer (not “Pepy-Ta-Snefer”), whose good name was Senna. He held the usual titles of “royal chancellor,” “confidential councillor,” also those of “superintendent of writing,” “superintendent of the houses of corn-storage,” and a hitherto unknown title, “making the voyage of Hathor, lady of Dendereh.” On pl. vii.A he is also entitled “lector, chief of the secrets of divine speech, chief of the secrets of the divine chancellor.” On the fragments of the cornice (pl. vii.A) there is mention of various trees, kesebt, alu (?), and [ses]-nezem: the last is the carob tree. Evidently he was an enthusiastic planter of trees, and his sma-land—probably the borderland between the alluvial soil and the desert—was “filled with oxen, goats, and asses.” On one of the fragments there is a reference to a “[keeper] of thetet-oxen, great chief of the Nome Shensetha.” Perhaps this was the personage who “sent” Pepy-Seshem-Nefer on some mission. Shensetha is a name that occurs again on pls. xi.A and xi.B.

The broken stela in the middle of pl. vii. is copied on pl. xiii.; it mentions a man named Mennu-nefer-Pepy, whose good name was Senbat. Doubtless the man was called after Men-nefer, the pyramid of Pepy I.

The fragments at the bottom of pl. vii. are from the tomb of Zauta, whose good name was Resa. He was “governor of a fortress, privy councillor, lector, superintendent of the garden of Pharaoh, great prince of the Nome”; his wife was “royal acquaintance, priestess of Hathor, lady of Dendereh, Mererta.” Vide pl. xxvi.c.

The broken tablet of Beba (II.) on pl. vii.A reads: “S`a’a (?) royal acquaintance, by his name, owner of offices in his city … I made its door of acacia, I brought to it … I castrated (?) I caused it to be great and mistress of the lands (?) … I made a dockyard (?) upon the border (?) within one year …….” The small fragments to the right belong to another tomb, No. 324, and are drawn in connection on the top of pl. xv., where they form the words u hay “unto the naked.”

Among the “Various” on pl. vii.A there is a block mentioning a “scribe of the smaot land Rehuia”; a block naming Degat, already printed on pl. vi.; a block with the inscription “O ye who live and are on earth, who love their city-god, say ye thousands of bread, beer, &c., to the owner of this tomb, Katha”; lastly, a fragment with a curious name of a boat (?) mecha or cha, which name occurs also on another insignificant fragment not published in the plates.

51. Pls. VIII.-VIII.c. Sculptured blocks from tomb of Merra. The barbarous yet detailed style of relief sculpture employed in this tomb is very remarkable.

Pl. VIII. Five horizontal lines of inscription in relief.

I. 1. Favour that the king granteth and Anubis (?) …………. post-kheru to] the keeper of the thetetcattle, Merra; his burial in his chamber which is in the necropolis [the Western Mountain], a very [good] old age (?) as a favour that the king granteth and Osiris lord of Busiris and Khent-Amentiu [………………. that he be followed by his kas to the places l. 2. …………. deserving] before the great god, pert-kheru to him in his chamber that is in kherueter …… in the Uag: the Hermopolite feasts (&c.) ……, according to what belongeth to the day each day, the ha, the superintendent l. 3. of the priesthood, Merra; he saith I …………… little ones, I gave bread to the hungry, clothes to the naked; I saved the oppressed
from the hand of him that was stronger than he; I judged brothers to [their] reconcilement........

of the desire I .......... privy councillor, great chief of the Nome, lector, chief of the transport (of Hathor), superintendent of the divine service, keeper of the thenet-cattle, Seten'en-abu, whose good name was [B]ebager. I filled with northern barley and spelt, cattle, goats ...........

that is in ........ I caused a man to 1. 5 .........

his lord, as is done unto a good heir; I overthrew his enemies in every truth, that is not ....... said in ...... his house with ...... I made sweet its odours with ........ [I filled] its granary with northern barley and spelt, as was done by Seten'en-abu Beba.”

Evidently Seten'en-abu Beba was a predecessor of Merra.

The titles of Merra, as recorded in pls. viii., viii.b, are “ha, superintendent of divine service, keeper of the thenet-cattle, over the secrets of the divine treasure, over the secrets of divine words, over the secrets of the morning house (place of the king’s toilet?), chief of the transport of Hathor lady of Dendereh.” (This “transport of Hathor” was no doubt some ceremonial voyage or crossing of the Nile.) One of the stelae on pl. viii. describes him as “strong in mouth, stout of heart, finding the knot in its entanglement, speaking according to his voice (?) ; the people were silent on the day of mourning (?) .” The thenet-cattle are not known apart from these inscriptions; probably they were the sacred kine of Hathor.

Pl. VIII.a. The inscriptions of this curious false door present only the funerary formulae with the titles and name of Merra. It is from blocks shown on pl. viii. that we learn the name of “his wife whom he loves, the confidential royal favourite, priestess of Hathor lady of Dendereh, Seheta,” and of “his daughter whom he loves, Aui'rdet-sa (?) . In the lower right-hand corner of pl. viii.b we have the titles of a woman, “familiar royal favourite (?) , priestess of Hathor lady of Dendereh, Theta.”

Pl. VIII.b contains nothing of special interest.

Pl. VIII.c. It is distressing that the fragments from the cornice should be so incomplete. A good deal of rearrangement is required to place them in something like their original order, and even when that seems to have been found the translation is in places very difficult. Including at the beginning two small fragments not shown on the plate, we obtain:—

“[Favour of the king, Anubis on his rock and Ami-Ut, lord of the Sacred Land, to the ha, chancellor of the King of Lower Egypt, confidential royal friend, lector [Merra; he saith, I laboured] for Dendereh in [its entirety, I ............] its little ones more than (?) its nobles (?) I ........ the farmers of Dendereh, when there were harvesters (?) therein. I | was one that desired to eat (?) what he saw, that is, I was not | one who ate bound (?). I was beloved of | Dendereh in | its entirety, praised of his city and beloved of it, and of travellers | and negroes of the foreign land. | I was one who hated evil things, | I loved not to command conspiracy (?) | ........... it was my abomination to slay men ............ | but I did good | for Dendereh in its entirety. I was a haven (?) for this land in its entirety.”

The rest is too fragmentary to be intelligible. The hieroglyphs are curious, not to say incorrect; the strangest of them is perhaps the ten-legged crab (from the Red Sea?) substituted for the scarab; probably the only examples of the kind in Egyptian sculpture are found here and on pl. x.

52. Pls. IX.-X.A. Sculptured blocks and fragments from the tomb of Sen-n(?)'nezsu, whose name means “brother of the little,” i.e. of the poor. He was royal chancellor and steward.

Pl. IX. Above the cornice of the false door: “Favour accorded by the king and Anubis, pert-kheru to,” &c. Below the cornice, the same from Osiris. On one side, “pure bread that cometh from Dendereh”; on the other, “...... from the temple.” Over the door are titles, lists of offerings, &c., and “the steward Sen-nezsu, he saith: ‘I came out of my house, I entered my (tomb?)-chamber, I said what the great loved, what the little praised, with the
desire of offering justice unto the great god lord of heaven (Ra)."

From these inscriptions and those on the other two blocks given in the plate we learn the names of "his wife whom he loveth, confidential favourite of the king, priestess of Hathor mistress of Denderah, Auuta," of "his eldest son whom he loveth, the royal chancellor, steward of the house of war, the deserving, Merra," of "his son whom he loveth, the royal chancellor, steward of the house of the stores and of war, Sebeknekhiit," and of "his son whom he loveth, the royal chancellor, the steward of the house of war, Sennetsua." Above his sons, in small characters: "...... I conciliated them with bread, beer, northern barley, spelt ...... clothing, oil, honey: then may my name remain (even) in the necropolis."

Pl. X. Here we see some of his daughters: "[his daughters whom he loves], confidential favourite of the king, priestess of Hathor lady of Denderah, the deserving, Hotepa," and "the confidential favourite of the king, the deserving, Beba."

The fragments of biographical inscriptions on this plate are very tantalizing. "...... which my father, who loved his house, [built?] for me; I found it as enclosures of bricks, I [renewed it with stones? I supported?] it with standing posts of wood of every sort; of eleven (cubits) in height, furnished with doors of [?] ...... gardeners (determined by a man carrying pots of water by means of a yoke) were making vegetables (compare the picture in El Bersheh, I., pl. xxvi, B. H., I., pl. xxix. right), pond-keepers were planting trees, the little man was owner of his bow (or yoke), every man was owner of his own work: I did this throughout, throughout in very truth. This is not as said ...... my father, Merra."

Another fragment gives two lines following on a funerary inscription: "...... that grew in it, of men, northern barley, spelt, gold, copper (or pottery?), cloth, oil ...... in its length, 88½ cubits in its breadth, 300 trees in it ......" The rest is not comprehensible to me.

Another fragment gives: "[of] goats, ships, of everything."

Of inscriptions on this plate which do not belong to Merra, we have one of a "confidential royal favourite, priestess of Hathor of Denderah" named Hotepsa. Another fragment shows a man seated with his wife, who holds out the baby for him to dandle; she is "his wife whom he loves, the royal acquaintance, Redw'ahu (?)" (i.e. "Feet-of-kine," not "Urur'kau"). On one fragment, "Adu, whose good name is Uhaa," has much the same titles as Merra on pl. viii., viz.: "[royal] ha, royal chancellor, confidential associate of the king, superintendent of divine service, keeper of the theudet-cattle." Ptah-mena, (in the lower left-hand corner), was "royal chancellor, superintendent of the audience chamber."

Pl. XA. Belonging to Sen'n(?)'nezsu there are here several bits of funerary formulæ, e.g. "[O ye who love life] and hate death, as ye love [the king say 'favour accorded by the king']." Three or four other bits may probably be connected thus: "[the steward] Sen'n(?)'nezsu, he saith, 'It was that my master sent me on a peaceful mission, which I did ...... [I took tribute of?] their people, their trees, their fields ...... cattle. I returned in [peace."

Of the fragments from the tomb of Ptah-mera (cf. pl. x.) those from the cornice give minute portions from the benevolent formulæ so commonly met with at Denderah, while the small stela says: "I came forth from my house, I entered my chamber, I said what the great loved, what the little praised."

On the fragments belonging to Beba and his son Sebeknkhit there is the phrase, "I made (i.e. cultivated) men, cattle, asses, goats."

53. Pl. XI. Inscription of Beba and his wife Henutsen: "Favour that the king accords and Anubis upon his hill, and Ami-ut lord of the Sacred Land, pert-kheru to the chancellor,
the governor of a city, the confidential friend, the lector, the superintendent of divine service, who is over the secrets of weighing words (trials), who is deserving to his lord, the great chief of the Nome, who is over the secrets of every secret word brought to the Nome, the well-deserving of Osiris, he whose good name is Beba.” “His wife whom he loves, priestess of Hathor lady of Denderah, Henutsen.” The shorter inscription, to the right, gives the title “first after the king” for Beba, and “confidential royal favourite” for Henutsen. On a block in pl. xi. a an inscription for the same man states: “I ...... all things over which I had control. Moreover, I gave bread to the hungry, clothes to the naked; I took over the river him who had no ferry boat”; and on fragments of his cornice inscription (also on pl. xi. a) there are other common charitable formulae.

The third block at the top of the plate represents a “confidential friend (of the king), a superintendent of horned and hoofed animals(?).” His name, ending in a, is lost; beside him sits “his wife whom he loves, Beba.” He says: “I made men, cattle, goats, asses, barley, and durra, clothes ...... a boat on the water, trees in the garden (?) and the field ...... that which I had done by my own strong arm.”

The first block in the second row shows “the chancellor and superintendent of the Audience Hall, Shensetha,” standing: approaching him with a vase of “sweet ointment of the house thou lovest, for the excellent kas ......” is “his wife, loved by and loving him in very truth,” with “his beloved daughter, Bebasher.”

On the second block in the same row are a man’s son, Shensetha, and his daughters, Hatherhetep and Senta, bringing offerings.

On the third block the barbarous hieroglyphs give the names Henna, Nekhta, Beba, Nekhta, Beba ...... and Beba. The wife’s name, Bet, is given by the middle block in the fourth row.

In the third row the first block shows a man named Uhemy, seated with his wife; the second shows a “confidential friend, Beba,” standing with his beloved wife, Hatherhetepa” (see the copy in pl. xiv.); and the third a woman named Nefertkau or Nefertahu.

The first block in the fourth row gives a long inscription: “Favour granted by the king and Osiris, lord of Busiris, pert-kheru of bread and beer, oxen and ducks to him, that he prosper in his chamber of Kher-aeter, the well-deserving one, Nekhu, who saith: “I made 31 head of people, 33 oxen, 13 asses, 100 goats, 4 amu-boats, 5 djet boats. I built my house increased beyond my father’s, land, enclosures (?), groves in the field; I was deserving before the great god, lord of heaven, the priest Nekhtu, true of voice.” With him is “the well-deserving one, his wife whom he loves, Hert, true of voice,” and “his daughter, Naa.” Of the figures approaching him, those in the top row are each called “his son Sebekhetep”; in the lower row are his sons Antef and Beba, and his daughter Naa. Both names and formulae show this inscription to be of the XIth Dynasty.

Pl. XI.a (see also under Pl. XI.). In the middle of the plate are two blocks belonging to a man named Setenmhabu and holding the titles “ha, ruler of a fortress, confidential friend, superintendent of divine service, over the secrets of the divine treasury,” also “lector.” He is said to have been “watchful of head to the command of the nobles.”

The rest of the plate contains inscriptions from the mastaba of Shensetha. No titles are there, but the blocks of the cornice give fragments as follows: “[I gave clothes to the] naked, I conveyed over (the river) him who was without ferry boat, I ploughed [for him who had no yoke of oxen]. [I did no evil (?) in] all this land, but I did this as a task that accomplished the set standard of work (?), and did what my master was well pleased with.” “I judged between comrades to unite their heart.” “ ...... festivals; I satisfied them with bread, beer, northern barley, durra ......”
Pl. XI.b. On the top of the plate are further fragments of inscriptions relating to the same man: “......in Dendereh to its entirety; I gave Dendereh landed right-(?) in it, giving unto him who was loved as to him that was hated. I made mekha (?) boats.” “Moreover I satisfied all artificers that did work for me in this tomb with bread and beer, barley and spelt, clothing, ointment, honey, and all good things: of the desire ......” “I gave bread to the hungry, clothes to the naked, I regarded the word of him whose throat was contracted. I gave ...... to the poor, I caused not evil conspiracy.” On a fragment drawn on pl. xxv.b, Shensetha is entitled “captain of the host,” and is accompanied by “his attendant, Antefa.”

Below the inscriptions of Shensetha is one of Hetepa, a “confidential friend.” He says: “I was beloved of all my people, I gave bread to the hungry, clothes to the naked, I was one beloved of his brethren throughout Dendereh.” The block on the left (marked “Beba III.”) shows Hetepa seated with his wife Ankh-scenna; behind them stands “his friend of the place of his heart, Per-Aru,” while the sons, Azau and Adu, are approaching with offerings (for hand copy of Azau’s name, see pl. xiv.).

On this plate there are also blocks from the tomb of a “keeper of the thecedt-oxen, Adua,” and from the tomb of Hetepa with fragments of one of the benevolent formulæ, &c., &c.

Pl. XI.c. Certain phrases from the cornice of Mera are conspicuous. “I was beloved of my father, praised of my mother,” “I gave cattle (?) to] him who was without a yoke of oxen (heter), I gave seed corn to him who begged [for it].”

Antefa, or Antef-aqer, is entitled “first after the king,” and—on a door drum—“treasurer and confidential friend.” On his cornice we are told that he “transported him who was without a ferry-boat, [gave cattle?] to him who had no] yoke of oxen, and ploughed ......” On pl. xiv. there is a hand copy of the names of his wife and children from one of these blocks: “His wife whom he loved, I ......, his son, Antef,” &c.

54. Pl. XII. Here we have further fragments belonging to the same Antefa. On the block, which shows him seated by his wife and approached by his children, he is styled “chancellor, confidential friend, keeper of the corn-store.” The large slab inscribed in wondrous relief-hieroglyphs records the favour of the king and Anubis on the right-hand inscription, and on the left hand the favour of the king and Osiris, with the usual appeal to those who are “living on earth, who love life and hate death,” and some obscure statements of his excellence.

The slab of Antefa-aqer II, has the epithet “true of voice,” which seems to be the certain indication of a date not earlier than the XIth Dynasty.

The cartouches of Mentuhetep are interesting; in the one to the left the large and detailed hieroglyphs are of almost unsurpassable excellence.

Pl. XIII. Here are hand copies of a number of curious fragments, but the most translatable is of “Adua (tomb 331),” which gives a name Rehury (?). He says: “......stall (?) of goats, stall (?) of asses ...... trees, a boat in the water, crew ...... I drew from my store, I ploughed for ......[I was] one beloved of his father, praised of his mother.” Another, from tomb 326, seems to read, “I made 5000 cubits of land, 20 asses, 200 goats, ......, clothes, and I gave ...... to my city. Verily, I did this with my own strong arm.”

Pl. XIV. Hand copies of numerous names, &c.

55. Pl. XV. The great stela of Chnemerdu is perhaps the most important single object that was found in the cemetery. It is in bad condition, and Mr. Davies, who copied it first at Dendereh, revised his copy for this publication a year later at Gizeh, examining specially many difficult points. We learn from the inscription that Chnemerdu was the steward of a queen
who had inherited in the South great rights apart from her husband, whom we may imagine to have been, nominally, king of all Egypt.

(1) Grace accorded by the king and Osiris Lord of Busiris and Khent-Amentiu, Lord of Abydos in [all their places: pert-kheru bread and beer, oxen and fowl, clothing] (2) and thread, thousands of all good things
to the chancellor, the privy counsellor, him that is in the heart of his great mistress, persistent in coming as cooling ..................... (3) knowing his posterity (?), firm of seal, good in opposition (?), excellent in dealing (lit. place of hand) in every going; lord of reverence, great of hand, successful .................. (4) white of raiment, noble of body, divine to look upon; knowing the method of accomplishment, fine (polished, well-trained) of heart, a collection (embodiment?) of nobles, comprehending the heart, (5) controlling what is in the belly, gracious (?) of countenance to the petitioner until he saith what is in his heart. entering the heart of his mistress, belonging to the place of her heart, given to her as it were a council that is great in (6) precept, one well-beloved in the mouth of men, eminent of seat in the Great House, the steward, the deserving, Chnemerdu:

(he) saith, 'I was one beloved of my mistress,
(7) praised by her in what belonged to the day each day: I spent a long period in years before my mistress, the royal favourite Neferu-kayt, her that was great (8) in her kas, eminent in her positions, great of fathers, eminent of mothers, support of this heaven for her noble fathers, most eminent of this land, (9) hearess from amongst the South country. Behold she was daughter of a king, wife of a king whom he loved; she inherited from her mother Nebt ......
(the name must have been of the form \( \Sigma \) \( \delta \)).
(10) chief of the people beginning from Elephantine, ending at the Aphroditepolite (Xth) nome, of women connected with (?) governors of cities, and nobles of the whole land. I became under the household of my mistress (?) (or of her handmaiden) ............... (11) the littleness of my origin (?); for she knew the excellence of my handiwork, how I forwarded the way of the nobles. Then she placed me in Denderah, in the great treasure (?) (12) of her mother, great of writing, eminent of sciences, great council-chamber of the South. I made extensions (?) thereof, piles of wealth, riches for it (?); not failed (?) anything (13) thereof, of the greatness of my knowledge of things. I organized it, I made fair its conduct with beauty more than aforetime, I made strong what I found decayed, I tied what I found loose, (14) I fulfilled what I found inchoate. I neglected not all the feasts that I found done in this estate, the sacrifice established in every daily service, every festival done in its seasons (?)—yr \( \nu \) \( \nuw-f (?) —\) for the health of my mistress Neferu-kayt, (15) for ever and ever. I organized my house on a goodly plan, I enlarged every court of it, I gave provision to him who begged it, herbs to him whom I knew not as to him I knew, of the desire that (16) my name might be good in the mouth of those on earth. I was indeed a noble great in his heart, a plant sweet of desire, I was not drunken, my heart did not forget, I fainted not [in what was given into my hand (?)] (17) It was my heart made my place eminent, it was my nature caused me to continue at the front. I did, yea I did all these things. Behold I was one in the heart of his mistress, I was keen, I established my surroundings, (18) I learnt every business, the estate was organized therewith, and I sent support to what I found fallen, saying 'Behold it is exceeding good that a man do the best things of his heart for his mistress, the most eminent of (19) his memorials.' I made for her a great treasure of all precious things, done in excess of what I found. I displayed every aptitude (anu) in this situation: I outran all my co-companions: if there was a thing undertaken in this estate I was he that understood it. (20) Most eminent of men, a noble tree made by God, he caused me to excel by his plan, greatly noble by the work of his hand (!) My mistress was as Lady of the South country, as great foundation of this land (?). Long endure her ka (21) upon the great throne! may she make millions of years of life like Ra eternally!

pert-kheru unto the deserving Chnemerdu in the Uag-feast, the Thoth-feast, in the ........ feast, in the feast of Sokaris (?), in the feast of the heat, in the beginning of the year, in the great feast, in the great going forth, in all feasts. Let the hand be put forth to him with offerings that appear before Hathor, may the eminent ones of Per-wr make him divine, and the priests of the noble staircase: may he travel the roads that he will in peace, in peace, the deserving, Chnemerdu,"

(he) saith, "I was one who fulfilled his duty, and was beloved of mankind in what belonged to the day of every day."
The scene below shows a servant, Antef, pouring unguent from a vase before the great man, under whose chair sits a hound. The inscription reads, “Opening unguents before the face, unto the *ka* of the deserving one, Chnemerdu.” Behind the servant are three figures, “his friend Adedu, Apuy and Tha-nub.” Over the table are the usual unguents in seven jars, with their names above.

56. The hieroglyphs of the earlier Denderah inscriptions are interesting in a quite peculiar way. Often they are rather well formed, though with a certain barbaric tendency; but on close examination it will be observed that individual signs, while bearing a general resemblance to the ordinary types, are in fact very different. Thus, in pls. viii.c and x. the crab is substituted for the scarabaeus, in pl. x. the handled basket—usually *b*—for the plain basket *nub*; and those who have made any special study of the forms and pictorial meanings of hieroglyphs will recognize how often the engraver has departed from the usual traditions, the substitutions being in some cases reasonable, in others quite meaningless. One might be disposed to attribute this state of things to a fundamental difference in the writing such as would be implied by a South Egyptian system of writing differing in origin from that of Northern Egypt. But it will be observed that the differences are sporadic and that the types very irregular on the Denderah monuments. The art of engraving monumental inscriptions had deteriorated greatly as early as the VIth Dynasty all over Egypt, even in the centres of civilization. The scribes wrote freely in a cursive hand, and owing to the practice of clearer spelling they no longer needed carefully to observe the distinctions between the signs. A scribe of the period of our inscriptions who could easily write hieratic, would find it difficult to instruct the decorator how to render his hieratic into well-formed hieroglyphs; from the VIth to the XIth Dynasty the barbaric stelae present many extraordinary attempts to render the half-forgotten signs in detail. With the monumental revival at the end of the XIth Dynasty the knowledge of hieroglyphs revived throughout the country, but the great break in tradition—though never perhaps complete, since some men of hieroglyphic learning doubtless were always to be found—left its mark on the XIth Dynasty renaissance. How conventions changed, probably through ignorance, can be seen by the history of the table of offerings as shown in the scenes (cf. pp. 42-13). In the IVth Dynasty this is represented garnished with halves or quarters of tall loaves of bread. Abundance of bread as basis for a meal is seen at modern marriage feasts in Egypt, &c., but this primitive sort of table-cloth, suggestive of nothing but food, was probably soon improved upon. Late in the Vth Dynasty the meaning of the representation was already obscured, and the bread had assumed impossible forms, even on the walls of the tomb of Ptahhetep. In the period between the Old and Middle Kingdoms, by a slight deviation in outline and the addition of some detail, it appears sometimes as a garnishing of palm leaves, a pleasant device that may well have been in actual use. In the XIth Dynasty the uncertain forms of the Vth Dynasty were again in vogue; in the XVIIIth Dynasty the table was generally piled with various offerings, and the convention was almost abandoned, to be fully revived, however, in the XXVIth Dynasty.

57. A few inscriptions lie between the XIth Dynasty and the Ptolemaic age.

Pl. XXIII.a. Inscription from the handle of a sistrum: “Favour granted by the king and Hathor Nebhepet; she giveth good life to the *ka* of …… Bukau.” The sistrum was sacred to Hathor, and the head of the handle is usually carved with her mask.

Inscription from a situla: “Amen-Ra, lord of the thrones of the two lands, gives life, pro-
sperity and health, a long duration, a good old
DENDEREH.

Anubis

age to Therkes, whose mother was mistress of a house, Hathor …….

A sandstone sarcophagus is inscribed with name of Nes-her, to whose aid come the different deities and demons sculptured upon it.

Pl. XXV. The first of the three stelae shows “the lady of the house, Mutardus,” holding a sistrum and worshipping “Ra-Harmakhis the great god lord of heaven.” Mutardus, whose name is repeated with curious variations, was a musician in the House of Hathor. She was daughter of Nesi-tamer and Raru; and the tablet was set up by “her son who makes her name to live, Par, son of Artan.”

On the middle stela the “Satisfier of her Majesty, Horsiesi,” offers to Osiris, Isis, and Horus. Favour is granted by Osiris, Ptah-sokar, “Hathor lady of Denderah, Horus Samtaui and the gods and goddesses in Denderah, they give pert-khern, &c., &c., and all things that appear on the altar before Hathor lady of Denderah, to the Satisfier of her Majesty Horsiesi, son of the Satisfier of her Majesty Petismantaui, born of the lady of the house, the musician of Hathor, Ant-ha.” “Satisfier of her Majesty” is the title of the high priest of Hathor at Denderah in the Edfu list, Br., Dict. Géog., p. 1361; apparently “Musician of Hathor” was the title of the chief priestess.

The third stela shows a man worshipping Ra-Harmakhis and Ptah on the one side and Tum and Osiris on the other—the pairs corresponding as living and dead forms respectively of the same two gods. The deceased is “the Osiris Netem-ankh, son of the Satisfier of her Majesty, &c., PeduHorsamtaui, born of the lady of the house Ner(?)-nut.” The titles of PeduHorsamtaui are put forth very fully, but are obscure. They seem to be “servant of Horus Maks, satisfier of the heart of a thousand, first priest, second priest, scribe of the temple of Hathor, lady of Shen (?), scribe of his treasure, priest of the gods, the plough of the arm (?), fourth class, priest of Horus, the scribe of the …… Hathor, lady of Denderah, fourth class.”

58. Pl. XXV.A. Demotic inscriptions, &c., Ptolemaic and Roman.

“The tomb of Psheiapi son of Gemt, the barber (?).”

and a transcript of the legend, thinks the form of the symbols unlikely. Can they be intended for a cake and a flesh-hook? or are they symbols of a trade? The meaning and reading of the last sign are quite uncertain.

II. Quite illegible on the squeeze, and mostly so in the photograph.

III. (1) t b t Ysyr (2) P- te-Hr-sm'-t-wi s' P- sre-Thy (3) n't same kr p' tw n (4) p' pr n htp n Yw t

IV. htp n ss (sic) Ysyr hnt Ymmt .......... n pr-bhw t hq yh pt htpw tf yhy ab nfr .......... Ysyr hnt Ymmt n Ys wr mw't ntr Nh-t he sm ntr (? n Ysyr P- te-Hr sm t-wi xty nfp' ................. s' p' sre-Thy

V. nbh Ysyr Wsr ntr t' kr yb Ys, Ys wrw mw't-ntr Yw hnt syh-ntr .................
VI. Worship of Osiris, Isis and "Harmakhis the great god" by Nespehy (?).

VII. t' h't s'  1
p' 'hn (?) p' 'sre Thot p' .

VIII. t' h't n  1
wng s' Qrns (?)  "The grave of ........ son of ............"

IX. Illegible on squeeze and photograph. The design is apparently a coffin on a bier between two bunches of flowers for offerings. Cf. the flowers on the tables of offering, Nos. 17-20.

X. t' h't p' tt p' bk
Hr my p' qtl t' .... qt  "the grave of .......... Pabekhis"

XI. Pi-yp nt t' gš (?)
  t' hry Ysyr

XII. 'nh p' by n p' Gplcs (?)
  ............ n yfl n wty' s lx.  "lives the soul of ..............
  .............. 50 years (of age)"

XIV. mwh Ysyr Wflr p' nfr t'
  p'-bk s' p'........
  n p' 'nq p' q .......... (?) p' Hr

XV. Ysyr p' t' s't n (s') p' te Hr šw t' wi

XVI. tšt htp stn n Ysyr Hr Ys Thot Ynp
  te-w pr-hrw n t' hoq yh'w
  'p't-w htp'w t'w yhw nb nb nb nb nb
  n Ysyr p' sre ........ s' Pī-bh, mzc f Tī-b.
  te-w n-f
  ...... (?) gse sfr t pr mnc z't (?)

"The king giveth an offering (?) to Osiris Horus Isis Thoth and Anubis
they give perit-kheru of bread, beer, oxen, fowl, offerings, fat things, all good and pure things
to the Osiris Pasheren ......, son of Pakh, whose mother is Takh. They give to him
...... good burial, a house lasting for ever."

The first of the tables of offerings is inscribed for "the Osiris the divine wife of Nefer-hetep (a form of Chonsu) Ta-ast (?) true of voice."

Pl. XXV.B.

A. t' h't n P' sre(n) Yhy
  s' Hr-nfr, p' hmr nb, hmr;
  T' sre't (n) Yhy t' p' Šy
  t' f kmt.  rnp xxix, ybt iv ṣṛ me hrw xviii.  "The grave of Psempahy
  son of Her-nefer, the gold-worker, with Senahy daughter of Psais
  his wife. Year 29, Mesori 18."

B. r (?) t' h't P' te-Hr-šm-t'wi (?) p' t
  P' sre (n) Thot
  p' grg.  rnp viii ybt iv ṣṛ me hmr x.  "The grave of Patehersamtaui ...... Pashenthot
  the hunter. Year 8, Choiak 10."

C. "Pashem son of Pabek." On a sandstone slab over the figure of a mummy.

D. Tablet. Pedupamenkhu adores Ra-Harmakhis, Osiris and Isis the Great. His parents are Pedubak and Herert.

At the bottom of Pl. XV. are two hieroglyphic inscriptions of very late date—
"Royal offering (?) to the Osiris Pehoqu son of Pashem: he went to Osiris at 19 years (of age?),"

and

"Royal offerings (?) to the Osiris Pashem true of voice, the elder, son of Peduhersamtaui. He went to Osiris at 44 years (of age?)."
Pls. XXVI.a., XXVI.b. These labels are often obscure, especially in the proper names, which also are of little interest. The following are perhaps the most interesting:—

No. 6. Osiris Petiamenophis ................... the scribe of the House of Horus.


No. 12. Pa-akhem son of Hor p· ... the sheikh (?)


No. 25. Pa-shere-Any son of Pa Akhem, the gold-worker. His name remains before Osiris.

No. 28. Osiris Nes-Hor-renpy-Ta-Beyk, born of Tasherepakhem, the wife of Pakhem son of ........... the steward (?)

No. 29. Osiris Tasherepakhem daughter of Pa ..........., the wife of Pakhem son of ........... the steward.

No. 46. Osiris ...................... his soul hath gone to Osiris.

No. 48. "Anpe (Anubis) in Wyt, who is at the head of the divine bower. May there be given to thee a house of refreshment (per tekhe, lit. 'house of intoxication'!), oxen, fowl, all good things that are good that are pure that are rich, N. son of M. who went to his fathers in the year of life 27, 6 months 21 days. Lives his soul for ever before Osiris." Here we have some curious renderings of old formulae, note especially the version of pert-kheru (!).

No. 53. This label, though in Greek, still entitles the deceased "Usiris."

Coffin of Beb.

59. Pls. XXXVII.—XXXVII.k. Though this monument belongs to the earlier group of the Denderah inscriptions, the nature of the long texts inscribed upon it places it in a separate category. The coffin was composed of separate slabs of limestone, rough outside, but on the inside closely inscribed with religious texts, no doubt in order that the deceased might have the magic formulae at hand for reference in case of need. This is in fact the usual position in which they are found on early coffins of stone or wood. On the slab forming the east side of the coffin the inscriptions had been utterly destroyed, but on the opposite side, on the two ends and on the inside of the lid, much remained; all this Mr. and Mrs. Petrie laboriously traced and copied. Apart from any question of mutilation, the writing is exceedingly bad. First it was drawn with ink upon the stone in a semi-cursive style, and was then chiselled in a perfunctory manner by a mason, whose work in places reduced itself to mere chisel holes. Fortunately a good deal of the black ink remained, thus assisting the copyists in ascertaining the reading.

The texts are of special value, since they are in many cases early versions of those known from papyri of much later date as parts of the so-called Book of the Dead. The chapters of the Book of the Dead hitherto found on coffins of the Middle Kingdom, or slightly earlier, are very few in number; we have here an entirely new series for that period. The following notes can serve only as a starting-point for others, and we may look for many fresh identifications. Doubtless it would repay the student to examine anew the obscure writing of the original in the Gizeh Museum.

The name of the owner occurs only at the north end, where he is called "the reva ha, Beb" (pl. xxxvii.r), in somewhat barbarous hieroglyphs. The key plan (pl. xxxvii.) shows the arrangement of the existing inscriptions. Where the original starting-point was it is not easy to say: probably the inscriptions round the coffin began on the west side, that being the place of honour, while the inscription on the lid began again independently, as the first texts in each case seem to be addressed to the local goddess Hathor. The local allusions, which of course
do not occur in the Book of the Dead, are of particular value and rarity.

The lid (pls. xxxvii.-xxxvii.b) is inscribed in four broad longitudinal bands of columnar writing, interrupted by occasional tabulations of texts. The upper band is the narrowest and is divided for two-thirds of its length into two half-bands of short columns. Its text is evidently addressed to Hathor, and contains a list of incidents connected probably with the feasts of Denderah. The first half-band is headed by “I come to hear,” and contains a list of 100 festal incidents. The second half-band was no doubt headed by the word “in”; it gives a list of about 100 localities in which the incidents severally took place. It is clear that the whole is addressed to the local goddess Hathor, and that Beb desires to renew after death the pious relaxations of his earthly life at Denderah. Reading with this key to the arrangement we have in ll. 19 and 119, “I have come to hear the coming forth of the god in the desert hills of the god”; and other instances of correspondence between the entries in each half-band are clear in ll. 72 and 173, 77 and 178.

In ll. 1-5 Beb says:

“I come that I may hear the talk (?) of the feast, thy (fem., as relating to Hathor) theuet-axen, thy semut, the dances, the flood .........................
(19) the coming forth of the god, the uplifting of the bark, the throwing of the stick .................
the bringing of the kas, the joining of the kas, the giving of praise ............................. (76) the gathering of the lotuses, the music .................. (90) the hounds .......... (95) the laying down of bread and beer, the partaking of bread and beer, the manifestation of the god, the drink offerings, peace in life, the giving of .........................” &c., &c.

The localities specified in the lower half-band (ll. 101-200) may well have been favourite places (in the neighbourhood of Denderah?) in which Beb wished to enjoy the above-named sights and sounds. Among them are (104), “the Southern Islands, the Islands of Life, Kenset (there was a district of this name above the First Cataract), Nerau (?), the Pools of Coolness, the Divine Pool, the Divine City, the Middle Islands, the Divine Place, Taking Offerings, the Divine Hill-country, &c., &c.

ll. 201-256, in columns the full width of the band, continue with a hymn to Hathor, which ends with l. 222. Then begins an address to certain divine “lords” concerning Beb’s complete knowledge of mystic roads, sixteen in number, with their names, &c., in succession. The Chapter of the Sixteen Roads continues into the second band. The next formulæ (262 et seq.) deal with a succession of eight nets or snares, of which the names are given; these are to be escaped by addressing them in the words prescribed.

ll. 276-292 contain the Chapter of Escaping from the Net, already known as chap. cliii. of the Book of the Dead, the table (282-290) showing the names of the component parts of the net and of its makers and users—the spindle for the thread, the netting-needle, &c., &c.

ll. 294 seems to be the beginning of a chapter hitherto unknown. It concerns escape from some evil: “Osiris knoweth its name, he shall not fall into it; I know its name, I shall not fall into it.”

ll. 321 begins a chapter perhaps “of [bringing?] a man’s magic (?) to him in Kher-uefer”; it mentions the “Island of Flame” (323). ll. 337 mentions the “loest.”

ll. 354-380: “Chapter of passing through the West.”

ll. 384-396: chapter of “Going out into the Broad Space.”

ll. 397-400: chapter of “Being with (?) the great.”

In the third band, ll. 415-416 seem to be a chapter of “Giving bread in On.”

ll. 417-418: chap. xliii. of the Book of the Dead, for having the head restored and not taken away after it has been cut off—referring probably to the early practice of dismemberment, for which see especially Deshasheh.
l. 424: chap. xlvi. of the Book of the Dead.

Over ll. 425 et seqq. we read "Opening a fortress (?) in the horizon"; and beyond, "Building a fortress (?) in the horizon."

In ll. 474 et seqq. are certain phrases often recurring in the Book of the Dead: "Then what dost thou live upon?" say the gods to me. I live on what ye live on .............. what I hate is filth, I will not eat."

Over ll. 546 et seqq. are the headings "Entering the boat; the four pillars." The latter are the four pillars of heaven, identified in the vertical columns of text below the heading as follows: the Southern is Hermopolis, the Northern is Buto, the Western is Heliopolis, the Eastern is An.

In ll. 556 et seqq., "I shall not die, my body shall not be taken from me, the dog shall not eat me, the falcon shall not tear me." Beyond this is a chapter of "bringing the magic of a man to him in Hades."

ll. 590 et seqq.: chap. xxxii. of the Book of the Dead, of driving off the crocodiles that would take a man's magic power from him.

In l. 621 there is a chapter referring to some transformation. From l. 625 to the end of the inscription on the lid (656) there is a large part of the lengthy chap. lxxviii. of the Book of the Dead, the chapter of "transformation into a sacred sparrow-hawk." Possibly this was completed on some other part of the coffin.

The sides and ends of the coffin itself were inscribed in two rows, of which the upper one is by far the better preserved. The North end (657 et seqq.) gives the hieroglyphic list of offerings, &c., and "Favour accorded by the king and Anubis (in his two forms)."

On the West side—the place of honour—we have texts probably connected with Hathor (cf. l. 688, and the last phrase in l. 698, which is evidently addressed to a goddess). In l. 699 begins a long chapter addressed first to Mehtwert, one form of the cow-goddess, and then to Neper, the god of corn. At l. 742 we have apparently a new text. It is difficult to discover what were the texts in the now mutilated lower row.

The inscriptions on the South end are likewise obscure.

Needless to say that the texts from this coffin which can be identified with chapters from the Book of the Dead, differ greatly from the latter as found in papyri of the New Kingdom or later.

In order to understand the Book of the Dead, we require to trace the growth of each chapter if possible to its origin, but at any rate to its earliest written form, and in any systematic collection of the early material, the texts from the coffin of Beb will certainly take an important place.
60. Mr. Thomas has kindly sent me the following identifications of the animal mummies from the catacombs.

*Cercopithecus pyrrhonotus*, Hempr. and Ehr.

One very imperfect skull appears to be referable to this species.

*Cercopithecus saharae*, Linn.

One mummied specimen, which has been determined by Dr. Anderson with the help of a Röntgen ray photograph.


Among the considerable number of cat remains, two or three skulls evidently belong to the comparatively large *F. catus*. The remainder are provisionally referred to *F. caligata*, but differ a good deal among themselves in size. It is difficult to say whether these differences are merely due to age and sex, indicate a difference of species, or—as seems very probable—show that the Egyptians had several races of tame cats, just as in the case of the dogs.

*Herpestes ichneumon*, L.

An imperfect mummy, and a large number of skulls. The ancient skulls seem to average rather smaller than do modern ones of the same species, but it is possible that the difference is due to alteration in the bones induced by incineration, and is not really natural.

*Canis familiaris*, L.

Five mummied specimens, and a large number of skulls and other bones. The majority of the skulls belong to a dog of about the size of a spaniel, and suggest the Pariah dog of India, or the ordinary street dogs of oriental towns. One skull and one mummy, however, belong to a much smaller form of about the size of a terrier.

*Lepus*, sp.

One lower jaw of a hare is in the collection. It cannot be exactly determined, but may be *L. aegyptiacus*.

*Gazella dorcas*, L.

Three imperfect skulls, all of females, and some fragments of horn cores. Of the three small gazelles, *G. dorcas*, *leptoceros*, and *isabella*, which might have been in the possession of the ancient Egyptians, these fragments appear to agree most closely with the corresponding part of *G. dorcas*, although they are too imperfect for the determination to be quite certain. Both *G. leptoceros* and *G. isabella* have decidedly more convex parietal profiles than the mummied fragments, while in *G. dorcas* there seems to be a fair agreement in this respect. *G. dorcas* is
now found throughout Lower Egypt; *G. leptoceros* in the sandy deserts of Western Egypt, extending southwards into Nubia; and *G. isabellus* is the common gazelle of the southern Red Sea littoral and some parts of Upper Egypt.

*Bos indicus*, Linn.

A number of skulls. These specimens do not appear to be separable from the common domestic oxen now found in Egypt.

*Ibis, (?) religiosa*. Two mummies.

*Cercneis timunumula*. Two mummies.

*Cercneis naumanni*. Two mummies.

*Anot (?) aegyptiacus*. One mummy.

Among the animals from Abadiyeh in 1899 there are already noted the ass and the hartebeest.
61. Of the statue of King Pepy, Dr. Gladstone writes:—

"The pieces of metal belonging to the VIth Dynasty from Hierakonpolis consist of a thin central portion with a metallic appearance, which is covered with a thick incrustation of silicious earth and vari-coloured minerals, especially black crystals, which under the microscope have all the appearance and lustre of cuprite, and give the reactions of suboxide of copper. Portions of the undecomposed core, from which the mineral crust had been as far as possible scraped, appeared very crystalline and dark coloured under the microscope: it was almost entirely a mixture of copper and copper oxide. The general analysis of this metallic portion gave

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alumina</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate of lime</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble residue</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen, &amp;c.</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was but a doubtful indication of tin in the metallic core, but the presence of some black oxide of tin (cassiterite) in the surrounding crust was less doubtful. It is of course impossible to say from these data what may have been the original proportion of tin, if any, in the metallic alloy, but it was probably small.

The pieces of copper from the vase of Mera at Dendereh, of about the same period, closely resemble those just described, but the minerals on the surface were still more varied and beautiful when magnified. The central portion was so extremely thin that it could not be separated from the crust. Under the microscope it seemed to consist almost entirely of cuprite. The evidence of tin was a little stronger than in the previous specimen, but in any case it could not be determined quantitatively.

The thin sheets of copper from Tomb 431 at Dendereh, belonging to the XIIth Dynasty, showed much less oxidation or mineralised crust. A portion freed from the outside coating gave 94.8 per cent. of copper, and indications of 1.1 per cent. of tin. There was also a trace of arsenic.

Lead was not observed in any of these specimens. Such traces of iron as existed were doubtless accidental.

These analyses confirm the belief that tin was added to the copper in these early periods, though in small quantities.

As to the gold foil from the tomb of Adu I., of the VIth Dynasty. Different pieces of the foil varied a good deal in colour. I examined some of the brightest, and some of the darkest gold. The brighter portion gave the following result:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand, &amp;c.</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A darker piece was submitted to a more careful analysis, and gave:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble residue</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is evident therefore that these two specimens consist almost entirely of electrum; i.e., gold with a considerable amount of silver, such as was generally obtained from the River Pactolus and other parts of Asia Minor. It is not likely it was the gold of Nubia, for that contains very little, if any, silver. The copper in the second specimen was so very small that it cannot be supposed to have been added intentionally. The microscope showed that the portion insoluble in acids was the fine sand of the desert.”
DESCRIPTION OF PLATES.

Frontispiece. View in mastaba of Adu I., from the square well, looking up the tunnel. The narrowness of the well prevented this being photographed, and it is therefore reproduced from a drawing in which every joint has been put in from measurement. The great archway is the oldest example of such brickwork yet known, though small archings appear in the IVth Dynasty. The uniform grey of the lower part of the well is the natural bed of marl, in which the well and chamber are cut. The upper part is of built brickwork, level with the general body of the mastaba, the entrance being above the ground level. For plan and section, see pl. xxix. For description, see pp. 8, 9.

Pl. I. False door of Prince Mena. This retains a good deal of the original colouring, but has been chipped about in later times. The work is careful, and detailed in the offerings, though inferior to that of the great steles of the IVth Dynasty. For description, see pp. 5, 42. Now in Cairo Museum, Catalogue Scientifique, no. 1662.

Pl. II. False door of Abu-Suten; this name should rather be read Suten en-Abu. The view of the existing lower part of the stone false door is shown at the base of the plate. For the plan of it, see pl. xxviii. Although there were some traces of drawing on the side blocks, the only sculpture was at the back of the door niche. This sculpture is shown on this plate; its characteristics are those of the earliest sculptures of Saqqara, and it probably belongs to the close of the IIIrd Dynasty. See pp. 5, 42. In Brit. Mus.

Slabs of Prince Mena. The great drum at the top was placed over the entrance of the chamber of offerings. In Brit. Mus. The square slabs were inserted over each of the niches on the eastern face. For plan, see pl. xxviii. For description, see pp. 6, 43. One each in Cairo, Brit. Mus., Boston. Slab with Nebt-at ef at Philadelphia.

Passage of Adu I. View of north entrance to tunnel, showing jointing of brickwork, with part of the closing-wall left across the mouth of the tunnel. This is the opposite end of the same tunnel seen in the frontispiece.

Pl. III. Sarcophagus of Mena; drawn by Mr. Davies. The sides of this small chamber are of limestone, and the whole of the design is painted in various colours on the surface. A great deal has therefore entirely disappeared, owing to efflorescence of salts, and it was only with close examination that the present amount could be recovered. Only the two door-slabs, top right hand, were in sufficiently good state to be removed. They are now at Chicago University. See pp. 6, 44-5.

Pl. IV. Enlargement of list of offerings in pl. iii.

Pl. V. Fresco in entrance-chamber of Adu I. This had been greatly destroyed in ancient times by weathering. A hand copy of the traces of the inscription was made by me when first found, and later on Mr. Davies made the full-
sized tracing of the whole which is here reproduced. No part of it was removed. See pp. 8, 45.

Pl. VI. Cornice of Prince Adu I. These strips were found scattered along the eastern face, having fallen from the top of the mastaba wall. At Boston. The slab with men offering was found also on the east side. The slab of Sekhet-hotep was found in the north-east chamber. See pp. 8, 46. Both at Philadelphia.

Cornice of Prince Adu II. This was found likewise fallen before the false doors of the eastern face. At Philadelphia. The slabs below seem to have formed part of the great inscription over the doorway. At Bristol. The inscribed corner-piece at the left hand being probably part of the door-jamb. At Bristol. See pp. 9, 46.

Qebedat, &c. This name should rather be read Degat. See pp. 10, 47.

Pl. VII. Statue of Adu II., found broken, half-way down the well of the mastaba. At New York. The two slabs of Adu II. and his wife Ana were found in the chamber of offerings. One now in Cairo Mus., Cat. Sc., no. 1657; the other at Manchester.

Slabs of Pepy-ta-snefer = Senna. This name should rather be read Pepy-seshem-nefer. These slabs are in perfect condition, having been stored together in the chamber, and never erected over the false door paneling. At Cairo, Brit. Mus., Manchester, Bolton, Bristol, Greenock. Of the broken pieces, see transcription at the beginning of pl. xiii. For plan, see pl. xxx.; inscriptions, pp. 11, 47. Two slabs in Cairo Mus., Cat. Sc., nos. 1659, 1661.

Slabs of Zauta-reesa. These were much broken up, owing to the bad quality of the stone. The mastaba is planned on pl. xxviii. See pp. 7, 47. At Melbourne, Detroit, and Bolton.

Pl. VIII. Tomb of Merra. Over the outer doorway of the mastaba was a façade of seven inscribed slabs. Of these, the first and fifth

have been entirely destroyed, and the others have all been broken in their overthrow. The five slabs and cornice now at New York. The standing figure of Merra at the left end appears to have been a good deal too tall for the slabs of inscription that remain, and it seems not unlikely therefore that the slab (in Brit. Mus.) with the bull and two herdsmen formed part of a line of animals beneath the inscription. Below this are three of the stone panels which were along the eastern face. See pp. 15, 16, 47, 48. At Brit. Mus., Cairo, and Manchester.

Pl. IX. Tomb of Sen-nezsu. The large false door (now at Bolton), one panel from the east face, and part of a scene of offerings, are described on pp. 16, 48.

Pl. X. Other fragments and inscriptions of Sen-nezsu. See p. 49. Inscription of 300 trees at Chicago. Others Manchester and Bolton.

A fragment of Uaru-kau should perhaps rather read Redu-ahut. See pp. 21, 49. At Greenock.

Slab of Hotep-sa. See pp. 14, 49.

Slabs of Ptah-Mera and Uhau. See pp. 15, 49.

Pl. XI. Heading read VIth to XIth Dynasty. The seventh block, without name, is of Nemy or Uhemy, tomb 518.

Beba and Hentsen, see plan, base of pl. xxx. See pp. 14, 49.

wife Beba, pit tomb, see p. 50. At Edinburgh.


Beba III. or C. For plan of mastaba, see pl. xxxii., and pp. 15, 50.

Henna or Henua. At Liverpool. See pl. xxxv. and pp. 19, 50.

Nemy or Uhemy (blank in plate). See pp. 20, 50. At Chicago.

Beba and Hathotep. See pp. 20, 50.

Nefert-kau of Nefert-ahut. See pp. 20, 50. At Chicago.

Nekhtu. See pp. 19, 50. At Ashmolean Mus.
DESCRIPTION

OF PLATES.

Hennu and Bet. See p. 20. At Ashmolean.
Mastaba of Antef-a. Remarkable for the extreme rudeness of the work, showing the lowest point of degradation of the Old Kingdom style. Plan, top of pl. xxxv. See pp. 19, 51. At Chicago and Philadelphia.
Antef-aqer II. and Beba. This small drum, lintel (at Brit. Mus.), and finely carved panel, now so much broken (at New York), all belong to the great gallery tomb at base of pl. xxxiii., described on p. 21.
Mereb, probably before XIth Dynasty. See p. 18. At Chicago.
King Mentuhotep. The first cartouche is comparatively rough, but the fragments of the second show the finest work of the Middle Kingdom. See pp. 21, 51.
Pl. XIII. Most of these fragments already bear reference to the plates and descriptions. Imhotep, tomb 770, comes from the great Beba and Hentsen mastaba.
Pl. XIV. VIIth to XIth Dynasty. . . . Erdu and Beba-urt is from the mastaba Shensetha P., pl. xxxii.
Pl. XV. Great stele of Khnum-erdu. See position of pit, pl. xxxiii. Translation, pp. 51-2 (in Cairo Mus.).
Cartonnage inscriptions. See pp. 33, 55.
Pl. XXI. Piece of bowl of black incised ware, found beside the earliest mastabas, IIIrd Dynasty. See p. 5.
Stone vases, and mirror, from tomb north of Zauta B. See base of pl. xxviii. At Cairo and Manchester. Described, beginning of p. 8.

Slab with vases from Adu I.; described, p. 8. At Edinburgh.
Two statuettes of women, XIth Dynasty. See p. 27. At Cairo and Philadelphia.
Two figures of mourners. See p. 27. At Univ. Coll., London.
Pl. XXII. Copper models, and beads, of Meru. See pp. 7, 25. At Ashmolean.
Flint knife, beads, and alabaster of Antef-aqer. See p. 25. At Ashmolean.
Tomb 309, beads, piece of ivory wand, bone figures, crocodile, &c. At Univ. Coll., London.
Ivory, XVIIIth Dynasty, fragments from inlay work of temple furniture (?). See p. 28. At Boston.
Pl. XXIII. Blue glazed pottery, XVIIIth Dynasty, thrown out from temple into animal catacombs. 1. Menat. 2, 3. Pieces of wand. 4, 5. Heads of Hathor from sistra. 6. One of many fragments of patterned bowls. 7. Great ankh of Tahutmes III. 8, 9. Hollow balls moulded on twists of straw, probably for suspension in ceiling decoration; fragments of many more were found. 10, 11, 12. Model papyrus stems on cruciform stands, with cartouches of Tahutmes III. and Amenhotep II.
13. Model ear. 14. Body of vase. 15, 16, 17. Rude figures of Ta-urt and Hathor, such as were made in XIIth and continued to XVIIIth Dynasty. 18. Long beads. 19. Ring-stand (?). See p. 28. All at Philadelphia.
Pl. XXIV. Bronzes, fully described. See pp. 34, 29, 30. No. 1, Philadelphia; No. 3, Ashmolean; No. 4, New York; No. 7, Chicago; No. 8, Univ. Coll. London; Nos. 11-14, Ashmolean and Boston; Nos. 16, 17, Pitt-Rivers Museum and Melbourne; Nos. 18 and 21, New York; No. 19, Brit. Mus.; No. 20, Philadelphia.
Stele of Mutardus. See pp. 31, 53. At Boston.

Stele of Hor-taust. See pp. 21, 54. At Chicago.

Stele of Pedu-hor-sam-taul. See pp. 31, 54. At Cairo.

Dog mummies. See p. 30.


Pl. XXVI. Glazed pottery amulets from Ptolemaic mummies, classified in list, pp. 32, 33. Distributed to fifteen museums; best set to Philadelphia, second to Univ. Coll., London.

Pl. XXVII. Plan of Denderah cemetery. The position of the temple is approximately fixed to show its relation to the cemetery. The position and direction of each of the tombs was fixed separately. The plans are all reduced photographically from the larger plans which follow. (R) refers to tombs opened by Mr. Rosher, after the survey. See p. 4 et seqq. for description.

Pls. XXVIII–XXXV. These plans of mastabas have all been fully noticed in the text, for references to which see the Index. The broken shading in the plans is used to represent loose gravel filling. The dead black is solid brickwork, and chambers intentionally left clear remain white.

Pl. XXXVI. Plan of catacombs. The white line, left in the thickness of the walls, shows the separation into two independent walls from which spring the vaultings to either side. See pp. 28–30.

Pl. XXXVII. A portion of the long inscriptions on the great sarcophagus of Prince Bub. See pp. 17, 18, 56.
INDEX.

Abadiyeh, animal remains from, 60.
  copper blade from, 25.
Ada, servant of Nekhtu, 19.
Aedenu, friend of Chnemerdu, 53.
Adu ("good name," Uhaa), titles, 49.
Adu, "good name" (see "Adu III."), 11.
Adu, son of Hetepa, 51.
Adu (I.), burial chamber, 8, 9.
  cornice inscription, 46, 64.
  family, 45.
  frescoes, 8, 45, 63-4.
  gold foil, 61.
  hieroglyphs, 46.
  inscriptions, 45-7.
  offerings, 7, 9.
  orientation of coffin, 46.
  sarcophagus, 9.
  sculptures, 9.
  slab with vases, 65.
  stela, 8.
  titles, 45, 46.
  tomb, 7, 8-9, 10, 17, 19.
  well of offerings, 8.
Adu (II.), cornice inscription, 10, 46, 47, 64.
  dependent burials, 10.
  slabs, 64.
  statue, 10, 26, 64.
  stela, 9.
  tomb, 9-10, 17.
  wife, 9.
Adu (III.) ("good name" only), dependent burials, 11.
  horizontal roofed tunnel, 11, 15.
  tomb, 7, 10-11, 17.

1 "In general I use here letters to distinguish between persons of the same name when their order is uncertain; but when the relative order is known, Roman numerals are used, as Adu I., II., III., IV."; above, p. 7.

Adu (IV.), tomb, 11.
Adu, keeper of thenet oxen, 19, 51.
  inscription of, 51.
Adze, bronze, 34.
ahe trees, 47.
Alabaster vases, 25-6, 34, 65.
Altar, hotep chamber, 21.
  model, 7, 25.
Amenemhat I., fine style of work, 21.
Amenhetep II., glazed ware, 28, 65.
American Exploration Society, 2.
Amethyst beads, 25.
Amphorae, peg-bottomed, 31.
amu boats, 45, 50.
Amulets, Hawara, 33.
  Nebeshch, 33.
  Ptolemaic, 66.
  " classified, 32-3.
  " position on body, 32-3.
  XXXth Dynasty, 32.
  wax, 32.
  position of carpenter's square, dad, funerary
genii, hawk, Isis, jackal, Nebthat plummet,
star, uza, winged scarab, 33.
An, E. pillar of heaven, 58.
Ana, wife of Adu II., 64.
Anderson, Dr., on Egyptian fauna, 29.
Anebu, wife of Antefa, 21, 51.
Anhur-nekht, 19.
Animal catacombs, 28-30.
  remains identified, 59-60.
ankh figure in blue glaze, 28, 65.
Ankhsen and Beh, 14.
Anpe (Anubis), 56.
Ansa, daughter of Nekhtu, 19.
Anser (? aegyptiacus, 60.
Antef, first instance of name, 19.
  and Ay inscription, 20.
  and Mentuhetep names, 14.
Antef, servant of Chnemeredu, 53.
  " son of Nekhtu, 50.
Antef V., style of work, Koptos decree, 14, 21.
Antefa, attendant of Shensetha, 51.
Antefa (Antef, Antefafer) and family, 51.
  " " inscriptions, 51.
  " " pottery, 20.
  " " sculptures, 19-20, 65.
  " " stela, 21.
  " " tomb, 19-20.
Antefafer (I.), burial deposits, 25, 26, 65.
  " sculptures, 20.
Antefafer (II.), colonnade and gallery tomb, 21, 34.
  " " inscriptions, 51.
  " " sculptures, 21, 65.
Antefafer (A.), tomb, 19, 20.
Anthea, mother of Horsiesi, 54.
Apuy, friend of Chnemeredu, 53.
Arch, oldest dated, 8-9, 63.
Arsenie in XXIth Dynasty, 61.
Artau, husband of Mutardus, 54.
Atmu (?), worship of, 31.
Ataa, statuette, 26, 65.
  " tomb, 26-7.
Auirdetta, daughter of Merra, 16, 48.
Auuta, wife of Sen-n-nezsu, 17, 49.
Axe, model, copper, 6, 7, 25, 26.
  " " bronze, 34.
Azaua, son of Beba (C.), 15.

Ba, the soul or will, 41.
Balls, blue glaze, 28, 65.
Bark of Hathor, name of, 43.
  " uplifting of, 57.
Bauhotepa, pit of mastabas, 19.
  " style of sculpture, 20.
  " adjacent mastaba, 19.
Beads, 7, 10, 22, 25, 28-9, 65.
  " dated, 25.
Beadwork shrouds, 32.
Beb, sarcophagus inscriptions (see “Book of Dead”),
  17-18, 56-8, 66.
  " " importance of, 18, 56.
  " " bad writing, 56.
  " " barbarous hieroglyphs, 56.
  " " local allusions, 56-7.
  " " their order, 56.
  " " tomb, 17-18.
Beb and Ankhsen, 14.
Beba (wife Henutsen), cornice inscription, 50.
  " “good name” only, 49.
  " " inscriptions, 49-50.

Beba, titles, 49-50.
  " tomb, 14-15, 64, 65.
Beba (II.) or (B.), tablet inscription, 20, 47.
Beba (III.) or (C.), tomb and sculpture, 15, 51, 64.
Beba (G.), inscription, 20.
Beba (T.), inscriptions and sculpture, 20.
  " " tomb of, 18.
  " " tomb adjacent to, 18.
Beba (wife Hatherbetepa), inscription, 20, 50, 64.
Beba, sculptures from Antefafer II. tomb, 21, 65.
Beba, son of Nekhtu, 50.
Beba, various, 15, 50.
Beba, daughter of Sen-n-nezsu, 17, 49.
Beba, wife of Adu (I.), 45.
Beba, wife of Merra, 16.
Beba, wife of x, “Superintendent of horned and hoofed
animals,” 19, 20, 50, 64.
Bebaa, daughter of Nekhtu, 19.
Bebaquer, “good name” of Seten-n-abu, 48.
Beba-sher, daughter of Shensetha (P.), 15, 50, 64, 65.
Beburt (see Beba-sher).
Benevolent formulae, 49, 50 et pass.
Bet, husband unknown, 20, 50, 65.
Birds, bones and mummies, 29.
Black incised pottery, 5.
Blue glazed ware, XVIIIth Dynasty, 38, 65.
  " " Ptolemaic amulets, 32.
Blue marble, 26.
Book of Dead (coffin of Beb), new chapters, 18, 56, 57, 58.
  " " co. xxxii., xliii., xlvii., lxviii.,
  " " clii., 57, 58.
  " " dismemberment of bodies referred to, 57.
  " " in Middle Kingdom, 56.
Borchardt, L., on tables of offerings, 43.
Bos indicus, Linn., remains of, 60.
Bronze vessels, tools, &c., XIXth Dynasty, 21, 34, 65.
  " " Roman, 30, 34, 65.
Bronzes, Ptolemaic, 30.
"Brother of the poor” (Sen n-nezsu), 48.
Buddhist symbols (?), 54.
Bukau, priestess of Hathor, 28, 53.
Bull and herdsmen, 16, 64.
Burning of animal catacombs, 29.
Buto, N. pillar of heaven, 58.
Button, 10.

Canis familiaris, Linn., remains, 59.
Carnelian beads, 25.
Cartonnage, inscriptions on, 33.
Catacombs of sacred animals, burning of, 29.
  " " date, 28.
  " " extended, 29.
INDEX.

Dynasty III.-IV., mastabas, 4-5.
.. IV., table of offerings, 53.
.. V., table of offerings, 53.
.. VI., barbaric stelae, 53.
.. " copper, analysis, 61.
.. " gold, analysis, 61-2.
.. " hieroglyphs, deteriorated, 53.
.. " sculptures, 19.
.. " slab with model cups, 8.
.. " spelling, 53.
.. " tombs, 5-12.
.. " vases, 8.
.. VII.-XI., succeeding styles, sculptures, tombs, and offerings, 13-14.
.. IX.-X. (?), tombs, 19.
.. XI., early work, 19.
.. " formulae, 50, 51.
.. " names, 50.
.. " mastabas deteriorate, 20.
.. " pit burials, 20.
.. " revival, 19, 20, 21-2, 53.
.. XII., burial, 22.
.. " funerary formulae, 42.
.. " objects dating solely from, 26.
.. " tables of offerings, 53.
.. " trays of offerings, 26.
.. " resuscitation, 53.
.. XVIII., blue glazed ware, 28-9, 65.
.. " catacombs of sacred animals, 28, 29.
.. " tables of offerings, 53.
.. XIX., bronzes, 34, 65.
.. XXII.-XXIII., catacombs of sacred animals, 29.
.. XXVI.-XXX. " " " 29.
.. XXX., mastaba re-used in, 18.
.. " sarcophagus, 18.

"Earth hair," 44.
Electrum, 62.
Embalmers, addresses to deceased, 45.
Estate organization, 49.

False doors (portals), 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 45, 48, 63, 64.
Felis catigata, Temm., 59.
Felis chaus, Guld., 59.
Flies, in necklace, 7, 25.
Flint knife, 10, 65.
.. tumuli, 22, 65.
Flowers, in offerings, 55.
folles of Diocletian, 36.
Foreign writing, 33.
Four-name mastaba, 19.

Cattle, list of, 19.
Cattle of Hathor (hetnet) cattle, 4, 24, 29, 47, 48, 49, 57.
Cercopithecus pyrrhonotus, Hempir. & Ehr., remains, 59.
Cercopithecus sabaeus, Linn., 59.
cha or mecha boat, 47, 51.
chat, corpse, disposal of the, 40.
Cerchneis naumanni, 60.
Cerchneis tinnuncula, 60.
Chnemerd, great stela of, 21, 40, 65.
.. " inscription, 51-3.
Coinage, to ascertain waste and rate of issue of, 37-9.
Coins, three caches of Roman, 36.
Collar (?) of glass mosaics, 35.
Colonnade tomb, 21.
Cooking-pot, bronze, 34.
Constantine, jar of time of, 35.
Contracted burial, 5.
Copper in gold, 62.
.. models, 6, 7, 25, 65.
.. VIth and XIth Dynasties, analysis, 61.
Coptic inscription, 33.
.. remains, 2.
Cornice inscriptions, styles of sculpture, 14.

dau linen, 45.
Degat (Qebdat), tomb and inscriptions, 10, 18, 47, 64.
Deir el Bahri beads, 29.
Demotic mummy labels, 54-6.
Demza sculpture, 20.
Dendereh cemetery, 1, 2 et pass.
.. " historical results, 1, 2.
.. " plundering at, 1 et pass.
.. " temple, 1 et pass.
.. " town, 1 et pass.
.. " settling at, 1.
.. " plan of the publication, 2.
dept boats, 60.
Diorite bowl, 8.
.. " head-rest, 8.
.. " shell, 8.
Dismemberment of bodies, 57.
" Divine City," 57.
" Divine Hill Country," 57.
" Divine Place," 57.
" Divine Pool," 57.
" Divine wife of Neferhetep," 55.
Dog, with Ptolemaic burial, 20.
Dogs, Roman burials of, 30, 59.
Dome, oldest known, 15-16.
Double lines dividing inscriptions, 20, 21.
Duat, inscription relating to, 31.
DENDEREH.

Hetepa, family and friend, 51.
  ,, hieroglyphs, 30.
  ,, inscriptions, 51.
  ,, tomb, 19.
Hetepa, another, 16.
Hetepa with Shensetha, inscription, 19.
Hetepa, daughter of Sen-n-nezu, 17, 49.
Hetepa, "Confidential royal favourite," 14, 49, 64. #
heter (yoke oxen), 51.
Hierakonpolis, analysis of Vth Dynasty metal, 61.
Hieroglyphs, amakk sign, 20.
  ,, barbarous tendency of, 53.
  ,, cerastes, decapitated, 46.
  ,, crab for scarab, 48, 53.
  ,, du sign, 20.
  ,, human, without lower limbs, 46.
  ,, k basket for nb basket, 53.
  ,, origins forgotten, 53.
Hoe, copper model, 7.
Honey, 49, 51.
Hornekhta tomb, 19.
Horsiesi stela, 31, 54, 66.
Horus, bronze of, 30.
  ,, makk, 54.
  ,, priest of, 54.
  ,, Scribe of House of, 56.
Horus Nes-Min the elder, son of Peti-Isis, 56.
Hound of Chnemerdru, 53.
Hounds of Dendereh, 57.
Hu (Diospolis Parva), 26.
Ibis mummies, 29.
Ibis (?), religiosa, 60.
Imhotepa, 65.
Incense burner, 34.
Inlay work, ivory, 29, 65.
Isis worship at Dendereh, 31.
"Islands of Life," 57.
Inuta (see Aouta).
Ivory and bone carvings, 28, 29, 65.

Jasper shell, 22.
Jug, fluted, bronze, 34.

ka, the, 41.
kas, 46, 47, 50, 52, 57.
Kath (Kathena), tomb and inscription, 19, 47.
benet, 57.
kehebt tree, 47.
Kha-nefer, pyramid city of Menenra, 44.
Khenti-kau, 42.
Khetpera, 15.

Fresco, Adu L, 8, 45, 63-4.
Funerary formulae, 40-2.

Gap, chronological in Dendereh monuments, 2, 40.
Garnet beads, 22, 25.
Gazella dorcas, Linn., 59.
  ,, leptocebos, 69.
  ,, isabellia, 59.
Gazelles, bones of, 29.
Geh, invocation of in funerary formulae, 41.
Glass, Roman, cylinders, 29, 34-5.
  ,, mosaics in jar, 30, 35-6.
Gold, Nubian, 62.
  ,, of Pactolus, 62.
Gold foil, Vth Dynasty, 61.
"Good name," 11, 43, 47, 48, 49.
"Good old age (?)," 46.
Greek mummy label, 56.

Hapu, wife of Nekhtu, 19.
Harmakhis (Harakhti), adoration of, 31, 54, 55.
  ,, Ra, living and dead forms of, 54.
Harpooning fishes, 45.
Hathor cow, 28.
  ,, cattle, sacred (see thentet oxen).
  ,, figure, 28.
  ,, festivals at Dendereh, 57.
  ,, hymn to, 57.
  ,, "lady of Shen," 54.
  ,, "making voyage of," 47.
  ,, priestess of, 7.
  ,, titles of, 18.
  ,, titles of chief priest and priestess of, 54.
Hathor, mother of Therkes, 34, 54.
Hathorhetep, sister of Shensetha, 20, 50, 64.
Hathorheteps, wife of Beba, 50.
hatai linen, 45.
Hawara coins, 37.
Hawk, bronze, 30.
  ,, mummies, 29, 30.
Head-rest, 8, 10.
hekau, oil, 45.
Heliopolis, W. pillar of heaven, 58.
Henry, 19.
Henn (Henna, Hennua), 19, 20, 50, 64.
Henna (Hennu and Bet), 20, 50, 65.
Henutsen, wife of Beba, 49, 50, 64, 65.
Hep, wife of Nekhtu, 50.
Hepu, wife of Demza, 20.
Hermopolis, S. pillar of heaven, 58.
Herpestes ichneumon, Linn., 59.
Khnumerdu (see Chnemerdu).
Khua, son of Sen-n-nezsu, 17.
"King of hearts" (Seten-n-abu), 42.
Kohl pots, blue marble, 26.
krest, the, 40-1.

"Laying down of bread and beer," 57.
Lepus sp., 59.
Lepus aegyptiacus, 59.
Libation vases, bronze, inscribed, 34.
Libyan pottery, 5.
Life, Egyptian idea of its nature, 41.
Linen, various qualities, 45.
List of offerings in Old and Middle Kingdom, 45.
Leaves (?) represented on tables of offerings, 43-3, 53.
Local gods invoked in funerary formulae, 41-2.
"Lotuses, gathering of," 57.

Magic, theory of, 41.
Makhai, 66.
Masks, gilt stucco mummy, 32.
Mastabas, 2 et pass.
Mehtwert, address to, 58.
Mena, burial chamber, 6, 44-5.
  "  "  "  decoration and orientation, 44-5.
  "  "  "  list of offerings, 45.
  "  "  "  date and offices, 42.
  "  "  "  "  good name," 43.
  "  "  "  titles, 6, 43, 44, 45.
  "  "  "  tomb, 57.
  "  "  "  cornice inscription, 6, 43.
  "  "  "  courtyard with staircase, 6.
  "  "  "  inscriptions, 43-5.
  "  "  "  sculptures, 6, 19.
  "  "  "  stela, 5-6, 42.
  "  "  "  slabs, 6, 43, 63.
  "  "  "  well of offerings, 6.
Men-ankh Pepy (Mena), 42, 43.
menat, blue glaze, 65.
Menes (king), namesakes, 42.
  "  "  "  tomb, 42.
Men-nefer, pyramid city of Pepy I, 45, 47.
Men-nefer Pepy (Menmenefer Pepy Seabat), 11, 42, 47.
Mentuhetep (?), 20.
Mentuhetep and Antef, names, 14.
Mentuhetep and wife, figures, 21, 26, 65.
  "  "  "  tomb, 19, 20, 31, 26.
Mentuhetep (king), cartouches, 21, 26, 51, 65.
Mer uha nu nub, 44.
Merenra, 42, 44.
Merer, 18, 65.
Mererta, wife of Zauta, 47.

Merra, copper vase, 61.
  "  coffin, 16.
  "  inscriptions, 47-9.
  "  hieroglyphs, 48.
  "  titles, 48.
  "  wife Bebta, 16, 48.
  "  "  Beba, 16.
  "  "  tomb, 15-16, 17, 64.
  "  "  cornice inscriptions, 16, 20, 48, 64.
  "  "  domed well, 15-16.
  "  "  false door, 16, 48.
  "  "  horizontal roofed tunnel, 15.
  "  "  sculptures, 16, 19, 47.
  "  "  slabs, 64.
Merra C., tomb, 18.
Merra D., tomb, 19.
Merrah, son of Sen-n-nezsu (?), 17, 49.
"Merrah" and Qebsat (Degat), 10, 18.
Meru, funeral deposits, tools, beads, &c., 7, 25, 65.
  "  position of body, 7.
  "  "  tomb, 6, 7.
Mery Ra (see Pepy I).
"Middle Islands," 57.
Mirrors, 7, 8, 22, 55, 65.
"Morning House, the," 48.
Mourners, pots, 27, 65.
Mummies, Ptolemaic, 31-2.
  "  "  power, 32.
Museum, Ashmolean, 64, 65, 66.
  "  Bolton, 64.
  "  Boston, 35, 63, 64, 65, 66.
  "  Bristol, 64.
  "  British, 5, 35, 63, 64, 65.
  "  Cairo, 6, 9, 11, 18, 34, 35, 56, 63, 64, 65, 66.
  "  Chicago, 6, 35, 63, 64, 65, 66.
  "  Detroit, 64.
  "  Edinburgh, 64, 65, 66.
  "  Greenock, 64.
  "  Liverpool, 64.
  "  Manchester, 64, 65.
  "  Melbourne, 64, 65.
  "  New York, 64, 65.
  "  Pitt-Rivers, 64.
  "  Philadelphia, 35, 63, 64, 65, 66.
  "  South Kensington, 29.
  "  University College, London, 65, 66.

N bu maa, 46.
Naa, daughter of Nekhfu, 50.
Name labels on mummies, 32, 54 et seqq.
Naqada, flint tumuli, 22.
Nebesheh amulets, 33.
Nebt, great lady, mother of Neferkayt, 52.
Nebt-at-of, wife of Mena, 43, 63.
Nefer-ka-Ra (see Pepy II).
Nefermesut, figure, 26, 65.
Neferdauh (Nefertkau), 30, 50, 64.
Neferu-kayt, mistress of Chenemdu, 52.
Nefiu, 19.
Negoes, 48.
Neper, address to, 58.
Nekht, tomb, 19.
Nekhta, in tomb of Hennu, 50.
Nekhtu, priest, 19, 50, 64.
Nemy (v. Uhemy).
Neru (?), 57.
Ner-mut, 54.
Nes-hor-remep-Ta-Beyk, 56.
Nes-horaktih (Nesi-ka-mer), 31.
Nesi-hor, sarcophagus, 31, 54.
Nesitamer (Nesi-tamer), 54.
Nephey, 55.
Netem-ankh, stela, 54.
"Nome," = nome of Dendereh, 44.
Nubheq, 20.

Obaidian, model cups, 8.
Offerings on mastabas, 7.
Osiris, living and dead forms of, 54.
"" name remains before," 56.
"" soul gone to," 56.
"" went to," 33, 55.

Pa-akhem, the sheikh, 56.
Pabekhis, 55.
Pahequ, son of Pashemhor, 33, 55.
Paint-slab, 26.
Palm leaves (?) on tables of offerings, 42-3, 53.
Papyrus stems, blue glaze 28.
Par, son of Mutardus, 54.
Pashem, son of Pabek, 55.
Pashem, father of Pehgau, 55.
Pashem ("Pashemhor"), the elder, son of Peduborsamantau, 33, 55.
Pashenthot, the hunter, 55.
Pashere-Ahy, son of Pa-akhem the gold-worker, 56.
Pasheren, son of Pakh, 55.
Patehersamtaui, 55.
"peace in life," 57.
Pectorals, cartonage, 32.
Peduborsamantau, stela, 31, 54, 66.
Pedupamenkhu, 55.

"Pekhy" Beb, altar, 19.
Pepy I, 6, 8, 42, 44, 45, 47, 61.
Pepy II, 5, 8, 42, 45.
Pepy, son of ?, 20.
Pepy-mennu-nefer (see Mennefer Pepy).
Pepy-seshem-nefer ("Pepy-ta-nefer"), "good name" Senna (q.v.), inscriptions, 47.
"peqt" linen, 45.
Persian period amulets, 18.
"" burials, 15.
per tekhe for pert kheru, 56.
pert-kheru, in lifetime (?), 43.
"" meaning, 41.
Per-awr, "eminent ones of," 52.
Petiamenophis, scribe of the House of Horus, 56.
Petihorsamtaui (Petiamenophis), various, 54, 55, 56.
Pharaoh, invocation of in funerary formulae, 41.
Pillars, the four of heaven, 59.
Fit tombs, 2 et pass.
Plays on names of oils, 45.
"Pools of Coolness," 57.
Porphyry vase, 11.
Portals (see False doors).
Pottery, classified, 23-4.
"" earliest, 5, 23.
"" incised, foreign, 5, 23.
"" oxen, 24.
"" Ptolemaic, 31.
"" trays of offerings, 26.
Psenphay, son of Hernefer the gold-worker, 55.
Psheiap, son of Gemt the barber (?), 54.
Ptah worship, 31, 54.
Ptahmera A, 13, 14, 15, 19, 49, 64.
Ptolemaic burials, 31-3.
"" catacombs of sacred animals, 30.
"" cave tombs, 18.
"" cemetery, 2.
"" stelae, 18.
"" stone coffin, 10.

"Qebdat" (see Degat).

R = Mr. Rosher (see American Exploration Society), 66.
Ra Harmakhis worship, 54.
Ram of Amen, dedicatory inscription to, 34.
Ramessu II, bronze dedicated by, 34.
Razor (?), copper, 25.
Redhu, "Ruduakau," "feet of kine," inscription, 21, 49, 64.
INDEX.

T., 18, 26, 48.
T.
Therkes, 56.
Therkes, 56.
"Taking Offerings," 57.
"Superintendent of horned and hoofed animals (?)," 50.
Statuettes, 10, 26, 27, 65.
Stelae in offering chambers, 21.
... later, 31.
... in pits, 21.
... sandstone, 33.
Stone vases, 25-6.
Ta-ast, "divine wife of Nefer-hetep," 55.
Table of offerings, 42-3, 53.
"Taking Offerings," 57.
Tauberen Peti Horsamtaui, 56.
Taurs figure, blue glaze, 28, 65.
Tha-nub, friend of Chnemerd, 53.
thentet oxen (sacred cattle of Hathor), 47, 48, 49, 57.
Therkes, bronze situla of, 34, 53-4.
Theta, stela of, 16, 48.
Thothmes III. cartouche, 28, 65.
... foundation deposit pottery at Koptos, 24.
"Throwing of the stick," 57.
Thy, tomb at Saqqareh, 18.
Tin in VIth Dynasty metal, 61.
... XIIfth, ... 61.
Titians, grave-stone of, 33, 66.
Toilet of the dead, 45.
Tomb pits, 2 et pass.
Tombs, classification of styles, 13-14 et seqg.
Tools (see various), 7 et pass.

seth oil, 45.
Shen, Hathor Lady of, 54.
Shensetha, inscription of a, 19.
Shensetha in "four name mastaba," 14.
Shensetha (in tomb of Pepy-seshem-nefer), 47.
Shensetha G., 19.
Shensetha H., 19.
Shensetha P. (= "Shensetha and Bebaqer;" "ditto and Bebaqer"?), 15, 19, 50, 51, 64, 65.
Shensetha T., 15, 19.
shen ta, "earth hair," 44.
Silver beads, 22.
Silver in gold, 61, 62.
Singer (musician) of temple of Hathor, 11, 54.
Sistrum handle of Bukau, 53.
... handles, 28.
Situla of Therkes, 34, 53-4.
Situlae, 29, 34.
Slab with vases, 8, 60.
Slate slips, 26, 65.
smu, land, 47.
smayt land, 47.
Snake head, for necklace, 25.
"Southern Islands," 57.
"Superintendent of horned and hoofed animals (?)," 50.

Sacred oils, 45, 53.
Saite revival, 43.
Sankkhara, fine work, 14.
"Satisfier of Her Majesty," 54.
Scarabs, 7, 22, 65.
Scene sculpture, only example from cemetery, 15.
Sebekhetep, three sons of Nekhtu, 19, 50.
Sebekhetepa, 18.
Sebeknekh, son of Beba, 49.
... son of Sen-n-nezsu, 17, 49.
... son of Beba T., 20.
Seheta, wife of Merra, 48.
senkhem bat, 43.
Sekhet-hetep, stela, 8, 46.
senmut of Hathor, 57.
Senatly, 55.
Senbat, "good name" of Mennu-fefer-Pepy, 47.
Senetr, name frequent at Kahun, 42.
Senna, "good name" of Pepy-seshem-nefer (q.v.), 11, 14, 15, 19, 47.
Sen-n-nezsu (Sennezsu), wife and family, 17, 49.
... tomb, 16-17.
... sculptures and inscriptions, 17, 48-9, 64.
Sen-n-nezsu, son of same, 17, 49.
Sentesa (?), 11.
Sentekha, 20.
Sentekhneba, 20.
serdab chambers, absence of, 26.
sessecm tree, 47.
seten di hetet formula, history of, 41-2.
Seten-n-abu (Suten-en-abu, Abu-suten), tomb, 4, 5.
... tomb, cow bones from, 29.
... false door, 63.
... inscription, 42.
Seten-n-abu Beba, 48.
Seten-n-abu, "good name" Bebaqer, 48.
Seten-n-abu, ha-prince, 50.
Trade symbols (?), 54.
Trays of offerings, classified and dated, 26.
" " forgery, 26.
Tree inscription of Pepy-seshem-nefer, 47.
" " Sen-n-nezsu, 49, 64.
"true of voice" first appears in Xllth Dynasty, 51.

Uan wood, 49.
Uhaa, "good name" of one Adu, 15, 49, 64.
Uhemy ("Nemy"), 20, 50, 64.
Unfinished tombs, 7-8, 12.
Unguent presented, 50, 53.

Zau, son of Adu I., 45.
Zauta (A.), tomb and offerings, 11-12.
Zauta (B.), tomb, 7-8, 65.
Zauta (D.) " 19.
Zauta (E.) " 19.
Zauta (R.) (a "Zauta"), tomb, 7.
Zauta, "good name" Resa, tomb and inscriptions, 7, 47, 64.
1:10 BEBA AND HENTSEN.

BEBA AND HENTSEN.

X, WIFE BEBA.

SHENSETHA AND BEBA-UR.

BEBA III.

HENNA.

Beba AND HATHOTEP.

NEFERT KAU.

NEKHTU.

HENNU AND BET.

OUTLINED FIGURE.
DENDERH. POTTERY OF III.-VI. DYNASTIES.
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2. Adul I
3. Adu II
4. Adu II
5. Adu II
6. Adu II
7. Adu II
8. Zauta A
9. Adu II
10. Adu II
11. Adu II
12. Adu II
13. Adu II
14. Adu II
15. IV. Dyn.
16. IV. Dyn.
17. IV. Dyn.
18. IV. Dyn.
19. IV. Dyn.
20. IV. Dyn.
21. IV. Dyn.
22. IV. Dyn.
23. IV. Dyn.
24. IV. Dyn.
25. IV. Dyn.
26. IV. Dyn.
27. IV. Dyn.
28. IV. Dyn.
29. IV. Dyn.
30. IV. Dyn.
31. IV. Dyn.
32. IV. Dyn.
33. IV. Dyn.
34. IV. Dyn.
35. IV. Dyn.
36. IV. Dyn.
37. IV. Dyn.
38. IV. Dyn.
39. IV. Dyn.
40. IV. Dyn.
1: 6. DENDEREH. POTTERY TRAYS OF OFFERINGS, &c. XIX.
DENDEREH. BLUE GLAZE WARE BURIED IN CATACOMBS.
DENDEREH INSCRIPTIONS, XXVI. DYN., &c.

POTTERY DISH, XVIII. DYN.

MUTARDUS, XXV. D.

HORSIAST, XXVI. D.

PEDU-HOR-SAM-TAUI.

DOG MUMMIES, ROMAN.

FUNERERAL TABLETS.
DENDEREH; GLAZED POTTERY AMULETS, PTOLEMAIC.
DENDEREH. MASTABAS OF VI\textsuperscript{TH} DYNASTY.

PLAN

SECTION

ADU I.

ADU II.
DENDEREH. MASTABAS OF VIIth DYNASTY.

REUSED IN XVIII. DYN.

MERY B.

SEN-NEZ-SU

PLAN
BEB
SECTION

PLAN
MERRA
SECTION

PTAH-MERA B.

UHAA
DENDEREH. MASTABAS OF VII.–X. DYNASTIES.

PTAHMERA A

SHENSETHA P

BEBA C

SHENSETHA G

MERRA D

HORNEKHTA

BEBA T

NEKHTA

ZAUTA E

PEKHY-BEB

REUSED IN XIX. DYN.

REUSED IN XXX. DYN.

MERRA C HOTEP A

COLONNADE COURT
DENDEREH. MASTABAS OF XI. DYNASTY.
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772. E. OF GEB

773. S. OF MENTUHOTEP

774. MENTUHOTEP

ANTEFAGER A

KHNUM-ER-DU

HOTEP ALTAR
E. OF XI. DYN. GALLERY

750. GALLERY TOMB OF BEBA, ANTEFAQER AND ANTEF
DENDEREH.  CATACOMBS FOR SACRED ANIMALS.